

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

PAUL D. GIBBS,

Plaintiff,

v

SUMMER LAUGHHUNN et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:14-cv-1101

HON. JANET T. NEFF

OPINION AND ORDER

This is a prisoner civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed a motion for preliminary injunction, requesting that the Court order Defendants to provide him with medical treatment for his eyes (Dkt 15). The matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge, who issued a Report and Recommendation (R&R), recommending that this Court deny Plaintiff’s motion (Dkt 42 at 1). The matter is presently before the Court on Plaintiff’s objections to the Report and Recommendation (Dkt 45). Plaintiff has also filed several additional supplements and declarations (Dkts 47-49, 52 & 54). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3), the Court has performed de novo consideration of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections have been made. The Court denies the objections and approves and adopts the Report and Recommendation as the opinion of this Court.

Plaintiff’s objections, as supplemented, merely indicate his disagreement with the Magistrate Judge’s conclusions on his motion for preliminary injunction. In pertinent part, his assertion that “[i]mmminent’ danger to [his] sight occurs every minute of everyday” due to Defendants’ alleged

refusal to provide treatment for his corneal dystrophy (Pl. Obj., Dkt 45 at 2-3) was included in his preliminary injunction request (Dkt 15 at 2) and properly considered by the Magistrate Judge (R&R, Dkt 42 at 2). Plaintiff's argument fails to demonstrate any factual or legal error in the Magistrate Judge's analysis or conclusion. The Court therefore denies Plaintiff's objections and adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation as the Opinion of this Court.

Accordingly:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Objections (Dkt 45) are DENIED and the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt 42) is APPROVED and ADOPTED as the Opinion of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Dkt 15) is DENIED for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation.

Dated: December 4, 2015

/s/ Janet T. Neff
JANET T. NEFF
United States District Judge