

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
GREENVILLE DIVISION**

**MATTIE BRIGHT AS GUARDIAN  
AND NEXT KIN FOR HER DAUGHTER,  
JANE DOE**

**PLAINTIFF**

**VS.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:15-cv-149-NBB-JMV**

**TUNICA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
BERNARD STEPHEN CHANDLER,  
SUPERINTENDENT, IN HIS OFFICIAL  
AND INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES; MILTON  
HARDRICT, PRINCIPAL, IN HIS OFFICIAL  
AND INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES; AND  
STANLEY ELLIS, ASSISTANT  
SUPERINTENDENT AND TITLE IX  
COORDINATOR, IN HIS OFFICIAL AND  
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES; AND BRITTANY  
BROWN, TEACHER, IN HER OFFICIAL AND  
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES**

**DEFENDANTS**

**ORDER STAYING CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS**

Local Uniform Civil Rule 16(b)(3)(B) provides that “[f]iling ... an immunity defense ... motion stays the attorney conference and disclosure requirements and all discovery not related to the issue pending the court’s ruling on the motion, including any appeal. Whether to permit discovery on issues related to a motion asserting an immunity defense . . . is a decision committed to the discretion of the court.” L.U. CIV. R. 16(b)(3)(B). Accordingly, should the parties seek discovery on the immunity issue, they should, within 10 days hereof, file a motion outlining, in general terms, the discovery sought and the time necessary to conduct the same.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the aforementioned proceedings are hereby **STAYED** pending a ruling on the immunity motion. Defendants shall notify the undersigned

magistrate judge within seven (7) days of a decision on the immunity defense motion and shall submit a proposed order lifting the stay.

**SO ORDERED** this, the 26th day of October, 2015.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**