Price v. Berryhill Doc. 25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI ABERDEEN DIVISION

TIMOTHY LORD PRICE

PLAINTIFF

NO. 1:18CV00006-JMV

NANCY A. BERRYHILL, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY

DEFENDANT

ORDER ON PETITION FOR ATTORNEY FEES

Before the court are Plaintiff's motion [21] for attorney fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), and Defendant's response [22]. For the reasons set out below, the motion will be granted.

In these proceedings Plaintiff sought judicial review of the Social Security

Commissioner's final decision denying a claim for benefits. By Final Judgment [22] dated July
16, 2018, this court reversed and remanded this case to the Commissioner for further

proceedings. Plaintiff now seeks attorney fees in the amount of \$3,689.68 for attorney work
before this court on the ground that Plaintiff was the prevailing party. Defendant indicates she
has no objection to the requested award because the same is reasonable. Nevertheless,

Defendant points out that any award should be made payable to Plaintiff, instead of counsel, in
accordance with the Supreme Court's decision in *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586, 130 S. Ct. 2521
(2010).

The court, having thoroughly considered the motion, the response, and the applicable law, finds the requested award is reasonable, and no special circumstance would make the award unjust.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

That the Acting Commissioner shall promptly pay to Plaintiff a total of \$3,689.68 in attorney fees for the benefit of counsel for Plaintiff.

This 25th day of September, 2018.

/s/ Jane M. Virden U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE