UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS ANDREW CENSKE,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF MARQUETTE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:11-CV-119

HON. GORDON J. QUIST

ORDER

Plaintiff has filed an Objection to Magistrate Judge Greeley's Report and Recommendation entered on March 8, 2012, in which Magistrate Judge Greeley recommends that Plaintiff's motion for protective order and/or preliminary injunction be denied. The magistrate judge recommended denial based upon Defendant's representation that the Marquette County Sheriff's Department will inform the United States Marshal's Service that alternative housing should be found for Plaintiff while he is in Marquette for sentencing in his criminal case, *United States v. Censke*, No. 2:08-cr-19 (W.D. Mich.). The magistrate judge recommended denial because Plaintiff's motion is moot in light of Defendant's representations that Plaintiff will not be housed at the Marquette County Jail upon his return to Marquette.

The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the Report and Recommendation, in light of Plaintiff's Objections, and concludes that the Report and Recommendation should be adopted.

In spite of Plaintiff's Objections, Plaintiff fails to offer any reason to doubt Defendant's representation that the Marquette County Jail will inform the Marshal's Service to find alternate housing for Plaintiff. At this juncture, it appears that Plaintiff will not be housed at the Marquette County Jail. Thus, his motion is moot. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation issued on March 8, 2012, is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order and/or Preliminary Injunction (docket no. 25) is **DENIED**.

Dated: May 7, 2012

/s/ Gordon J. Quist GORDON J. QUIST UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE