UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ANGEL BARTLETT,

Plaintiff,

v.

KALAMAZOO PROBATE COURT, et al.,

Defendants.
_____/

Case No. 1:18-cv-363

HON. JANET T. NEFF

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, initiated this action against the Kalamazoo Family and Probate Court, Kalamazoo Psychiatric Hospital, Borgess Hospital, Community Mental Health, two named individuals, and an unknown number of Unknown Parties. Plaintiff essentially alleges that Defendants contributed to the improper termination of her parental rights. The matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge, who issued a Report and Recommendation (R&R), recommending Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) (ECF No. 12). The matter is presently before the Court on Plaintiff's objections (ECF No. 14). The Court denies the objections and issues this Opinion and Order.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3), this Court must perform de novo consideration of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections have been made. An objection to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation must "specifically identify the portions of the proposed findings, recommendations, or report to which objections are made and the basis for such objections." W.D. Mich. LCivR 72.3(b). Plaintiff's

objections wholly fail to comply with the Local Rule because they merely continue the arguments advanced in the original complaint and numerous supplements. Plaintiff has failed to identify any error in the Magistrate Judge's analysis or ultimate conclusion. Accordingly, this Court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation as the Opinion of this Court. A Judgment will be entered consistent with this Opinion and Order. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 58. Because this action was filed *in forma pauperis*, this Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal of this decision would not be taken in good faith. *See McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F.3d 601, 610 (6th Cir. 1997), overruled on other grounds by *Jones v. Bock*, 549 U.S. 199, 206, 211-12 (2007). Therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objections (ECF No. 14) are DENIED, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 12) is APPROVED and ADOPTED as the Opinion of the Court, and Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions (ECF Nos. 19, 21 & 23) are DENIED as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that an appeal of this decision would not be taken in good faith.

Dated: November 30, 2018

/s/ Janet T. Neff
JANET T. NEFF
United States District Judge