

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
OXFORD DIVISION**

GEORGE CZEISZPERGER

PLAINTIFF

V.

NO. 3:15-CV-00037-DMB-SAA

**PRUDENTIAL GROUP LIFE
INSURANCE; D.L., a minor**

DEFENDANTS

FINAL JUDGMENT

In accordance with the “Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal, with Prejudice Pursuant to F.R.C.P.(a)(1)(A)(i)” [38] filed on October 6, 2015, this matter is **DISMISSED with prejudice**, with each party to bear its own costs and attorney’s fees.¹

SO ORDERED, this 9th day of October, 2015.

/s/ Debra M. Brown
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Although the document filed is titled a stipulation and purports to dismiss the action pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i), stipulations of dismissal are governed by Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Additionally, subparagraph (i) dismissals may not be used to dismiss a party who has filed an answer. Both Defendants in this action have filed answers. See Doc. #11; Doc. #34. Accordingly, the Court interprets the document filed as seeking dismissal pursuant to subparagraph (ii).