

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

<b>ELIZABETH J. HEUER,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 2:11-CV-00051-NAB</b>
	)	
<b>MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,</b>	)	
<b>Commissioner of Social Security</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION  
ON PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF**

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff’s second Motion for Extension of Time to File a Brief in support of her Social Security Complaint. [\[Doc. 16\]](#). Defendant did not respond to the motion. Having fully considered the arguments set forth by Plaintiff, the Court grants the Motion for Extension of Time in part.

**Discussion**

On November 21, 2011, Plaintiff filed her second Motion for Extension of Time to file a brief in support of her complaint. Plaintiff seeks a thirty day extension. Previously, the Court entered an order granting Plaintiff’s first Motion for Extension of Time to file her brief. [\[Doc. 15\]](#). The Court granted Plaintiff a thirty day extension. *Id.* In that order, the Court stated that “[n]o further extensions will be granted without due cause.” *See Id.* In the present motion, Plaintiff’s counsel fails to provide the Court with any reason for why he needs an additional thirty days to prepare Plaintiff’s brief. Therefore, finding that Plaintiff has failed to show due cause for an additional thirty day extension, the Court will only grant Plaintiff an additional

