

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

JODY K. MOSLEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:17-CV-10

FOREST COMMUNITY CENTER, et al.,

HON. GORDON J. QUIST

Defendants.

---

**OPINION**

Plaintiff, Jody K. Mosley, proceeding pro se, has filed a one-page complaint against Forest Community Center and Dr. Melvin Cherry, which alleges:

1. Dr. Melvin Cherry was my doctor for 7 years. I been taking medication for depression/inziatiy [sic]/COPD/and other.
2. I told Melvin Cherry that I wanted by blood checked. There's a problem but when I cam back they tried to set me up.
3. I was forst [sic] to stop all medication cause Dr. Melvin Cherry wouldn't see me..
4. I was ready to take my own life. 7 year's [sic] being medicated then nothing.
5. My disability was canceled/without doctor nothing.

I wish to file federal charges against the Forest Community Center/Dr. Melvin Cherry.

(ECF No. 1.) Mosley does not ask for any relief.

On January 27, 2017, the magistrate judge issued an order granting Mosley leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF No. 5.) Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Court is required to dismiss any action brought under federal law if the complaint is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *see also Benson v. O'Brian*, 179 F.3d 1014, 1016 (6th Cir. 1999) (holding that "§ 1915(e)(2) applies only to *in forma pauperis* proceedings"). The Court must

read Podewell's *pro se* complaint indulgently, *see Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520, 92 S. Ct. 594, 596 (1972), and accept his allegations as true, unless they are clearly irrational or wholly incredible. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33, 112 S. Ct. 1728, 1733 (1992).

For the reasons set forth below, Mosley fails to state a claim and his complaint must be dismissed as required by § 1915(e)(2).

A complaint may be dismissed for failure to state a claim if ““it fails to give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds upon which it rests.”” *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (quoting *Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957)). While a complaint need not contain detailed factual allegations, a plaintiff's allegations must include more than labels and conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555; *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (“Threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice.”). A court must determine whether the complaint contains “enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 570. “A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” *Ashcroft*, 556 U.S. at 678. Although the plausibility standard is not equivalent to a ““probability requirement,’ . . . it asks for more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully.”” *Id.* (quoting *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 556). “[W]here the well-pleaded facts do not permit the court to infer more than the mere possibility of misconduct, the complaint has alleged – but it has not ‘show[n]’ – that the pleader is entitled to relief.” *Id.* at 679 (quoting FED. R. CIV. P. 8(a)(2)).

Mosley fails to allege that Defendants violated any federal law that could form the basis for this Court's jurisdiction. He essentially alleges that he could no longer get his medication because Dr. Cherry refused to see him. Such allegations do not suggest that Dr. Cherry or the Forest

Community Center violated any law or Moseley's federal rights. Mosley's conclusory allegations do not suffice to meet the standards of *Twombly* and *Iqbal* because they allege no more than a sheer possibility of misconduct by Defendants.

An Order consistent with this Opinion will be entered.

Dated: February 7, 2017

/s/ Gordon J. Quist  
GORDON J. QUIST  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE