

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
PENSACOLA DIVISION**

MARY HAMEL-SCHWULST

VS

CASE NO. 3:08cv529 MCR/EMT

JEFFEREY P. NEGROTTO, ET AL

**REFERRAL AND ORDER**

Referred to Judge Rodgers on 11/03/2009

Type of Motion/Pleading OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE, ELIZABETH  
TIMOTHY'S RULING OF OCTOBER 26, 2009, RE: THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT  
APPEAL TO THE DISTRICT COURT, TITLE 28:636 U.S.C., LOCAL RULE 72.1

Filed by: Plaintiff on 11/2/09 Doc. No. 130

( ) Stipulated/Consented/Joint Pleading

RESPONSES:

\_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ Doc. No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ Doc. No. \_\_\_\_\_

WILLIAM M. McCOOL, CLERK OF COURT

/s/ Lynn C. Uhl

Deputy Clerk: Lynn Uhl

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the foregoing, it is ORDERED this 5th day of November, 2009, that:

The requested relief is DENIED. On consideration of plaintiff's objections to the magistrate judge's order the court concludes the magistrate judge's order is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to the law. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed.R.Civ.P. 72. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's order is Affirmed.

s/ M. Casey Rodgers

**M. CASEY RODGERS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Entered On Docket: \_\_\_\_\_ By: \_\_\_\_\_

Rules 58 & 79(a) FRCP or 32(d)(1) & 55 FRCP

Copies sent to: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Document No.