IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

TIMEBASE PTY LTD.,)	
	j	Case No. 07 C 0460
Plaintiff,)	
)	Honorable George W. Lindberg
VS.)	
)	
THE THOMSON CORPORATION,)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	
Defendant.)	

DECLARATION OF ARTHUR A. GASEY

- I, Arthur A. Gasey, declare:
- 1. I am one of the attorneys for TimeBase Pty Ltd. in this case.
- The documents attached are accurate copies of PTO data as of September 30,
 2008.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed on December 5, 2008.

/s/ Arthur A. Gasey	
Arthur A. Gasey	



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Ex Parte Reexamination Filing Data - September 30, 2008

1.	Total requests filed since start of ex partes reexam on 07/	01/81	9585 ¹
	a. By patent ownerb. By other member of publicc. By order of Commissioner	3567 5853 165	37% 61% 2%
2.	Number of filings by discipline		
	a. Chemical Operationb. Electrical Operationc. Mechanical Operationd. Design Patents	2811 3261 3357 156	29% 34% 35% 2%
3.	Annual Ex Parte Reexam Filings		
	Fiscal Yr. No. Fiscal Yr. No. Fiscal Yr. No. 1981 78 (3 mos.) 1989 243 1997 37 1982 187 1990 297 1998 35 1983 186 1991 307 1999 38 1984 189 1992 392 2000 31 1985 230 1993 359 2001 29 1986 232 1994 379 2002 27 1987 240 1995 392 2003 39 1988 268 1996 418 2004 44	76 2005 5 50 2006 5 85 2007 6 18 2008 6 96	No. 624 611 643 680
4.	Number known to be in litigation	2849	30%
5.	Decisions on requests		9219
	a. No. granted	8467	92%
	(1) By examiner(2) By Director (on petition)	8354 113	
	b. No. denied	752	8%
	(1) By examiner(2) Reexam vacated	717 35	

¹Of the requests received in FY 2008, 7 requests have not yet been accorded a filing date, and preprocessing of 15 requests was terminated for failure to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.510. See Clarification of Filing Date Requirements for *Ex Parte* and *Inter Partes* Reexamination Proceedings, Final Rule, 71 Fed. Reg. 44219 (August 4, 2006).

6.	To	tal examiner denials (includes denial	s reserved by	Director)		830	
	a.	Patent owner requester			441	53%	
	b.	Third party requester			389	47%	
7.	Overall reexamination pendency (Filing date to certificate issue date)						
	a. b.	Average pendency Median pendency			24.5 (mos. 19.0 (mos.		
8.	Ree	exam certificate claim analysis:	Owner <u>Requester</u>	3 rd Party Requester	Comm'r Initiated	<u>Overall</u>	
	a. b. c.		22% 8% 70%	28% 13% 59%	12% 21% 67%	25% 11% 64%	
9.	Tot	al ex parte reexamination certificates	s issued (1981	– present)		6457	
	a. b. c.	Certificates with all claims canceled			1624 721 4112	25% 11% 64%	
10.	Re	exam claim analysis – requester is p	atent owner o	r 3 rd party; or	Comm'r initiat	ed.	
	a.	Certificates – PATENT OWNER R	EQUESTER			2722	
		 All claims confirmed All claims canceled Claim changes 			611 214 1897	22% 8% 70%	
	b.	Certificates – 3 rd PARTY REQUES	STER			3588	
		 All claims confirmed All claims canceled Claim changes 			995 476 2117	28% 13% 59%	
	c.	Certificates – COMM'R INITIATE	D REEXAM		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	147	
		 All claims confirmed All claims canceled Claim changes 			18 31 98	12% 21% 67%	