

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION**

DAVID HUNDLEY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 1:24-cv-82-ACL
)	
MIDAMERICA HOTELS CORP.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on review of the file. The Eighth Circuit has admonished district courts to “be attentive to a satisfaction of jurisdictional requirements in all cases.” *Sanders v. Clemco Indus.*, 823 F.2d 214, 216 (8th Cir. 1987). “In every federal case the court must be satisfied that it has jurisdiction before it turns to the merits of other legal arguments.” *Carlson v. Arrowhead Concrete Works, Inc.*, 445 F.3d 1046, 1050 (8th Cir. 2006). “A plaintiff who seeks to invoke diversity jurisdiction of the federal courts must plead citizenship distinctly and affirmatively.” 15 James Wm. Moore, et al., *Moore’s Federal Practice* § 102.31 (3d ed. 2010).

The Complaint in this case asserts that the Court has jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the lawsuit is between citizens of different States and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000. However, plaintiff alleges that he is “an individual residing in Jonesboro, Arkansas.” [Doc. 1 at ¶ 1.] The complaint does not appear to allege plaintiff’s citizenship. Alleging residence is insufficient for

purposes of determining whether diversity jurisdiction exists. *See Reece v. Bank of New York Mellon*, 760 F.3d 771, 777-78 (8th Cir. 2014).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, by **May 24, 2024**, plaintiff shall file an amended complaint in accordance with this memorandum.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if plaintiff does not timely and fully comply with this order, this matter will be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other proceedings in this case are **STAYED** pending further order of this Court.

Dated this 10th day of May, 2024.

/s/ Abbie Crites-Leoni
ABBIE CRITES-LEONI
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE