Bell v. Coleman et al Doc. 95

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI GREENVILLE DIVISION

JEFFERY BELL PLAINTIFF

V. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 4:17-CV-47-SA-JMV

ANTONIO COLEMAN, and WHOLESALE GLASS DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

**DEFENDANTS** 

**ORDER** 

A week after the deadline for filing motions in limine passed, the Defendants filed a Motion [89] requesting an extension of the deadline for all Parties. The instant Motion fails to provide any explanation as to why the Parties failed to meet the deadline. The instant Motion also fails to provide any argument or reasons as to why the deadline should be extended and fails to comply with Local Uniform Civil Rule 7(b). For all of these reasons, the Defendant's Motion for Extension of Time [89] is denied.

Before the Court had an opportunity to rule on the time extension, the Defendants filed a Motion in Limine [91] out of time and without leave from the Court. Because this Motion is clearly untimely, the Court strikes the Motion and will not consider it.

The Defendants Motion for Extension of Time [89] is DENIED.

The Defendants' Motion in Limine [91] is STRICKEN.

It is SO ORDERED, on this the 25th day of June, 2018

/s/ Sharion Aycock

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE