Hesla v. Cedars 94 et al Doc. 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

PAUL HESLA,

Civil No. 13-285 (RHK/JJG)

Plaintiff,

ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

v.

CEDARS 94/THE GOODMAN GROUP, THE PRE-PETITION SCREENING PROGRAM, and ROSEMARY A. MUNNS,

Defendants.

The above-entitled matter came before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Objections have been filed to that Report and Recommendation in the time period permitted.

Based upon the undersigned's de novo review of the Objections, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and all the files, records and proceedings herein, **IT IS ORDERED**:

- 1. The Objections (Doc. No. 7) are **OVERRULED**;
- 2. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 4) is **ADOPTED**;
- 3. Plaintiff's application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> (Doc. No. 2) is **DENIED**; and
- 4. This action is summarily **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

Dated: February 25, 2013

s/Richard H. Kyle
RICHARD H. KYLE
United States District Judge