

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

WILLIAM J. WISE,

Plaintiff,

v.

EAST GRAND RAPIDS
DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC SAFETY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-1262

HON. JANET T. NEFF

OPINION AND ORDER

This is a civil action filed by a *pro se* litigant. Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 50). The matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge, who issued a Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 60) on August 1, 2022, recommending that the motion to dismiss be granted and this action terminated (*id.* at PageID.298). The Report and Recommendation was duly served on the parties. Plaintiff filed a “Response” to the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 64), which does not contain specific objections to any part of the Report and Recommendation. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see Weiler v. U.S. Dep’t of Treasury-Internal Revenue Serv.*, No. 19-3729, 2020 WL 2528916, at *1 (6th Cir. Apr. 24, 2020) (*de novo* review of a magistrate judge’s recommendation is only required where the objections relate to factual disputes). The Court also conducted a full review of Plaintiff’s claims. *See Kinard v. Stark*, 22 F. App’x 365, 367 (6th Cir. 2001). Accordingly:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff’s “Response” (ECF No. 64) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 60) is

APPROVED AND ADOPTED as the Opinion of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 50) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that an appeal of this decision would not be taken in good faith because Plaintiff failed to file any specific objections. *See McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F.3d 601, 610-11 (6th Cir. 1997), overruled on other grounds by *Jones v. Bock*, 549 U.S. 199, 206, 211-12 (2007).

A Judgment will enter consistent with this Order.

Dated: September 9, 2022

/s/ Janet T. Neff

JANET T. NEFF
United States District Judge