Hill v. Goodwin et al Doc. 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI OXFORD DIVISION

FRANKIE WAYNE HILL

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:18-cv-00015-GHD-JMV

PERRY GOODWIN MACEL APPLETON DENNIS HOPPER

DEFENDANTS

ORDER STAYING CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS

This matter comes before the court, *sua sponte*, on Defendants' motion to dismiss premised on qualified immunity [7] filed February 13, 2018.

Local Uniform Civil Rule 16(b)(3)(B) provides that "[f]iling a motion asserting...an immunity defense ... stays the attorney conference and disclosure requirements and all discovery, pending the court's ruling on the motion, including any appeal. Whether to permit discovery on issues related to the motion... [is a decision] committed to the discretion of the court." L. U. Civ. R. 16(b)(3)(B).

Accordingly, a stay of certain proceedings is appropriate. Should the parties desire to undertake immunity-related discovery, they should contact the court for scheduling of same.

IT IS, THERFORE, ORDERED that the case management conference and the aforementioned proceedings are hereby **STAYED** pending a ruling on the motion. Defendants shall notify the undersigned magistrate judge within seven (7) days of a decision on the motion and shall submit a proposed order lifting the stay.

SO ORDERED this, February 14, 2018.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE