1 A : Yes. Did your supervisor review your work? 2 Α: Yes. 4 Was that part of the training, I suppose? Q: 5 Not really. You're -- can I just answer this A: 6 voluntarily? If you worked for a daily paper, your 7 supervisor is the readers. If you make a mistake and 8 don't catch it, a grammatical/punctuational error, 9 somebody among the 300,000 readers in St. Louis will. 10 And they will call and your boss will say "how come 11 you let this go?" Usually we get caught more by the 12 readers than we do our immediate supervisors. So, 13 there really wasn't any training, because you had to 14 take a six-hour editing test and be hired part-time. 15 That was it. No one trained you. They assumed you knew how to do it or you wouldn't be working for a big 16 17 paper. 18 Q: Did the Post have many part-time, what you 19 term part-time employees? 20 When I went to work in the eighties there **A**: were three or four of us, but I was the only one who 21 22 was quote full-time/part-time. 23 What do you mean by full-time/part-time? 24 **A**: After five years, the Guild allows you to be considered a Guild member and you're a full-time --25

		32
og 1 og	you're a	a full-time worker, but you only work
2 3		me. And I was the only one in that category ile, because I was the only one that didn't
4	want to	work at the Post full-time. I wanted to keep
5	my job a	at St. Louis U. Because that's what I loved to
6	do.	
7	Q:	And during the academic year, you were
8	working	two days a week for the Post?
9	A:	At night.
10	Q:	At night, okay.
11	A:	And frequently on the weekends.
12	Q:	And I think you said that there was a period
13	of time	when you worked three days a week?
14	A:	When there is no school.
15	Q:	When there is no school?
16	A:	Christmas break, Thanksgiving break.
17	Q:	And then you worked four days a week
18	A:	During the summer.
19	Q:	during the summer. Do you know
20	approxi	mately how many days a year that would be that
21	you migh	nt work?
22	A:	It ended up being almost precisely 2.5 days a
23	week at	the end of the calendar year.
24	Q:	So, about 125 days?
25	A:	About half-time, exactly. About 20 hours a

1	
1	week, actually, average, average. Some weeks it was
2 3	less, some weeks it was more. At the end of the calendar year it was almost exactly half-time.
4	Q: With regard to your work at the University
5	News, how would you receive the copy to be edited and
6	reviewed?
7	A: In the seventies and eighties it was
8	different than it was now, pre-computer. We used to
9	get what was called wax pages. Does that mean
10	anything to you?
11	Q: Yes, it does.
12	A: Okay. We used to get wax pages and we'd read
13	them and take them to the printers who were then
14	Nordmann down on Chippewa near Gravois, and they would
15	make the corrections. Since it's computers, we get
16	entire proof sheets and we make the corrections.
17	Q: When you say and how do you receive the
18	proof sheets, is that electronically?
19	A: I'm not sure I know what you're asking me.
20	Do I have a hard copy as opposed to on the screen?
21	Q: Correct.
22	A: We get a hard copy.
23	Q: You get a hard copy?
24	A: Yes.
25	Q: And it's been that way for
	1

1	A: Since we went to computers.
2 3	Q: Did you ever receive, ahead of this 8 to 10-hour period that you were working to get the paper
4	out, would you receive copy by, in electronic form?
5	A: No.
6	Q: So, was all your editing done there at the
7	Busch Center?
8	A: Yes.
9	Q: Was the position of advisor or mentor, is
10	that an appointed position?
11	A: I don't know by whom it would be appointed,
12	up to about three or four years ago. The students
13	sort of did it by acclamation and osmosis the first
14	couple, three years, because no one else was
15	interested.
16	Q: And the first couple, three years were like
17	in the mid-seventies?
18	A: Early seventies, mid-seventies.
19	Q: And then after that and you were your
20	pay, was that from the University, St. Louis
21	University?
22	A: That's a complicated question because the
23	University handles the money flow from the advertising
24	in the school paper. Even though the students raise
25	the money by advertising, the school usually

distributes it under their auspices because we don't 1 have a bank account, per se, so, it came from student 2 funds that went into the University and was put on my 3 monthly paycheck. 4 Okay. Through the University? 5 0: A: Yes. 6 7 And with regard -- well, what resources were Q: available to you and the students at the University 8 9 News? Define "resources". 10 Α: Resources in the way of, you know, computers, 11 0: I mean, what was there in the offices in order for you 12 all to print them --13 14 It was different early-on than later. 15 Q: Okay. Early-on it was typewriters and tables and 16 **A**: chairs, some of which were furnished, some of which 17 the students brought in on their own, and we gradually 18 19 made the change to computers in the early eighties, and the University newspaper staff is paid for 20 everything in the office, University gives us a room, 21 we own everything in it, the staff owns it. 22 Do you know that when the -- when the 23 24 purchase is made of an asset, whether it be a computer or whatever, does the University News use the 25

1. 1	tax-exempt status of the University?
2 3	A: I do not know. The business side handles that and I work more with production news side than
4	business. I just know they buy the stuff. I really
5	don't know that. The business side does this.
6	Q: And who would know that, who is on the
7	business side?
8	A: Whoever the Business Manager is, and that
9	varies from year to year, depending on which student
10	takes it over.
11	Q: Would you be surprised if the University News
12	took advantage of the tax-exempt status of the
13	University?
14	A: I never thought of
15	MR. GILL: Objection, vague.
16	A: I never thought about it.
17	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. But would you be
18	surprised if they utilized that to where they didn't
19	have to pay for taxes on purchases that they make?
20	A: I suppose anybody that can avoid paying taxes
21	would.
22	Q: So, you wouldn't be surprised if they
23	utilized that. Would you review, for the University
24	News, all the articles that were to be published for
25	that particular issue?

