Raymer v. Cruz

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA


LARRY P. RAYMER,

Petitioner,

v. ORDER

Civil File No. 08-5198 (MJD/JJG)

M. CRUZ,

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

Larry P. Raymer, pro se.

Chad A. Blumenfield and Gregory G. Brooker, United States Attorney's Office, Counsel for Respondent.

The above-entitled matter comes before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Jeanne J. Graham dated May 29, 2009 [Docket No. 18]. Petitioner Larry P. Raymer has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to statute, the Court has conducted a de novo review of the

record. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule 72.2(b). Based upon that review, the

Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate

Judge Jeanne J. Graham dated May 29, 2009.

Accordingly, based upon the files, records, and proceedings herein, IT IS

**HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. The Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation dated May 29, 2009 [Docket No. 18].

2. Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Docket No. 1] is

DENIED.

3. Petitioner's Motion for a Supplemental Reply [Docket No. 17] is

DENIED AS MOOT.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

Dated: July 2, 2009

s/ Michael J. Davis

Michael J. Davis

Chief Judge

**United States District Court** 

2