## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

JESSE M. SKINNER and MANUEL	§	PLAINTIFFS
E. SKINNER JR.	§	
	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	CIVIL NO.: 1:13cv314-HSO-RHW
	§	
JOHN BORDAGES JR., CRAIG	§	DEFENDANTS
SHOWS, JOHN HAWKINS, MARY	§	
FORETICH, TERRY DAVIS, KEITH	§	
DAVIS, CARLO ROBOTTI, BRIAN	§	
MACCARTHY, TOM DEICHMANN,	§	
BURNELL DEDEAUX, LUIS	§	
HAWKINS, CHARLES BRANDON	§	
MOORE, KARL WINTER, GPCH-GP,	§	
INC., JOHN B. METCALF, ROBERT	§	
HILLIER, TOM WHEELER, ROY	§	
<b>HUGH FLEMING, and JOHN/JANE</b>	§	
DOES 1-4	§	

## FINAL JUDGMENT

This matter came on to be heard *sua sponte* on the Court's Order to Show Cause [122]. The Court, after a full review and consideration of Plaintiffs' Response [126], the record, and relevant legal authorities, finds that in accord with the reasons more fully stated in its Memorandum Opinion and Order entered herewith, along with its previous Orders [76] [77] [101] [115], this civil action should be dismissed.

 $\label{eq:total_control_in_control_in_control} \textbf{IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED} \text{ that this civil action} \\ \textbf{is DISMISSED.} \\$ 

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this the 6th day of August, 2015.

s/ Halil Suleyman Ozerden

HALIL SULEYMAN OZERDEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE