Lindsay v. Fox et al Doc. 12

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

DAMIEN DERRELL LINDSAY

PLAINTIFF

 \mathbf{v} .

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:22-cv-00273-TBM-RPM

UNKNOWN FOX, et al.

DEFENDANTS

FINAL JUDGMENT

This cause is before the Court *sua sponte* for consideration of dismissal. Pursuant to the Memorandum Opinion and Order of Dismissal issued this date and incorporated herein by

reference,

IT IS, HEREBY, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this civil action is DISMISSED

WITH PREJUDICE as frivolous and for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. §

1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(ii).

IT IS, FURTHER, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this dismissal counts as a

"strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). See Washington v. Collier, 747 F. App'x 221, 223 (5th Cir.

2018). Plaintiff Damien Derrell Lindsay is advised that, if he receives three strikes, "he may not

proceed [in forma pauperis] in any civil action or appeal filed while he is incarcerated or detained in

any facility unless he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." See Adongo v. Tex., 124

F. App'x 230, 232 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)).

SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this 23rd day of December, 2022.

TAYLOR B. McNEÆL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE