UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION

| DEMARIO MARKEL MITCHELL, |) | |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| v. |) | No. 1:15CV189 SNLJ |
| |) | |
| TORRANCE AKINS, et al., |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiff, a prisoner, brings this action against Torrance Akins and the Pemiscot County Justice Center for excessive force.

Standard of Review

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court is required to dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis if it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. To state a claim for relief under § 1983, a complaint must plead more than "legal conclusions" and "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action [that are] supported by mere conclusory statements." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). A plaintiff must demonstrate a plausible claim for relief, which is more than a "mere possibility of misconduct." *Id.* at 679. "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *Id.* at 678. Determining whether a complaint states a plausible claim for relief [is] a context-specific task that requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense. *Id.* at 679.

Discussion

Plaintiff alleges that he had an argument with defendant Akins. He claims that Akins followed him to his cell and choked him several times. He also says he injured his head.

Plaintiff wants to sue the Justice Center because jail officials did not process his criminal complaint against Akins.

Plaintiff did not specify whether he is suing defendant Akins in his official or individual capacity. Where a "complaint is silent about the capacity in which [plaintiff] is suing defendant, [a district court must] interpret the complaint as including only official-capacity claims." *Egerdahl v. Hibbing Community College*, 72 F.3d 615, 619 (8th Cir. 1995); *Nix v. Norman*, 879 F.2d 429, 431 (8th Cir. 1989). Naming a government official in his or her official capacity is the equivalent of naming the government entity that employs the official. *Will v. Michigan Dep't of State Police*, 491 U.S. 58, 71 (1989). To state a claim against a municipality or a government official in his or her official capacity, plaintiff must allege that a policy or custom of the government entity is responsible for the alleged constitutional violation. *Monell v. Dep't of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658, 690-91 (1978). The instant complaint does not contain any allegations that a policy or custom of a government entity was responsible for the alleged violations of plaintiff's constitutional rights. As a result, the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and it is subject to immediate dismissal.

Furthermore, plaintiff's claim against the Justice Center is legally frivolous because the Justice Center cannot be sued. *Ketchum v. City of West Memphis*, Ark., 974 F.2d 81, 82 (8th Cir. 1992) (departments or subdivisions of local government are "not juridical entities suable as such.").

Because plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the Court will allow plaintiff to file an amended

complaint. Plaintiff is warned that the filing of an amended complaint replaces the original

complaint, and so he must include each and every one of his claims in the amended

complaint. E.g., In re Wireless Telephone Federal Cost Recovery Fees Litigation, 396 F.3d

922, 928 (8th Cir. 2005). Any claims from the original complaint that are not included in

the amended complaint will be considered abandoned. Id. Plaintiff must allege how each

and every defendant is directly responsible for the alleged harm. In order to sue

defendants in their individual capacities, plaintiff must specifically say so in the complaint.

If plaintiff fails to sue defendants in their individual capacities, this action may be subject

to dismissal.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to send plaintiff a prisoner civil

rights complaint form.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff must file an amended complaint within

twenty-one (21) days of the date of this Order

Dated this 28th day of December, 2015.

STEPHEN N. LIMBAUGH, JŔ.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3