

The Report accordingly recommends dismissing the Petition as moot. *See* [11] at 1–3. The Report notified Petitioner that failure to file written objections would bar further appeal in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636. *See id.* at 3.

When no party objects to a Magistrate Judge’s report, the Court need not review it de novo. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Instead, the Court can apply the clearly erroneous, abuse-of-discretion, and contrary-to-law standard of review. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam).

Petitioner did not object to the Report, and the time to do so has passed. The Court finds that the Report is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law. So the Court adopts the Report as the opinion of this Court.

The Court has considered all arguments. Those not addressed would not have changed the outcome. For the stated reasons, the Court ADOPTS the [11] Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Michael T. Parker; and DISMISSES the [1] Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus without prejudice as moot. The Court will issue a separate final judgment consistent with this Order.

SO ORDERED, this 4th day of June, 2024.

s/ Kristi H. Johnson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE