Guthrie v. Dodson et al Doc. 26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

CURTIS MARK GUTHRIE

PLAINTIFF

v. CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:22-cv-00128-TBM-BWR

LISA DODSON, et al.

DEFENDANTS

FINAL JUDGMENT

This cause is before the Court *sua sponte* for consideration of dismissal. Pursuant to the Memorandum and Order of Dismissal issued this date and incorporated herein by reference,

PREJUDICE as frivolous and for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). This dismissal is effective until the conditions outlined in *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87, 114 S. Ct. 2364, 129 L.Ed.2d 383 (1994), are met.

IT IS, FURTHER, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this civil action is separately DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE against each Defendant for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

IT IS, FURTHER, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this dismissal counts as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff Curtis Mark Guthrie is advised that, if he receives three strikes, "he may not proceed [in forma pauperis] in any civil action or appeal filed while he is incarcerated or detained in any facility unless he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." Adongo v. Tex., 124 F. App'x 230, 232 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)).

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this 10th day of November, 2022.

TAYLOR B. McNEEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE