

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
ABERDEEN DIVISION**

ANTON COLBURN

PETITIONER

v.

No. 1:15CV119-GHD-SAA

WARDEN, ET AL.

RESPONDENTS

CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

The court has entered a final judgment in either a *habeas corpus* proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a state court under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or § 2241, or a proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, and the court, considering the record in the case and the requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), hereby finds that:

PART A

- the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

SPECIFIC ISSUE(S):

- a certificate of appealability should not issue.**

REASONS FOR DENIAL: For the reasons stated in its opinion, the court finds that the Petitioner has failed to "demonstrate that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court could resolve issues in a different manner; or that the questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4, 103 S.Ct. 3383, 3394 n.4, 77 L.Ed.2d 1090 (1993) (superseded by statute) (citations and quotations omitted); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) (1) and (2).

Specifically, the court finds, for the reasons set forth in its memorandum opinion and final judgment, that the instant petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* should be dismissed for failure to exhaust state remedies.

PART B (if applicable)

- The party appealing is entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*.
- The party appealing is not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*.**

REASONS FOR DENIAL: The court finds that the petitioner's appeal is not taken in good faith because it is frivolous and has no possibility of success. See Fed. R. App. P. 24.

SO ORDERED, this, the 12th day of May, 2016.



SENIOR JUDGE