

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
THIRD DIVISION**

In re: Guidant Corp. Implantable
Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

MDL No. 1708
(DWF/AKB)

This Document Relates to
Leopoldo Duron, Jr.

vs. Case No. 06-00025
Guidant Corp., et al.

DEFENDANTS' CONSOLIDATED MOTION IN LIMINE

Defendants Guidant Corporation, Guidant Sales Corporation, Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., respectfully submit this Motion in Limine to exclude the improper use of various categories of evidence during trial or in the presence of the jury:

- I. Evidence of Any Merger or Acquisition in Which Defendants Were Involved or Potentially Involved, or Guidant's Employees' or Independent Contractors' Compensation or Securities Transactions**
- II. Statements About or References to Any Plaintiff That is Not a Named Device Recipient in This Case**
- III. Statements About or References to Any Guidant Products or Recalls of Products Other Than the Ventak Prizm 2 Dr, Model 1861**
- IV. Statements, Documents, Testimony or References Regarding Other Litigation or Investigations, Including by the SEC, DOJ, Attorneys General or Congress**
- V. Statements About, References to, Testimony Regarding, or Documents Regarding the Ancure Endograft System or Guidant's Corporate Integrity Agreement**
- VI. Statements, Documents, Testimony or References to Subsequent Remedial Measures (Consolidated)**
- VII. Evidence of Shipment and Sale of "Pre-Mitigation" Devices After the April 2002 Manufacturing Change**
- VIII. References to or Evidence of Medical Complications**

