

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
GREENVILLE DIVISION**

**JAMES ARTHUR JUDD AND THE  
ESTATE OF KEVIN BOWENS**

**PLAINTIFFS**

**VS.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:16-cv-119-DMB-JMV**

**CHRISTOPHER EPPS, ET AL.**

**DEFENDANTS**

**ORDER STAYING CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS**

Local Uniform Civil Rule 16(b)(3)(B) provides that “[f]iling...an immunity defense ... motion stays the attorney conference and disclosure requirements and all discovery not related to the issue pending the court’s ruling on the motion, including any appeal. Whether to permit discovery on issues related to a motion asserting an immunity defense ...is a decision committed to the discretion of the court.” L.U. CIV. R. 16(b)(3)(B). Accordingly, staying discovery not related to the immunity issue in this case is appropriate at this time.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the aforementioned proceedings are hereby **STAYED** pending a ruling on the motion to dismiss [50]. Defendants shall notify the undersigned magistrate judge within seven (7) days of a decision on the motion and shall submit a proposed order lifting the stay.

**SO ORDERED** this, Tuesday, December 12, 2017.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**