Canida v. Huffman et al Doc. 10

> IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

TODD CANIDA, PLAINTIFF

V. NO. 1:05CV120-M-B

LADDIE HUFFMAN, ET AL,

**DEFENDANTS** 

**OPINION** 

This pro se prisoner complaint was filed on May 12, 2005. The court has attempted further

communication with plaintiff by means of mail sent to plaintiff's last known address. This has been

returned as undeliverable. Since plaintiff has failed to keep the court advised of his address,

communication of the court's orders is impossible. Plaintiff's failure to keep the court advised of a

current address is also indicative of a lack of interest in pursuing this claim and constitutes a failure

to prosecute within the meaning of Rule 41(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Therefore, the

case must be dismissed for failure to prosecute. A final judgment in accordance with this opinion

will be entered.

THIS the 10<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2005.

/s/ Michael P. Mills
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE