Johnson et al v. Mitcham Doc. 74

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI WESTERN DIVISION

WAYNCE C. JOHNSON, JR. and KENNETH A. RUTHERFORD,

PLAINTIFFS,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:08CV50-P-S

ROBERT MITCHAM,

DEFENDANT.

FINAL JUDGMENT

In accordance with a Memorandum Opinion issued this day, IT IS ORDERED AND

ADJUDGED that:

(1) The plaintiffs' May 10, 2010 motion for summary judgment [68] is **GRANTED** based

in part on the reasons set forth in the court's Memorandum Opinion and in part on the defendant's

total failure to respond to the motion. To defeat a motion for summary judgment, the non-movant

must demonstrate through a response that there is at least one genuine issue of material fact that

warrants a trial to determine the contested fact or facts. As of today, over four months after the filing

of the motion for summary judgment, the defendant has failed to file a response to the motion for

summary judgment, nor has he contacted the court for additional time to do so.

(2) Judgment is entered in favor of the plaintiffs in the amount of \$334,000.00;

(3) This case is **CLOSED**.

SO ORDERED this the 23rd day of September, A.D., 2010.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr.

W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE