# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION

CHARLES E. ROTHMAN,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	)
GEORGE LOMBARDI, et al.,	)
Defendants	)

No. 1:10CV00210 SNLJ

## **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon the motion of George Rothman (registration no. 1184673), an inmate at Southeast Correctional Center, for leave to commence this action without payment of the required filing fee [Doc. #2]. For the reasons stated below, the Court finds that plaintiff does not have sufficient funds to pay the entire filing fee and will assess an initial partial filing fee of \$31.50. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Furthermore, based upon a review of the complaint, the Court finds that the complaint should be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

## 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), a prisoner bringing a civil action in forma pauperis is required to pay the full amount of the filing fee. If the prisoner has insufficient funds in his or her prison account to pay the entire fee, the Court must assess and, when funds exist, collect an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the greater of (1) the average monthly deposits in the prisoner's account, or (2) the average monthly balance in the prisoner's account for the prior six-month period. After payment of the initial partial filing fee, the prisoner is required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). The agency having custody of the prisoner will forward these monthly payments to the Clerk of Court each time the amount in the prisoner's account exceeds \$10, until the filing fee is fully paid. <u>Id.</u>

Plaintiff has submitted an affidavit and a certified copy of his prison account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the submission of his complaint. A review of plaintiff's account indicates an average monthly deposit of \$157.50, and an average monthly balance of \$111.42. Plaintiff has insufficient funds to pay the entire filing fee. Accordingly, the Court will assess an initial partial filing fee of \$31.50, which is 20 percent of plaintiff's average monthly deposit.

## 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court must dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis if the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. An action is frivolous if it "lacks an arguable basis in either law or fact." <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 328 (1989); <u>Denton v. Hernandez</u>, 504 U.S.

25, 31 (1992). An action is malicious if it is undertaken for the purpose of harassing the named defendants and not for the purpose of vindicating a cognizable right. <u>Spencer v. Rhodes</u>, 656 F. Supp. 458, 461-63 (E.D.N.C. 1987), <u>aff'd</u> 826 F.2d 1059 (4th Cir. 1987). A complaint fails to state a claim if it does not plead "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." <u>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</u>, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007).

#### The Complaint

Plaintiff brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Named as defendants are George Lombardi (Director, Missouri Department of Corrections), Jeff Norman (Warden, SECC), Lisa Jones (Constituent Services Officer), Lorene Armstrong (Caseworker), and Timothy Holsten (same). Plaintiff seeks declaratory, injunctive, and monetary relief.

Plaintiff alleges he was placed in administrative segregation from February through March 2010. Plaintiff says that while he was confined to administrative segregation, \$550.48 was removed from his inmate account. Plaintiff does not know who took the money. Plaintiff claims that he told defendants Armstrong and Holsten about the lost money but they told him they could not help him. Plaintiff states that they should have conducted an investigation about the lost money.

#### Discussion

Plaintiff does not allege that any of the defendants purposely deprived him of his property. Therefore, the complaint sounds in negligence. No due process claim exists if the loss of a plaintiff's property was the result of negligence. <u>See Daniels v.</u> <u>Williams</u>, 474 U.S. 327, 328 (1986). As a result, the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #2] is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the plaintiff shall pay an initial filing fee of \$31.50 within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. Plaintiff is instructed to make his remittance payable to "Clerk, United States District Court," and to include upon it: (1) his name; (2) his prison registration number; (3) the case number; and (4) that the remittance is for an original proceeding.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall not issue process or cause process to issue upon the complaint because the complaint is legally frivolous or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or both.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel [Doc. #4] is **DENIED** as moot.

An Order of Dismissal will accompany this Memorandum and Order.

Dated this <u>3rd</u> day of January, 2011.

This heybrag

STEPHEN N. LIMBAUGH, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE