

Home Healthcare, Inc. v. P.T.-O.T. Associates of the Black Hills, 141 F.3d 1284, 1286 (8th Cir. 1998). Rule 59(e) motions serve the limited function of correcting “manifest errors of law or fact or to present newly discovered evidence.” United States v. Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, 440 F.3d 930, 933 (8th Cir. 2006) (citations omitted).


II. Discussion

Plaintiff does not oppose an amendment changing his disability onset date to April 5, 2005. However, plaintiff does oppose the remainder of defendant’s motion to amend and alter the judgment. Because the Court has already considered and rejected defendant’s arguments regarding the “currency” requirements for plaintiff’s IQ scores (See Memorandum and Order dated January 28, 2009), the Court will not address the remaining arguments set forth in defendant’s motion.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant’s motion to alter and amend the Judgment dated January 28, 2009 [Doc. #35] is **granted with respect to the disability onset date.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant’s motion is **denied in all other respects.**



CAROL E. JACKSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 6th day of April, 2009.