#### AO450 (Rev. 5/85) Judgment in a Civil Case

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT District of Minnesota

In re: Guidant Corp Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation and Marylou Armuth, individually and as Representative of the Estate of Charles Armuth, deceased; John A. Backlund, individually and as Representative of the Estate of Betty Backlund, deceased; Kimberly Bailey; James Baker; Melita Bena, individually and as Representative of the Estate of Lawrence Bena; Jerri Ceccareilli; Orami Coleman; Patsy Crisan, individually and as Representative of the Estate of Robert Crisan; Donald Dobbs; Eugene Fezi; Charles Gaumer; William Hines; Theodore Holl; Mitchell Allen Huston; Patrick Kelly; William Lauenroth; Teresa H. Lee, individually and as Representative of the Estate of William Lee; Billie Lichti; Richard Schmidt; Frank Stewart; and Frank Rocha,

#### V.

Date

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number:

05md1708 and 07-8

Guidant Corporation, Guidant Sales Corporation, Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., Boston Scientific Corporation, Saint Agnes Medical Center, Fresno Community Hospital and Medical Center, and Does 1 through 100, inclusive

**Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

X Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

## IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

1. Plaintiffs Marylou Armuth, individually and as Representative of the Estate of Charles Armuth, deceased; Orami Coleman; Patsy Crisan, individually and as Representative of the Estate of Robert Crisan; William Hines; Mitchell Allen Huston; Teresa H. Lee, individually and as Representative of the Estate of William Lee; Billie Lichti; and Richard Schmidt's claims against Defendants Guidant Corporation; Guidant Sales Corporation; Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc.; Devices for Vascular Intervention, Inc.; Heart Rhythm Technologies, Inc.; Origin Medsystems, Inc.; Minimally Invasive Systems

Group; and Boston Scientific Corporation, expressed in the Complaint (Civil No. 07-08 (DWF/AJB), Doc. No. 1) are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.** 

2. Each party to bear its own costs.

May 1, 2007

### RICHARD D. SLETTEN, CLERK

s/DHalfman

(By)

DHalfman, Deputy Clerk

Form Modified: 09/16/04