A: Not all. It's almost impossible to read the 1 whole paper, plus, there are two of us, at least that 2 3 read these, and maybe three or four, depending on staff. 4 5 0: How would it be selected for you to review a particular article? 6 7 Α: It's a round-robin. Whoever is not doing something, reads. We have a Chief Copy Editor and we 8 9 have the Editor in Chief and me, and as long as one of 10 us has read the final copy before it goes to the 11 printer, we usually let it go. 12 And the other two positions that you just Q: 13 named, are those student positions? 14 **A**: Yes. 15 Q: Did the students, in addition to, I quess coming to you to review their copy, would they also 16 17 come to you for advice with regard to other aspects of Journalism? 18 19 A: They would do that probably with the Copy Editor or the Chief as well, but all three of us. 20 21 And what type of advice would they seek in addition to --22 23 "Is this correctly phrased, is this fair, is 24 this decent, do you think this is okay, am I being too 25 cute", that sort of thing.

	·	
: ; 1 :	da - 194 Q ‡ a	Would they come to you, and maybe it's not
2 3		this 8 to 10-hour period that we're talking hat you're getting the newspaper out, but would
4	they al	so come to you for advice with regard to their
5	profess	sional aspirations?
6	A:	Occasionally.
7	Q:	Did you see that as part of your role as an
8	advisor	for the University News?
9	A:	Generally, yes.
10	Q:	And did you were some of these students
11	that we	ere on the newspaper, were they also students of
12	yours i	n class?
13	A:	Occasionally.
14	Q:	And would you also have a similar role as to
15	advisir	ng with regard to professional aspirations in
16	your po	sition as a Professor with the University?
17	A:	Do you mean students who are not on the
18	paper,	but only in my classes?
19	Q:	Either way, either that they were on the
20	paper o	or not on the paper and in your classes.
21	A:	If they want some advice about what they
22	should	do after they graduate, would they come to me?
23	Q:	Yes.
24	A:	Sure, occasionally.
25	Q:	Did you help did you help members of the

. 4 1	University News staff seek, or obtain positions within
2 3	the media? A: If they asked me to write letters of
4	recommendation, I would do it when I could.
5	Q: And when you wrote letters of recommendation,
6	did you write them on University letterhead?
7	A: Usually.
8	Q: And when you wrote letters of recommendation
9	for students in your class, would that similarly be on
10	University letterhead?
11	A: Usually.
12	Q: With regard to the St. Louis Review, have you
13	ever authored any articles for the St. Louis Review?
14	A: I've done a book review, or maybe two, and I
15	think I did a piece about the Post-Dispatch retirement
16	party, back two or three years ago, and there may be
17	another one. There is not many. I'm mainly a Copy
18	Editor.
19	Q: I just thought of something. When you
20	retired from the Post-Dispatch, how many
21	full-time/part-time individuals were there, editors,
22	Copy Editors?
23	A: Copy Editors only?
24	Q: Yes.
25	A: Not the whole building?

. 1 ,	was being Well, Copy Editors. Some this will be the specific of the constant of the second of the se
2 3	A: 25, give or take. There were two part-time. Q: Full-time/part-time?
4	A: Of 25 Copy Editors, two were part-time.
5	Q: Okay. That were in the same category as you?
6	A: I was one of them, yes.
7	Q: Okay.
8	A: One other besides me.
9	Q: Okay. With regard to the well, are you
10	familiar with the history of the University News?
11	A: Reasonably.
12	Q: Can you please describe it for me?
13	A: It was founded in 1928 by Claude Heithaus, a
14	Jesuit, and for the first 30, 40 years, it was a
15	little tabloid, black and white weekly, by "tabloid",
16	open like a book. Somewhere around 1960s or so, now
17	I'm guessing, Heithaus died, I don't think he was
18	active even before that, and they decided to have
19	editors appointed semesterly instead of annually.
20	That obtained until the early seventies, I don't know
21	why the change was made, and in the early seventies I
22	came along, and the paper has been changed from a
23	tabloid to a full broad sheet and eventually to full
24	color and eventually fully computerized, very
25	gradually over the past 25, 30 years.

1	Q: Do you keep a journal, personal journal?
2 3	A: No. Q: Do you keep a calendar?
4	A: I throw it away at the end of the year. But
5	my pad on my desk, appointments, that sort of thing,
6	that's what you mean?
7	Q: Sure.
8	A: No, I don't I do, but I throw it away.
9	Q: Do you keep do you keep notes of meetings?
10	A: No.
11	Q: Do you keep copies of things that were done
12	in connection to your duties and responsibilities with
13	regard to the University News, your files?
14	A: There wouldn't be anything to keep. I don't
15	write that stuff down.
16	Q: Do you keep notes when you attend meetings?
17	A: I try not to.
18	Q: Do you sometimes?
19	A: Sometimes I'm forced to because we have to
20	take notes per, one per person, during the year, and I
21	take notes and write up meetings for the department
22	and that sort of thing, but I don't keep them, I pitch
23	them.
24	Q: Do you attend meetings of the staff of the
25	University News?

	02
1.	A: Hardly ever, unless they ask.
2 3	Q: When you attend those meetings, would you take notes?
4	A: No.
5	Q: Would notes be circulated after the meeting
6	as to the content?
7	A: Not to me, not to me.
8	Q: So, you wouldn't review them for content and
9	accuracy?
10	A: I was, rarely. I cannot remember the last
11	time they asked me to sit in on a U. News meeting
12	where they went through the upcoming stories or
13	reviewed the other stories. If they want me to be
14	there, I'll be there, but it hardly ever happens,
15	hardly ever.
16	Q: With regard to the circumstances underlying
17	this case, have you had telephone conversations with
18	people in that regard?
19	A: Some.
20	Q: And have you also had face-to-face meetings
21	with people in that regard?
22	A: Some, some.
23	Q: And can you tell me, to the best that you can
24	recollect, who some of the people you would have had
25	telephone conversations with?

	A: That's almost impossible to answer. This has
2 3	been going on for months, and the U. News Alumni probably spans 30 years, take that times 10 students
4	per year, and a dozen or so students in classes whom
5	I'm relatively close, you are talking about six or
6	seven hundred kids, and I can't even begin to imagine
7	I'd be able to remember all those kids and all those
8	names.
9	Q: Well, what I'm talking about is the telephone
10	conversations that you would have had over the last,
11	let's say six or seven months, since this lawsuit has
12	been pending.
13	A: Well, since I get five or six phone calls
14	almost every afternoon, times five or six weeks, four
15	or five weeks per month for six or seven months,
16	again, that would be almost impossible to recall, and
17	I'm not begging the question, I just don't think it
18	would be possible to do it.
19	Q: Do you remember who called you last week?
20	A: Probably.
21	Q: Okay. And who would that be?
22	A: Phil Lyons.
23	Q: And who is Phil Lyons?
24	A: He is an ex-academic Vice President, he
25	wanted to see how things were going.

Г	
og 1, 19	Q: Did he ask about the circumstances underlying
2 3	this case? A: No. His question was, almost exact, "how are
4	things going?"
5	Q: Okay. Anyone else that would have asked you
6	about, or that you would have talked to about
7	A: In the last couple weeks?
8	Q: Yes.
9	A: My brother, both my brothers, as a matter of
10	fact.
11	Q: All right. Anyone else?
12	A: My wife's retired nun aunt in New York.
13	Q: How about any former students that worked on
14	the University News?
15	A: Not recently. School has been out for almost
16	a year excuse me for a month, so, they sort of
17	take off and know that we're not there during the
18	summer.
19	Q: How about in how about two months ago?
20	A: It would be unfair to answer that because I
21	might be inaccurate. I might mention someone's name
22	who hadn't called.
23	Q: Who would not have called?
24	A: Yes.
25	Q: Do you recall who you would have discussed

1	the circumstances underlying this case with, whether
2	it was two months ago or four months ago?
3	A: Generally, and Brian and I have talked about
4	this very briefly, I've tried to keep a low profile on
5	this and I tell them facts, not opinions, that I
6	Q: Okay.
7	A: Should I answer this?
8	Q: Yes.
9	A: I registered the name, I deregistered the
10	name, I got sued, and that's where we are.
11	Q: Okay. And who, if you can recall the names
12	of some people who you had these conversations with?
13	A: Some have been University News Alumni.
14	Q: And their names would be?
15	A: Cathy Wallace, who is Dean of Arts and
16	Science at Ohio State in Columbus, her husband, who is
17	a State's Attorney for the State of Ohio, Tom Dillon,
18	they both called to check. Mary Shillet, who works
19	for Philadelphia Inquirer, and her husband, who is a
20	colleague who teaches at Villanova, I think.
21	Q: Okay.
22	A: And I have heard from him in the last couple
23	months. I can't think of anybody else who's called
24	recently.
25	Q: Have you had any conversations as it relates

to the circumstances underlying this case with anyone 1.0 2 where you would have kept notes? A: No. Have you searched your files for any notes 4 Q: that you might have relating to this case? 5 6 A: No. 7 I would ask you to do that because we did Q: have a document request for this, and would ask you to 8 do that. We'll cover that in a little bit here. 9 Okay. 10 **A**: With regard to the University News, what is 11 0: the audience that it is directed to? 12 13 Ideally, the students. Generally, more the 14 under-grads than the grad students, Med School, Law School, that sort of thing, because those guys are 15 16 busier, but it's generally the 5500 or so students 17 that make up the Arts and Science, Liberal Arts in the north campus, generally. 18 19 0: And can you tell me, how is the newspaper distributed? 20 21 A: They drop it off in bundles that vary from 50 to 100 at about, this is a business thing again, I'm 22 23 quessing a dozen sites or more scattered along north 24 campus and south campus. 25 Q: Are there any bundles dropped off, off

1 campus? The second of the control of 2 I think, again, this is the business side, I 3 think they may take some to a few restaurants nearby, 4 but again, that's the business. I'm not real certain 5 about this. Do you know whether the publication is mailed 6 to alumni? 7 Α: Yes. 8 Do they pay for a subscription? 9 Q: 10 **A**: Most of them. 1.1. Do you know whether there is any other Q: 12 similar type papers serving this audience for --13 A: I do not. Tell me, during your time period at the 14 Q: 15 University News, has it received any awards? 16 A: Yes. 17 And what kind of awards would that be? 0: There is two sources, one is called the 18 A: 19 Missouri Collegiate, it used to be called Newspaper 20 Association, now I think it's the Media Association, 21 and the second group is the Associated Press College, 22 that's APC, we are nominated for the latter by someone 23 who is in APC and we enter --What does APC mean? 24 0: Associated Press College. It's not 25 **A**:

\ 1	Associa	ted Press, per se. And we've won awards from
2		and we have also won awards regularly from the i Collegiate Newspaper Association.
4	Q:	And what types of awards would these be?
5	A:	Usually writing for editorials, or writing
6	for supp	port, or writing for features, other standard
7	things.	
8	Q:	And how many collegiate newspapers would they
9	be compe	eting against?
10	A:	Well, Missouri alone, there is almost 45, I
11	think.	I'm close, 45.
12	Q:	And in the other category, is that throughout
13	the cou	ntry?
14	A:	Then you're getting into something like the
15	thousan	ds.
16	Q:	And is this, is it typical for the University
17	News to	win awards every year?
18	A:	The level varies. Some year we do first
19	place a	lot, some times we get more seconds and
20	thirds,	but we always get something.
21	Q:	And so, there is generally the newspaper
22	is reco	gnized for, and the students are recognized for
23	their w	ork?
24	A:	Correct.
25	Q:	And would you say that the University News

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	has a fa	avorable reputation within the State of
2	Missour A:	i? That may be a stretch. Among college papers
4	and col	lege students working on papers in the St.
5	Louis a	rea, we probably would be closer.
6	Q:	Okay.
7	A:	We're not really a State paper.
8	Q:	Okay. So, it would be within the Greater St.
9	Louis M	etropolitan Area?
10	A:	Generally, yes.
11	Q:	Would you say that the success of the
12	Univers	ity News has grown from the, let's say the
13	thirtie	es, the forties, from what it was then, to
14	from ma	ybe the eighties to nineties, that it has
15	become	more successful?
16	A:	How do you define success?
17	Q:	More awards.
18	A:	In that case, I'd say yes.
19	Q:	The quality of the paper?
20	A:	Yes, generally, yes.
21	Q:	Is more of a professional paper?
22	A:	Yes.
23		(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3
24		Marked for identification)
25	Q:	(By Mr. Janoski) I want to hand you what's

1	been ma	rked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 and ask you to
3	review A:	Okay. Okay.
4	Q:	Are you familiar with this document?
5	A:	It looks familiar.
6	Q:	Okay. And this is a letter that I sent to
7	you dat	ed June the 22nd, 2007, is that correct?
8	A:	You sent it to my house, yes.
9	Q:	Correct. And that's the first two pages, and
10	then th	e third page is a copy of the certified
11	receipt	; is that correct?
12	A:	Correct.
13	Q:	You'll see on the lower right-hand side of
14	the thi	rd page, there is a signature. Are you
15	familia	r with that signature?
16	A:	It's my daughter.
17	Q:	Okay. And your daughter's name is?
18	A:	Chelsea.
19	Q:	Her last name?
20	A:	Deiters.
21	Q:	Does she live with you?
22	A:	No.
23	Q:	She just happened to be there at the time or
24		
25	A:	Our mail was being transferred to her house

À 1 .	because	we were out of the country.
2 3		Okay. And the address on the face of the is your home address, is that correct?
4	A:	Correct.
5	Q:	And if you'll look at the bottom of the first
6	page, i	t requested, the letter requested a response by
7	June 29	th, 2007, do you see that?
8	A:	Uh-huh.
9	Q:	Do you know whether you responded to this
10	letter	by that date?
11	A:	I could not.
12	Q:	And why is that?
13	A:	Well, Chelsea signed for this that day, and I
14	don't r	remember how long it was before I even knew
15	about t	his, but we were in London for two months.
16	Q:	Okay.
17		(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4
18		Marked for identification)
19	Q:	(By Mr. Janoski) I want to hand you what's
20	been ma	arked as Exhibit 4.
21	A:	Okay.
22	Q:	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, and ask whether you
23	can ide	entify this document.
24	A:	It looks familiar.
25	Q:	This is a letter, one-page document, letter

1 .53	dated A	ugust 16th, 2007; is that correct?
2 3	A : Q:	I think yes. And that is your home address; is that
4	correct	?
5	A:	Correct.
6	Q:	And you recall having seen this document?
7	A:	This one I saw.
8		(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5
9		Marked for identification)
10	Q:	(By Mr. Janoski) I want to hand you what's
11	been ma	rked as Plaintiff's Deposition Exhibit 5 and
12	ask you	to please review those documents.
13	A:	This looks familiar as well.
14	Q:	Okay. And how are you familiar with these
15	documer	uts?
16	A:	The letter on August 16th I remember
17	receivi	ng, and on August 21 I remember deregistering
18	the nam	e, as requested.
19	Q:	Okay. And you'll see that there is a
20	"receiv	red" stamp on the front of the first page of the
21	documer	nt. This document is a five-page document; is
22	that co	errect?
23	A:	Correct. Five pages.
24	Q:	And do you recall sending these documents to
25	me?	

A: I must have. No one else would have, yes.
Q: With regard to the response to the August 16th, 2007 letter, identified as Plaintiff's Exhibit
4, do you recall whether you responded in any other
way to that letter? Did you send a letter back to
anybody?
A: I don't remember if I did or not. I might
have called, but I don't remember.
Q: You might have called who?
A: Probably Brian, but I'm not sure it was that
early. I'm not sure if it was that early or not.
Q: Now, with regard to the first page of the
document, the exhibit, Exhibit 5, can you tell me why
you wrote this letter on University News letterhead?
A: It's what I had at hand when I did it.
Q: And you did it where?
A: Probably at home, but I'm not sure about
that, either. This would have been before school
actually began. I might have been at home when I did
this.
Q: And was that the only reason that you used
University letterhead?
A: It's what I had available, what I had
available.
Q: You didn't have any personal paper available?

1	A: Apparently not, or I wouldn't have used this.
2 3	There is a pile of paper near the computer. It's probably what I used to crank out.
4	Q: Now, with regard to this particular document,
5	do you recall whether you mailed it to the Secretary
6	of State?
7	A: I don't remember that. This has, again, been
8	almost a year ago. I remember mailing it to you to
9	try to comply with the three business days in receipt
10	and I must have mailed a copy to her as well,
11	Carnahan, because they have a record of this,
12	obviously.
13	Q: Now, can you tell me why, on this document,
14	you put the contact address as one at St. Louis
15	University?
16	A: Because that's where I work.
17	Q: And so, that would have been the address that
18	you would have used?
19	A: I would have.
20	Q: And you will notice farther down on the left
21	hand side, that the fee was apparently paid by a
22	credit card?
23	A: Correct.
24	Q: Was that a personal credit card of yours?
25	A: It was.

1	Q: Do you have the statement for this credit
3	card? A: I'm sure somewhere it would be there.
4	Q: Have you searched for the statement for this
5	credit card?
6	A: No.
7	Q: Do you recall how the initial fee was paid to
8	set up the corporation?
9	A: I think I paid \$20 in cash down at the
10	Secretary of State's office.
11	Q: Do you recall the Secretary of State's
12	office where?
13	A: At the Old Post Office down the street here
14	on Washington.
15	Q: Do you know whether you delivered this
16	document, which is the first page of Exhibit 5, also
17	to the Secretary of State's office at the Old Post
18	Office here in downtown St. Louis?
19	A: I didn't come down to do that. I must have
20	mailed it to them.
21	Q: If you'll turn to the second page of this
22	document, Exhibit 5, this is a Certificate of
23	Incorporation, do you see that?
24	A: Uh-huh.
25	Q: Can you tell me why you registered the

corporation as a nonprofit corporation? 1 A: To make sure that it didn't look like we were 2 3 trying to make money off the paper, because we weren't. 4 5 And why was that important? 0: 6 A: I beg your pardon? 7 Q: Why was that important, to not make money off the paper? 8 Because it was a fairly innocent 9 A: registration. 10 11 What do you mean by "innocent registration"? It was trying to save the name for the 12 A: students' use, not to make any profit at all, to save 13 14 the name for the students in case they needed it. 15 And why would the students need it? 0: 16 **A**: There was rewriting of the charter going on 17 by the administration and the students were concerned 18 that it would be so rigid that they weren't sure they 19 would be able to survive. They didn't know if they'd 20 be able to do the paper or not the way they wanted to 21 do it. And there was talk about going online, and so, 22 I decided to register the name so we could save the 23 name because it belonged to the students, I thought. 24 Q: What made you think that the name of the newspaper belonged to the students? 25

5- 1 -5-3	A: Because it's been a student newspaper for 81
2 3	years. Q: But it has the name "St. Louis University" in
4	the name; is that correct?
5	A: As a sub-head, yes.
6	Q: Okay. And you understand that, from your
7	days I'm sure at Procter & Gamble, the value of names
8	of institutions and of trademark rights, is that
9	correct?
10	MR. GILL: Objection, calls for a legal
11	conclusion.
12	MR. JANOSKI: I'm just asking him what he
13	understands.
1.4	A: I don't remember trademark discussions with P
15	& G of any sort.
16	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. But you're familiar
17	with trademarks, is that correct?
18	A: This was a non-profit. There was no plan to
19	make money off this name.
20	Q: I understand that, but you understand, also,
21	that St. Louis University has intellectual property
22	rights in its name?
23	A: Apparently.
24	Q: Well, that was your understanding I mean,
25	as a Professor, you understood that, isn't that true?

1	A: I asked one of the big shots at St. Louis U.
2 3	A question one day and he didn't have an answer. I said "if Humphries puts a big sign in their window
4	that says it's a bar near St. Louis U, it's very
5	popular "serving St. Louis University students for
6	more than 20 years", would that be violating
7	intellectual property?
8	Q: Uh-huh.
9	A: Would it?
10	Q: Which big shot did you ask?
11	A: Joe Wexman.
12	Q: Okay. And what was his answer?
13	A: He just laughed.
14	Q: Okay. And so, it was on that basis that you
15	thought it was okay to take this name and go ahead and
16	incorporate it?
17	A: I didn't think much about that at the time I
18	did it, because I was trying to do the right thing by
19	the students, and that's why it was a nonprofit,
20	because there was no plan to make money, make a profit
21	on this at all.
22	Q: Okay. But you understood, I mean, you've
23	been a University you've been at the University for
24	over 20 years, correct?
25	A: 30.

1	Q: 30 years, I'm sorry, over 30 years. And that
2 3	the St. Louis University name is protected by the University?
4	A: More so than I thought, yes.
5	Q: Now, the third page of this document, can you
6	tell me whose handwriting that is?
7	A: That's mine.
8	Q: And is that your signature?
9	A: It is.
10	Q: And I noticed on this page, in the line that
11	has the No. 2 in parentheses, it says, it has the date
12	of August 20th, 2007?
13	A: That's what I see as well.
14	Q: And it says "on August 20th, 2007, the
15	corporation filed Articles of Dissolution with the
16	Secretary of State". Do you see that?
17	A: Yes.
18	Q: Does that refresh your memory as to whether
19	you would have hand-delivered or had this document
20	hand-delivered to the Secretary of State here in St.
21	Louis?
22	A: I don't think I did. I think, again, I don't
23	remember coming back downtown to do this. I think I
24	mailed this to them. It's been almost a year, but I
25	think I mailed it to them.

1.	Q: And then across from your signature there is
3	a title, and it says "Org. Agent", do you see that? A: Yes.
4	Q: And can you tell me what you meant by that?
5	A: The woman in Jefferson City with whom I
6	spoke, and I have no idea whose name it is, says that
7	she needs to know what agent represents this
8	organization, and so, I said "I put what?" And she
9	said "put organization agent", so I said "okay".
10	Q: Where did you get these forms from?
11	A: I think they mailed them to me. They might
12	have faxed them to me, but again, I'm not sure. It's
13	been a while.
14	Q: Now, I don't see on any of these documents
15	here, and there are three pages, and it looks like
16	it's a form and it's a corporate form on Page 3 of
17	Exhibit 5, Corporate Form 60-A, and then on Page 4
18	it's Corporate Form 45, and I guess it's a two-page
19	form, because it's on the next two pages, 4 and 5, but
20	I don't see any fax header on this.
21	A: It's possible they mailed them to me.
22	Q: And you say that it was the receipt of the
23	August 16th, 2007, letter that initiated taking this
24	action, is that correct?
25	A: Yes, I was trying to comply.

```
Q: And with regard, again, to the bottom of Page
1
      3 of Exhibit 5, there is an address, and is that your
2
3
      address at St. Louis University?
4
          Α:
               It is.
5
          Q:
               Okay. And that is also true on the bottom of
6
      Page 4 --
7
          A:
               Correct.
               -- of this Exhibit 5. Now, I noticed on Page
8
9
       4 of Exhibit 5, these documents that you had sent to
      me, again on Line 2, with the 2 in parentheses, it
10
       says "dissolution was authorized on August 21st,
11
       2007".
1.2
               Uh-huh.
13
          A:
14
          Q:
               Did you see that?
15
          A:
               Yes.
16
          Q:
               Okay. And so --
17
                 MR. GILL: Excuse me.
                 MR. JANOSKI: Asks --
18
19
                 MR. GILL: I'm sorry. Go ahead, I'm
20
       sorry.
21
          Q:
               (By Mr. Janoski)
                                 It says it was approved by
       sufficient vote of the board. Was there a board for
22
       the University News?
23
24
          A:
               No.
25
          0:
               This corporation?
```

		02
(1) (1)		NOVER THE ENGINEER PRODUCTION OF THE CONTRACT OF THE SPECIMENT OF THE CONTRACT
3	Q: A:	There wasn't any vote taken? No, there was no one to vote. I did this
4	largely	by myself.
5	Q:	Did you do it exclusively by yourself?
6	A:	Yes.
7	Q:	Was Ms. Benanti involved in this at all?
8	A:	She knew about it after the fact.
9	Q:	After the fact of the dissolution?
10	A:	No, after the fact it had been registered.
11	Q:	Okay. And then on the last page of this
12	documen	t, is that your signature?
13	A:	It is.
14	Q:	And is that still your handwriting as to the
15	date abo	ove it?
16	A:	It is.
17	Q:	And again, the same understanding with regard
18	to the t	title, "Org. Agent" that you testified
19	earlier	?
20	A:	As instructed by Jefferson City, that's what
21	I did.	
22	Q:	How many times did you speak to the to a
23	person	in Jefferson City with regard to the
24	dissolu	tion of the corporation?
25	A:	I'm guessing once or twice, but I'm not

1	certain. That's been, again, almost a year ago.		
2	Q: Did you talk to anyone at Jefferson City with		
3	regard to the organization, initial organization, of		
4	the corporation?		
5	A: No, I talked to the woman here in the Old		
6	Post Office about that.		
7	Q: Did you receive any other instructions from		
8	anyone at Jefferson City with regard to the		
9	dissolution of the corporation?		
10	A: Nothing other than I requested about the		
11	forms and how to do it.		
12	Q: And did you explain to them what you were		
13	trying to do?		
14	A: No, not in any detail. I didn't think they		
15	would be concerned.		
16	Q: What did you tell them that you wanted to do?		
17	A: I needed a form to deregister a name that I		
18	had registered a couple, three months earlier,		
19	whatever, and they sent it to me, I'm assuming by		
20	mail, since there is no fax on it, because it's been a		
21	while.		
22	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6		
23	Marked for identification)		
24	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Prior to registering this		
25	corporation, had you ever registered an entity with		

1:- :-	any Secretary of State before?		
2	A: No. Q: I want to hand you what's been marked as		
4	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, and ask you whether you		
5	recognize that document.		
6	A: It looks familiar.		
7	Q: Okay. Do you recall receiving it sometime		
8	after August 30th, 2007?		
9	A: I must have, so, that's the date on top.		
10	Q: And this is a letter from me to you and that		
11	is your home address, is that correct?		
12	A: Correct.		
13	Q: In the second paragraph of the letter it		
14	requests a statement by you that the phrase "the		
15	University News, the student voice serving St. Louis		
16	University since 1921", was not used by you in any		
17	manner other than registration of the nonprofit		
18	corporation. Do you see that?		
19	A: Yes.		
20	Q: Can you tell me why you never provided that		
21	statement?		
22	A: I thought I did. I thought I did, seriously.		
23	Q: And in what form did you think that you had		
24	provided that?		
25	A: A simple piece of paper saying exactly that,		

1	and I mailed it to you. I thought I did.	
3	Q: I can tell you we never received it. A: I'm sorry. I thought I did.	
4	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7	
5	Marked for identification)	
6	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. I want to hand you	
7	what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 and ask	
8	you to please review that.	
9	A: The date on this would be located where?	
10	Q: The date of the document you will find on, it	
11	should be on Page 15. At the top, top left.	
12	A: October 11th, okay.	
13	Q: Right.	
14	A: This looks familiar.	
15	Q: Okay. This is the Complaint that was filed	
16	in this case, Exhibit 7, along with its attachments,	
17	which you'll find after Page 15.	
18	A: Uh-huh.	
19	Q: Does that refresh your recollection?	
20	A: No, I remember this document. This document	
21	looks familiar.	
22	Q: Okay.	
23	A: Part of it that's in Document 5 is included,	
24	correct.	
25	Q: Yes, yes, sir. Did you tell anyone at St.	

Louis University that you were going to -- that you 1 2 were going to form a corporation entitled "The University News, a student voice serving St. Louis University since 1921"? 4 5 Α: No. And was there any reason why you didn't tell 6 0: 7 anyone at St. Louis University that? Because that wasn't the intent. 8 **A**: I don't understand you. 9 0: 10 A: The intent was to save the name in the event that the students decided they would not be able to 11 12 publish the paper and would have to go on line or do 13 something else. And so, if the students were going to have 14 0: 15 to, let's say go off-campus, it was your intent that 16 the newspaper would -- whatever publication that they came up with would be under that particular name? 17 If that's what they wanted. It was up to 18 A: them. 19 20 0: Had you had any discussions with any students in that regard? 21 22 **A**: No. 23 Had you had any discussions with Ms. Benanti? 0: No, not until after the fact, after 24 registration. 25

· . 1 . ·		And why would you think that they might want
2	to cont	inue under that name?
3	A :	I didn't know for certain. I wanted to give
4	them th	at opportunity, that chance, if that's what
5	they de	cided. They might not.
6	Q:	I want to direct your attention to Exhibit A
7	of the	Complaint, which is Exhibit 7. You'll see it,
8	it's af	ter Page 15.
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	Do you see that there?
11	A:	Yes.
12	Q:	And it's a one-page document, is that
13	A:	Correct.
14	Q:	No, it's a two-page document.
15	A:	A has got a signature on the second page.
16	Q:	Now, on the second page, is that your
17	signatu	are?
18	A:	It is.
19	Q:	And did you fill out this document?
20	A:	I did.
21	Q:	And you will notice at the top it says "to be
22	submitt	ted with the filing fee of \$25", do you see
23	that?	
24	A:	Yes.
25	Q:	And you will see that the filing date on this

. 1	is Marcl	n 16th, 2007, in the upper right hand corner?
2 3	A : Q :	Yes. Okay. And can you tell me who filled this
4	documen	t out?
5	A:	I must have.
6	Q:	Do you recall doing it?
7	A:	Vaguely. Because the fee was \$25, not 20,
8	and I wa	as remembering 20.
9	Q:	And do you recall how you paid?
10	A:	I'm sure I paid cash.
11	Q:	And would you have received a receipt for
12	this?	
13	A:	Not that I remember. I thought the document
14	itself	was probably a sufficient receipt.
15	Q:	Okay. And did anyone assist you in filling
16	this fo	rm out?
17	A:	The woman behind the counter at the office.
18	They di	d an archive search.
19	Q:	At the Secretary of State's office?
20	A:	Yes.
21	Q:	Now, you say they did an archive search?
22	A:	They did.
23	Q:	And what did they search for?
24	A:	For the name "University News" and for the
25	name "U	niversity News, a student voice serving St.

Louis U	.", to see if anything had ever been registered	
that way before, because if it had been, I wouldn't have done it.		
Q:	And that would have been a corporate name, is	
that co	rrect?	
A:	I have no idea. I just did it. They said	
they wo	uld do a corporate search.	
Q:	And you were in there to register a	
corpora	tion, correct?	
A:	I didn't think of it like that. I was there	
to regi	ster the name.	
Q:	But you formed a corporation; is that	
correct	?	
A:	Because they said that's what would be	
necessa	ry to do.	
Q:	Okay. And the title of this document is	
article	es excuse me "Articles of Incorporation of	
a nonpr	ofit corporation"; is that correct?	
A:	Correct.	
Q:	Okay. And you saw that when you filled this	
out, co	rrect?	
A:	I'm sure.	
Q:	Okay. And it says on Line 1, "the name of	
the cor	poration is"; is that correct?	
A:	Correct.	
	that was have do Q: that co A: they wo Q: corpora A: to regi Q: correct A: necessa Q: article a nonpr A: Q: out, co A: the cor	

1	
1	Q: And then you filled that out?
2 3	A: Correct. Q: So, you were forming a corporation, is that
4	right?
5	A: That's the only way I could register it
6	according to the woman behind the counter.
7	Q: Right. And it says on Line 2 that "this
8	corporation is a mutual benefit corporation", is that
9	correct?
10	A: Correct.
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, counsel,
12	less than five minutes on the tape.
13	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) And I noticed here that
14	you, when you filled this out in Line 4, you used your
15	home address; is that correct?
16	A: Correct.
17	Q: You didn't use the University's address?
18	A: No.
19	Q: Okay. And down on the bottom, when it said
20	"name and address to return file documents", you used
21	your home address, is that correct?
22	A: Correct.
23	Q: And that's not the University address, is
24	that right?
25	A: Correct.

Q: Can you tell me why you did that?
A: I have no idea. Whatever occurred to me, I wrote down that day. I have two addresses, I used one
once, I used one another time. There was no ulterior
motive.
Q: Okay. And this was done in March of 2007,
correct?
A: According to the date, yes.
Q: And that was during the school year, correct?
A: Spring break.
Q: Okay. And any correspondence then, if it
would have been sent, would have been sent to your
office, correct? If you would have put your office
address on the bottom here, it would have been sent to
your office, is that right?
A: I suppose so.
Q: And so, this way it was going to be sent to
your home address; is that right?
A: Presumably.
MR. JANOSKI: Okay. Let's take a break,
Change the tape.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Tape
2. Off the record at 3:52.
(Recess)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record with Tape

		\neg
1	3 at 4:11. Please continue.	
2 3	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Dr. Meyer, you understand that you're still under oath?	
4	A: I understand.	
5	Q: When you were at the Secretary of State's	
6	office, did anyone explain to you the registration of	
7	a fictitious name process?	
8	A: Not that I remember. This was a fairly short	
9	process. Not that I remember.	
10	Q: You mean it was a fairly short conversation?	
11	A: Yes, And a fairly short process. I filled	
12	the blanks in according to what they told me.	
13	Q: Okay. And with regard to Paragraph 7,	
14	Paragraph 7, I just want to make sure that I have this	
15	right, "the assets of the corporation will be	
16	distributed on dissolution as follows:	
17	Self-perpetuating assets serve each year staff members	
18	or to a	
19	A: Charitable.	
20	Q: charitable organization"?	
21	A: Yes.	
22	Q: Is that correct? And then in Paragraph 8, it	
23	says "the corporation is formed for the following	
24	purposes"; it says "publication of a weekly	
25	newspaper", is that correct?	

1	
2 3	Q: And that was the intent was that the name would be for a weekly newspaper?
4	A: If that's what the students decided, yes.
5	Q: Were there any officers to this corporation?
6	A: No.
7	Q: No?
8	A: Just me.
9	Q: Did they tell you at the Secretary of State's
10	office that you needed officers for the corporation?
11	A: I don't remember them saying that. They
12	filled in almost everything else for me, but I don't
13	remember that.
14	Q: And you filled out this form, correct?
15	A: I did.
16	Q: And it's your testimony that no one else
17	besides yourself was involved in this?
18	A: No one else was there, no one knew about it.
19	I told Diana Benanti about it after the fact.
20	Q: And on the second page of this document,
21	Exhibit A, that's your signature, correct?
22	A: It is.
23	Q: With regard to a response to Exhibit 4
24	with regard to Exhibit 6, I'm sorry.
25	A: Okay.

1	
1	Q: With regard to Exhibit 6, the second
2 3	paragraph where you indicated that you thought you had sent me a response?
4	A: I did think I had sent that.
5	Q: Okay. Do you how would you have done
6	that?
7	A: By mail.
8	Q: Okay. And would you have drafted a letter on
9	your computer?
10	A: Probably.
11	Q: Okay. Your computer at home or at the
12	office?
13	A: It depends on where I am when I'm doing it.
14	Q: Okay. And do you recall where you were when
15	you were thinking about doing that response?
16	A: No, I would think probably at home. No,
17	maybe not. School has begun by this time. It's hard
18	to say, but I really thought I had sent this and I'd
19	be very glad to stipulate.
20	Q: Well, I understand that, but do you know
21	whether that would have been kept on your computer if
22	you would have drafted a letter?
23	A: I don't know that, either. Usually I clear
24	them off after 90 days.
25	Q: Okay. So, you would have cleared that off

1	after yo	ou within 90 days
2 3		Probably. of August 30th, 2007?
4	A:	As a general rule, yes.
5	Q:	And just so I'm clear, you were not aware
6	that you	were supposed to maintain copies of all
7	records	after you received service of the lawsuit; is
8	that cor	crect?
9	A:	All record concerning
10	Q:	All records concerning this litigation.
11	A:	You mean anyone that writes me about this in
12	any way,	shape or form?
13	Q:	Any correspondence that you have with regard
14	to this	litigation.
15	A:	I wasn't aware of that.
16	Q:	With regard to the letters that I had sent
17	you and	that is, for example, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4,
18	Exhibit	2, can you tell me whether those documents
19	were sha	ared with anyone?
20	A:	There is a time line that is important, if
21	you'd 1:	ike to hear it.
22	Q:	Well, first, though, I'd like to know whether
23	you sha:	red these documents.
24	A:	I don't think anybody read the original
25	documen	ts except my daughter, and she didn't read the

1	entire document, and that was about a week after a
2 3	date that would have been set as a deadline by you. I found out about this in England probably a week after
4	she signed for it.
5	Q: Okay.
6	A: So, I could not possibly have responded to
7	it.
8	Q: But to answer the question I was going to ask
9	- -
10	A: She saw it. I think, outside of her and my
11	wife, I don't think anybody else has actually seen the
12	document itself.
13	Q: Okay. Have you forwarded copies of these
14	documents to anyone else?
15	A: I think someone said they went on line and
16	got a copy, somebody with probably the Chronicle of
17	Higher Education. I didn't send it but they got a
18	copy on line of this lawsuit.
19	Q: Of the lawsuit, I understand.
20	A: Yes.
21	Q: What I'm talking now are these Exhibits 2, 3
22	and 4, which are letters that I sent to you, whether
23	you had shared these with anyone.
24	A: It's possible I have, but I just don't
25	remember, because there have been very few people.

1		
, 1	Again,	this has been almost a year ago.
2	Q:	Do you recall talking to anyone I assume
3	that at	some point you spoke to your lawyers?
4	A:	Uh-huh.
5	Q:	And you engaged these lawyers
6	A:	Uh-huh.
7	Q:	represent you in the litigation?
8	A:	(Witness nods)
9	Q:	Did you engage them after the filing of the
10	lawsuit	?
11	A:	I don't remember the exact date, but I'm sure
12	I did a	fter that.
13	Q:	Was it about that time that you engaged them?
14	A:	I believe so. I don't remember the exact
15	date, I	don't.
16	Q:	Do you recall seeking any legal advice prior
17	to when	you received the lawsuit?
18	A:	I'm not sure I understand what you're asking.
19	Legal a	advice about what?
20	Q:	About, well, the contents of the letters, the
21	content	of my letter dated June 22nd, 2007, which is
22	Exhibit	3, anytime prior to the filing of the lawsuit,
23	or you	receiving the Complaint, did you seek any legal
24	advice	?
25	A:	I couldn't have because I didn't know this

1	letter	existed until I got home.
2		I understand that. And the lawsuit wasn't
4	A:	I understand.
5	Q:	But you saw this sometime when you got home,
6	and you	knew of its contents about a week after June
7	22nd, 2	007, is that right?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	Okay. And
10	A:	I didn't get home till August.
11	Q:	Okay, I understand that.
12	A:	Early August.
13	Q:	And after you got home in early August, did
14	you see	k any legal advice as it relates to the
15	content	s of these documents?
16	A:	I remember talking to two former students,
17	who are	now lawyers, to ask them if they had any
18	suggest	ions about whom I should contact, and they both
19	suggest	ed I go on line and look up stuff, which is how
20	I found	Brian.
21	Q:	And do you recall who those two individuals
22	were?	
23	A:	I do.
24	Q:	And who are they?
25	A:	Tim Hogan, H-O-G-A-N, and Pete Salsich,

1	S-A-L-S-IC-H, Jr. Pete's dad and I are friends and		
2 3	Pete used to be one of my students, and they both suggested that I call, I look on line and look up.		
4	They didn't know anybody to recommend.		
5	Q: Okay. Did you talk to them about the		
6	contents of the letter or the situation you had found		
7	yourself in?		
8	A: I gave them the bare bones and the dates,		
9	and, as I remember, at least one, it might have been		
10	Pete, said that since I had relinquished the name,		
11	that probably nothing much would come of it, and		
12	hadn't used it.		
13	Q: So, then you must have talked to Mr. Salsich		
14	after you filed your dissolution papers?		
15	A: Yes, must have.		
16	Q: Have you spoken with Mr. Salsich or Mr. Hogan		
17	since that time?		
18	A: No.		
19	Q: And I take it that you never engaged		
20	Mr. Hogan or Mr. Salsich?		
21	A: No.		
22	Q: Did you you mentioned well, strike		
23	that. Did you speak to anyone at all about your		
24	forming a corporation under under the name "The		
25	University News, a student voice serving St. Louis		

*			
1	University since 2001", before you went down to the		
2 3	Secretary of State's office to form that corporation? A: Not to the best of my memory. I said nothing		
4	to anybody about this. I did it.		
5	Q:	And then you'll find that the Exhibit C, I	
6	believe, is similar, I think the same, perhaps, as the		
7	document that we marked earlier?		
8	A:	Correct.	
9	Q:	As Exhibit 5?	
10	A:	Correct.	
11	Q:	And then if you'll look at Exhibit D, are you	
12	familiar with this document?		
13	A:	I am.	
14	Q:	And can you tell me what this document is?	
15	A:	It's an article in St. Louis Magazine, an	
16	interview that I did in either late March or early		
17	April, that was published in August of 2007, months		
18	after the fact.		
19	Q:	Okay. So, this interview, you would have	
20	done		
21	A:	Late April, early May.	
22	Q:	Late April, early May?	
23	A:	Yes, somewhere around there, somewhere around	
24	there.		
25	Q:	Again, do you keep a calendar that would	