Hines v. Smith et al Doc. 109

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA Civil No. 16-3797(DSD/SER)

Fredrick Dewayne Hines,

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Michelle Smith, Tammy Wherely, David Rhesuis, Shar Mike, Sherilinda Wheeler, Unknown Magadanz, and Dan Meyer,

Defendants.

This matter is before the court upon the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Steven E. Rau dated October 23, 2017 (R&R). The magistrate judge recommended that the court (1) deny plaintiff's first motion for a preliminary injunction with prejudice; (2) deny defendants' motion to dismiss as moot; (3) grant plaintiff's motions to amend; and (4) deny plaintiff's subsequent motion for a preliminary injunction without prejudice. No objections to the R&R have been filed in the time period permitted. Under these circumstances, the court finds it appropriate to adopt the R&R.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Plaintiff's first motion for a preliminary injunction [ECF
 No. 18] is denied with prejudice;
- 2. Plaintiff's subsequent motion for a preliminary injunction [ECF No. 99] is denied without prejudice;

3. Plaintiff's motions to amend [ECF Nos. 80, 97] are granted;

4. Defendants' motion to dismiss [ECF No. 49] is denied as

moot;

5. Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint within thirty

days of this order;

6. The parties shall follow the briefing requirements as set

forth in the R&R; and

7. If plaintiff fails to amend the complaint within thirty

days of this order the case will be dismissed without prejudice.

Dated: November 17, 2017

s/David S. Doty

David S. Doty, Judge

United States District Court

2