

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
GREENVILLE DIVISION**

WILBERT COLEMAN

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 4:20CV126-DAS

TIMOTHY MORRIS, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION [26] TO AMEND COMPLAINT**

This matter comes before the court on the motion [26] by the plaintiff to amend his complaint.

A “court should freely give leave [to amend] when justice so requires.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)).

Although not automatic, the decision to grant or deny such leave is within the sound discretion of the district court. *Bloom v. Bexar County, Texas*, 130 F.3d 722, 727 (5th Cir. 1997), *Halbert v. City of Sherman*, 33 F.3d 526, 529 (5th Cir. 1994). The motion [26] is well taken and is **GRANTED**. The instant complaint is deemed amended as of the date the instant motion was filed.

SO ORDERED, this, the 16th day of July, 2021.

/s/ David A. Sanders

DAVID A. SANDERS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE