### St. Louis University, etc., vs. Avis Meyer

#### Deposition of Avis Meyer 6/4/2008

			rage 91
	Louis U.", to see if anything had ever been registered		
	that way before, because if it had been, I wouldn't		A: I have no idea. Whatever occurred to me, I
[8]	have done it.		wrote down that day. I have two addresses, I used one
[4]	Q: And that would have been a corporate name, is	[4]	once, I used one another time. There was no ulterior
(5)	.that correct?	11. 151°	motive.
(6)	A: I have no idea. I just did it. They said	[6]	Q: Okay. And this was done in March of 2007,
(2)	they would do a corporate search.	(7)	correct?
[8]	Q: And you were in there to register a	[8]	A: According to the date, yes.
[9]	corporation, correct?	[9]	Q: And that was during the school year, correct?
[10]	A: I didn't think of it like that. I was there	[10]	A: Spring break.
[11]	to register the name.	[11]	Q: Okay. And any correspondence then, if it
[12]	G: But you formed a corporation; is that	[12]	would have been sent, would have been sent to your
[13]	correct?	[13]	office, correct? If you would have put your office
[14]	A: Because they said that's what would be	[14]	address on the bottom here, it would have been sent to
[15]	necessary to do.	[15]	your office, is that right?
[16]	Q: Okay. And the title of this document is	[16]	A: I suppose so.
[17]	articles excuse me "Articles of Incorporation of	[17]	Q: And so, this way it was going to be sent to
[18]	a nonprofit corporation"; is that correct?	(18)	your home address; is that right?
[19]	A: Correct.	[19]	A: Presumably.
[20]	Q: Okay. And you saw that when you filled this	[20]	MR. JANOSKI: Okay. Let's take a break,
[21]	out, correct?	[21]	Change the tape.
[22]	A: I'm sure.	[22]	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Tape
[23]	Q: Okay. And it says on Line 1, "the name of	[28]	2. Off the record at 3:52.
[24]	the corporation is"; is that correct?	[24]	(Recess)
[25]	A: Correct.	[25]	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record with Tape

Page 90

Q: And then you filled that out?

Correct.

[1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[28]

[24]

1251

So, you were forming a corporation, is that Q: right?

A: That's the only way I could register it according to the woman behind the counter.

Q: Right. And it says on Line 2 that "this corporation is a mutual benefit corporation", is that correct?

A: Correct.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, counsel, less than five minutes on the tape.

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) And I noticed here that you, when you filled this out in Line 4, you used your home address; is that correct?

A: Correct.

You didn't use the University's address? Q:

A: f181

> Okay. And down on the bottom, when it said "name and address to return file documents", you used your home address, is that correct?

> > Correct.

Q: And that's not the University address, is that right?

A: Correct.

Page 92

3 at 4:11. Please continue.

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Dr. Meyer, you understand [2] that you're still under oath? [3]

A: I understand.

Q: When you were at the Secretary of State's [8] office, did anyone explain to you the registration of [8] a fictitious name process? [7]

A: Not that I remember. This was a fairly short process. Not that I remember. [9]

Q: You mean it was a fairly short conversation?

A: Yes, And a fairly short process. I filled the blanks in according to what they told me.

Q: Okay. And with regard to Paragraph 7,

Paragraph 7, I just want to make sure that I have this right, "the assets of the corporation will be

ព្រភា

distributed on dissolution as follows: [16]

Self-perpetuating assets serve each year staff members

or to a --[18]

[4]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[19]

[20]

A: Charitable.

-- charitable organization"? Q:

A: Yes. [21]

Q: Is that correct? And then in Paragraph 8, it [22] says "the corporation is formed for the following [23] purposes"; it says "publication of a weekly

newspaper", is that correct?

Baker Dunn & Butz Charles 636.940.0926

.0/4	/2000		AVIS Meyer
i i i	Page 93.	m	Page 95 after you - within 90 days -
(2)	Q: And that was the intent was that the name	[2]	
	would be for a weekly newspaper?	[8]	Q: of August 30th, 2007?
[4]	A: If that's what the students decided, yes.	[4]	A: As a general rule, yes.
(6]		(51) (61)	G: Andijust so I'm clear, you were not aware a that you were supposed to maintain copies of all
<b>三国</b>	그는 원회들은 시작하다는 눈이 취직한 경험을 위한다는 내가 양악을 하는 것 같은 내가 하는 것이 했다. 그 살아 가게 되었다는 다음	(7)	이 집에 가장 보면 보면 하면 아이를 하면 내가 들어 먹는 것이 되었다. 그는 이 것은 그는 것이 없는 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 없는
[8]	A: Just me.	[8]	-
[9]	Q: Did they tell you at the Secretary of State's	[9]	A: All record concerning
[10]	office that you needed officers for the corporation?	[10]	Q: All records concerning this litigation.
(11)	A: I don't remember them saying that. They	[11]	A: You mean anyone that writes me about this in
[12]	filled in almost everything else for me, but I don't	[12]	any way, shape or form?
[13]	remember that.	[18]	Q: Any correspondence that you have with regard
[14]	Q: And you filled out this form, correct?	[14]	to this litigation.
[15]	A: I did.	[15]	A: I wasn't aware of that.
[16]	Q: And it's your testimony that no one else	[16]	Q: With regard to the letters that I had sent
[17]	besides yourself was involved in this?	[17]	you and that is, for example, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4,
[18]	A: No one else was there, no one knew about it.	[18]	Exhibit 2, can you tell me whether those documents
[19]	I told Diana Benanti about it after the fact.	[19]	were shared with anyone?
[20]	Q: And on the second page of this document,	[20]	A: There is a time line that is important, if
[21]	Exhibit A, that's your signature, correct?	[21]	you'd like to hear it.
[22]	A: It is.	[22]	Q: Well, first, though, I'd like to know whether
[28]	Q: With regard to a response to Exhibit 4	[23]	you shared these documents.
[24]	with regard to Exhibit 6, I'm sorry.	[24]	A: I don't think anybody read the original
[25]	A: Okay.	[25]	documents except my daughter, and she didn't read the
	Page 94		Page 96
[1]	Q: With regard to Exhibit 6, the second	[1]	entire document, and that was about a week after a
[2]	paragraph where you indicated that you thought you had	[2]	date that would have been set as a deadline by you. I
[8]	sent me a response?	[9]	found out about this in England probably a week after
[4]	A: I did think I had sent that.	[4]	she signed for it.
[5]	Q: Okay. Do you how would you have done	[6]	Q: Okay.
(6)	that?	[6]	A: So, I could not possibly have responded to
[7]	A: By mail.	[7]	it.
	Q: Okay. And would you have drafted a letter on	[8]	Q: But to answer the question I was going to ask
[8]	your computer?	[9]	g. Dut to answer the question? was fortig to take
[9]	A: Probably.	[10]	A: She saw it. I think, outside of her and my
[10]	- 01 TT   1 11	[11]	wife, I don't think anybody else has actually seen the
[11]	G: Okay. Your computer at nome or at the office?		document itself.
[12]		[12]	Q: Okay. Have you forwarded copies of these
[18]	A: It depends on where I am when I'm doing it.	[13]	documents to anyone else?
[14]	Q: Okay. And do you recall where you were when	[14]	
[15]	you were thinking about doing that response?	[15]	A: I think someone said they went on line and
[16]	A: No, I would think probably at home. No,	[16]	got a copy, somebody with probably the Chronicle of
[17]	maybe not. School has begun by this time. It's hard	[17]	Higher Education. I didn't send it but they got a
[18]	to say, but I really thought I had sent this and I'd	[18]	copy on line of this lawsuit.
[19]	be very glad to stipulate.	[19]	Q: Of the lawsuit, I understand.
[20]	Q: Well, I understand that, but do you know	[20]	A: Yes.
[21]	whether that would have been kept on your computer if	[21]	Q: What I'm talking now are these Exhibits 2, 3
	you would have drafted a letter?	[22]	and 4, which are letters that I sent to you, whether
[23]	A: I don't know that, either. Usually I clear	[23]	you had shared these with anyone.
[24] [25]	them off after 90 days.  Q: Okay. So, you would have cleared that off	[24] [25]	A: It's possible I have, but I just don't remember, because there have been very few people.
زددا	Ch. married and A committee and appropriate account of the committee of th		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	Page 97		Page 99
	Again, this has been almost a year ago.	(1)	S-A-L-S-IC-H, Jr. Pete's dad and Lare friends and
[2]	Q: Do you recall talking to anyone I assume	[2]	Pete used to be one of my students, and they both
[3]	that at some point you spoke to your lawyers?	[3]	suggested that I call, I look on line and look up.
[4]	A: Uh-huh.	[4]	They didn't know anybody to recommend.
(5) [6]	Q; And you engaged these lawyers. A: "Un-huh:	(5) (6)	@: Okay. Did you talk to them about the contents of the letter or the situation you had found
1 (7)	G: 💤 represent you in the litigation?	4 7 (Z)	yourself in?
[8]	A: (Witness nods)	[8]	A: I gave them the bare bones and the dates,
[9]	Q: Did you engage them after the filing of the	[9]	and, as I remember, at least one, it might have been
[10]	lawsuit?	[10]	Pete, said that since I had relinquished the name,
[11]	A: I don't remember the exact date, but I'm sure	[11]	that probably nothing much would come of it, and
[12]	I did after that.	[12]	hadn't used it.
[13]	Q: Was it about that time that you engaged them?	[13]	Q: So, then you must have talked to Mr. Salsich
[14]	A: I believe so. I don't remember the exact	[14]	after you filed your dissolution papers?
[15]	date, I don't.	[15]	A: Yes, must have.
[16]	Q: Do you recall seeking any legal advice prior	[16]	Q: Have you spoken with Mr. Salsich or Mr. Hogan
[17].	to when you received the lawsuit?	[17]	since that time?
[18]	A: I'm not sure I understand what you're asking.	[18]	A: No.
[19]	Legal advice about what?	[19]	Q: And I take it that you never engaged
[20]	Q: About, well, the contents of the letters, the	[20]	Mr. Hogan or Mr. Salsich?
[21]	content of my letter dated June 22nd, 2007, which is	[21]	A: No.
[22]	Exhibit 3, anytime prior to the filing of the lawsuit,	[22]	Q: Did you you mentioned well, strike
[28]	or you receiving the Complaint, did you seek any legal	[28]	that. Did you speak to anyone at all about your
[24]	advice?	[24]	forming a corporation under under the name "The
(25)	A: I couldn't have because I didn't know this	[25]	University News, a student voice serving St. Louis
	Page 98		Page 100
[1]	letter existed until I got home.	[1]	University since 2001", before you went down to the
	Q: I understand that. And the lawsuit wasn't	[2]	Secretary of State's office to form that corporation?
[2] [8]	filed until October.	(3)	A: Not to the best of my memory. I said nothing
	A: I understand.	[4]	to anybody about this. I did it.
[4] [5]	Q: But you saw this sometime when you got home,	(5)	Q: And then you'll find that the Exhibit C, I
[6]	and you knew of its contents about a week after June	(e)	believe, is similar, I think the same, perhaps, as the
[7]	22nd, 2007, is that right?	[7]	document that we marked earlier?

2nd, 2007, is that right? Yes. [8] Okay. And --[9] A: I didn't get home till August. [10] Okay, I understand that. [11] Q: Early August. [12] Q: And after you got home in early August, did you seek any legal advice as it relates to the [14] contents of these documents? [16] A: I remember talking to two former students, [16] who are now lawyers, to ask them if they had any [17] suggestions about whom I should contact, and they both [18] suggested I go on line and look up stuff, which is how [19] I found Brian. [20] And do you recall who those two individuals Q: [21] were? [22] A: I do.

A: Tim Hogan, H-O-G-A-N, and Pete Salsich,

Q: And who are they?

[8] A: Correct. As Exhibit 5? Correct. [10] Q: And then if you'll look at Exhibit D, are you [11] familiar with this document? A: I am. [13] Q: And can you tell me what this document is? [14] A: It's an article in St. Louis Magazine, an interview that I did in either late March or early April, that was published in August of 2007, months [17] after the fact. [18] Q: Okay. So, this interview, you would have [19] done -[20] A: Late April, early May. (21)Q: Late April, early May? [22] Yes, somewhere around there, somewhere around A: [23]there. [24]

Q: Again, do you keep a calendar that would

[24]

[25]

#### Page 101

refresh your memory as to when you would have --A: I do not, but I'm sure the reporter could give you that date. I'm sure they keep track of that stuff at the magazine.

- Gr. And the reporter would have been Mr. --
  - :: A: I don't remember his name.
  - n Q: -- Halverson?
  - A: I never met him before. Matt something. [8]
    - Q: Matt Halverson?
    - A: That must be it, yes.
  - Q: Now, there is a number of quotations that, [11] and I take it that you have read this article on more (12)than one occasion? [13]
    - A: I have.

191

[10]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

[1]

[2]

[8]

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[18]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

1201

[21]

- Did you find anything inaccurate in this Q: article?
- A: I don't remember anything inaccurate, but I haven't looked at it in months.
- Okay. When you first read it, did you -- did anything strike you as inaccurate?
  - A: Don't remember that, no.
- Q: Okay. And do you recall going back to the author of this article and telling him that there was something inaccurate and that he needed to change it?
  - A: No, I wouldn't have done that unless it was

Page 103

- 1次表現實際1-12年20年至18五次,各有關於2018年20日 g: Do you recall whether you made this statement more than twice?
  - A: I doubt it.

[8]

[4]

[10]

[12]

[13]

[22]

[23]

[24]

(25)

ខេរ

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[15]

[16]

f17]

Q: Why do you say that?

A) Well, it's one thing to say it once it's in something else to say it again. One time, it's an offhanded comment. I knew he'd make it the lead (7) sentence, which he did, it's what I would have done if I were writing it because it's a pretty outrageous [9] thing to say. But it's also a pretty outrageous thing he was doing to the paper. [11]

Q: And what was he doing to the paper, Father Biondi?

A: Three things, the student editor's tuition [14] remission, which had been in place for 30-plus years, [15] one of two full tuition remissions, had been cut [16] dramatically without warning, so, put the editor in [17] the hole several thousand dollars, and he avoided, I [18] don't know about -- "refused" might be too harsh. He [19] avoided meeting with her about this for months, until [20] of course she left school and never came back. [21]

- And who would that student have been?
- That would have been Diana Benanti. A:
- Okay. Q:
- Second, they were in the process of rewriting

Page 102

really serious. It could have been something slight. You just don't do that, you don't call reporters and tell them it's wrong unless it's pretty serious.

Q: And so, I take it then that you told this reporter, and it's in Column 1 on the first page of this article, that "the Reverend Lawrence Biondi had all the class of a toilet seat"; is that correct?

A: I did say that because he asked me a question and I responded.

- Okay. And what was the question he asked?
- A: "How do you think Biondi has been, what has his reputation been handling students, and faculty and personnel problems?"
- Q: Is this the first time that you had ever made that statement?
  - A: I'm pretty sure.
  - Q: And was it the last time you ever made that
    - A: Probably not.
- Q: And have you made that statement on more than
- A: It's possible that this came up on a radio interview and I was asked to repeat it, and I probably did, but I don't remember if that was KTHR, KWMU, I don't remember.

Page 104

the charter then and the threat was that they were [1] going to take control of the paper away from the [2] students, and it would ultimately reside then in their [3] hands, not the students' hands, although we're largely [4] independent financially from the school, and of course that also happened. And third, there was a rumor [6] that, and this was a rumor, that they were going to [7] kick us out of the building. [8]

Q: Okay. And so, based upon those three factors, you made this statement?

- A: I thought he handled that with the class of a toilet seat, people and students particularly.
- Q: Now, they also say here in this article, this gentleman, and it's a couple of lines below that quote, that you were the unofficial advisor of St. Louis University Student Newspaper, do you see that?
  - A: Yes.
- Q: Do you know where he would have gotten that [18] impression? **[19]**

A: The University, by that time, in 2001 or [20] 2002, they decided to hire an advisor from the Business School and then, in fact, Pete Salsich from (22) Law School, they appointed them to be the Advisor [23] officially of the school paper, so I was the unofficial advisor, but both Jim Fisher, F-I-S-H-E-R, [25]

9 ...

[8]

[8]

[10]

[12]

[15]

[19]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

(12)

[18]

[15]

[16]

[17]

f191

[20]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

_		_	
Page	1	n	4
· rave	- 10	u	13.

[1]

[9]

[15]

[16]

(1) in Business and Pete Salsich in Law, I know these
(2) guys, we're colleagues, we get along fine. They
(3) thought it was just pointless, so, they didn't hang
(4) around, so, they left, so, the official advisor, as
(5) appointed, quit twice over a period of three years
(6) two years each, and I stayed, so, I would have been
(7) the imofficial advisor:

- Q: And who, who gave you the title "the unofficial advisor"?
- A: I guess the students.
- [11] Q: The students did?
  - A: (Witness Nods)
- [13] Q: Now, is it accurate, and this is in the second column on that same page --
  - A: Okay.
- [16] Q: -- towards the bottom, it's the next-to-last paragraph, that says that you paid almost a thousand dollars --
  - A: True.
- [20] Q: -- for an ad in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch?
- [21] A: I did.
- [22] Q: Okay. And that's accurate?
- [23] A: It is.
- Q: With regard to the last column, which is on
   the next page, it's about in the middle of the page.

#### Page 107

A: A guy named Tom Downey.

"你你能够多多是否是自己的。"

- Q: And who is Mr. Downey?
- A: He's the head of Boeing International P. R. And he's in Paris, he was editor about 20 years ago.
  - Q: Okay Anyone else?
    - A: No, that was the sole suggestion, but he's a.
- m "hie's a fairly important person in McDonnell-Douglas".

  [8] and Boeing.
  - Q: And did you share this idea with anyone else?
  - A: It's in the paper.
- [11] Q: It's in the paper. Did you share it with
- [12] anyone at The University News?
- A: We probably talked about it, but we also knew it was impractical.
  - Q: Who would you have talked about it with?
  - A: The staff.
- [17] Q: And who would have been on the staff at that [18] time?
- A: Diana would have been the editor, Katie Lewis would have been her right-hand man who followed her and thereby would half a dozen other kids, let me
- [22] think whom. Jamie Robinson, that's M-I-E. Ian
- [28] Bannon, B-A-N-N-O-N, Adam Tabman, who is the editor
- [24] this year, I'm not sure who else would have been
- there, but probably half a dozen kids, but it was

#### Page 106

- [1] A: Okay.
  - Q: You talk about there a plan to take the newspaper, or you call it, offshore.
  - A: Where is this paragraph again?
- Q: It's the last column, the right hand column,
   it's about in the middle of the page.
  - A: Okay.
  - Q: And there is a plan vaguely in the works to take -- can you please tell me who was, who was creating this plan?
  - A: This sentence says "there is a plan vaguely in the works, I'm not behind it, but I'm part of it, trying to raise enough offshore money to enable the paper to have a secure place to operate from, and we'll get there on our own accord". That was to try to find a place to be. We were willing to pay rent so we could still do the paper, but not have the University hanging over our head with a free room or not.
  - Q: I understand. Now, who was involved in this besides you?
  - A: One alumni that I remember suggested we should do this, to me, and my response was "we can't afford it".
    - Q: And who is that alumni?

#### Page 108

- impractical because trying to find a place that we
- [2] could afford, we'd have to go towards Wash U. Where
- the neighborhood is a little safer, because we stayed
- [4] in St. Louis U., which is a little less safe, we're
- $_{\left[ \delta\right] }$   $% \left[ \delta\right] =0$  not going to do that and put the kids at risk, so, it
- [6] was impractical.

[9]

[10]

[20]

[25]

- Q: And when did you talk to Mr. Downey about this, do you recall?
  - A: He called me.
    - Q: Okay.
- A: And this would have been probably over that spring break, he called about something, I don't remember what it was, it wasn't about the paper at
- all. He's a former editor, we stay in touch, all
- these kids stay in touch with me, and would just talk
- [16] about things in general, Boeing and McDonnell-Douglas
- had been going through big problems, I was wondering
- if it was shaking him up. He called and told me howhe was doing and this came up.
  - Q: And how did this come up?
- A: He asked how the paper was doing, and I said "actually, they are rewriting the charter", and he said "again?" Because this was done in 1998-99, and he's aware of it, after his time.
  - Q: And did you tell him about the other two

			TAVAD 1720 JOI
	Page 109 issues that were going on that you had just testified	(ii	Page 111 Q: You asked: Did you ask
[2]		[2]	MR. GILL: Is that a question?
	A: I don't think so. I think just the charter,	[8]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Yes. Did you ask?
[4]	just the charter.	[4]	A: No, he told me.
(5)	and the same of the control of the same	(S)	Q: That they don't have a see a second of the second of th
[6]	or that Father Blondi had all the class of a toilet	(8)	A: He said they don't send out preview copies.
[7]	Seat?	(7)	
[8]	A: No, I don't think I would have said that.	[8]	Q: Okay. Have you well, in this article you
[9]	Q: Might you have?	[9]	also reference to Father Biondi as a super-weasel?
[10]	A: I doubt it. Tom has his own opinions of	[10]	A: Yes.
[11]	Father Biondi.	[11]	Q: Have you mentioned have you identified him
[12]	Q: Did he share them with you?	[12]	that way?
[13]	A: If I asked him,	[18]	A: I consider all administrators to be weasels
[14]	Q: But he didn't share them in that	[14]	in training.
[15]	A: No.	[15]	Q: Okay. But he's a super-weasel?
[16]	Q: Are there other references that you have made	[16]	A: He is because he's the President, you can
(17)	to Father Biondi besides this one?	[17]	almost say that affectionately, depending on the
[18]	A: Probably not. He's accomplished a lot at the	[18]	context.
[19]	school. He's done great things with the appearance of	[19]	Q: In the last column, again, the right-hand
[20]	the campus. I've been on record as saying this more	[20]	column, the last paragraph of this article, when the
[21]	than once.	[21]	reporter was asking you, let me ask this question, I
[22]	Q: Okay.	[22]	take it that the reporter was taking notes when you
[23]	A: He has.	[28]	guys were having these conversations?
[24]	Q: Okay. But have you used other references	[24]	A: He was.
[25]	similar to "the class of a toilet seat"?	[25]	Q: Was he also tape-recording it?
		ļ	
-	Page 110		Page 112
[1]	A: Probably not, but that would have been the	[1]	A: I don't remember. It's possible there was a
[2]	lead of the article as well.	[2]	small tape recorder on the table, but there was so
[3]	Q: Did you ask Mr. Downey, with regard to, or	[8]	much noise in the background, I don't think I think
[4]	ask him any advice with regard to the name of the	[4]	he might have turned it off because we were sitting in
[5]	newspaper?	[5]	the bar section of a restaurant. I'm not sure about
[6]	A: No, that never came up.	<b>[6</b> ]	that.
[7]	Q: You didn't run that idea by him?	[7]	Q: Okay. It says here, as you are thinking
[8]	A: No. Again, this was a very vague idea. It	(8)	about getting independent and you said "we're looking
[9]	just came up. If I hadn't been asked about it, it	[9]	into it"?
[10]	probably would have never been in the newspaper, but	(10)	A: That's a reference to what goes on above the
[11]	I'm sure the reporter asked about it.	[11]	paragraph, same thing.
[12]	Q: Let me ask this; were you given I know	[12]	Q: No, no, I understand that.
[18]	sometimes these articles are done through written	[13]	A: Same thing.
[14]	questions. Were you given any written questions with	[14]	Q: I understand that. Who else was looking into
[15]	regard to this article?	(15)	it besides yourself?
[16]	A: No, we met at some restaurant out in	[16]	A: Tom Downey and me.
[17]	Brentwood and we talked over two glasses of tea, for	[17]	Q: Okay.
[18]	about an hour.	[18]	A: That's two people. We were the only people
[19]	Q: Okay. And did you keep any notes or	[19]	that talked about this.
[20]	A: No.	[20]	Q: And then you discussed it with the staff?
[21]	Q: were you shared any notes?	[21]	A: We talked about it.
	A: No.	[22]	Q: About going independent?

[24]

[26]

A: Yes, but again, it was impractical and it

Q: Now, just below that there is a sentence that

wasn't possible. We couldn't afford it.

of this article?

[25]

Q: Okay. And did you get to see an advance copy

A: They don't do that, he told me.

£3. ¥1	is Micycl	
ોા	says "we have some	Page 113 eal big shots who are U. News
[2]	Alumni, people with	really important jobs, and they
. [8]	have really good inco	me and they are going to help us
[4]	set this up".	and the second of the second o
( <b>6</b> )	A; That probab	ly should say "they could help us
[6]	set it up".	
[7]	Q: Who were y	ou referring to besides Mr. Downey?
[8]	You're using the plur	al here and I assume that
[9]	A: That's true.	Is it okay to answer this,
[10]	Brian?	

Brian?

MR. GILL: Sure, just testify with respect to -
A: Who they are?

MR. GILL: -- whom you're referring to.
A: The front page editor of the Wall Street

Journal is a guy named Mike McCarthy. The head of
publications from McGraw-Hill in Madrid is Erica

Jugala, these are former editors and they are still in
contact. We trade Christmas cards. The guy who works
for Time Magazine in California, head of the Sports

Department, his name is like Dominic Schmidt, and
they'd all be willing to help do this, but again it is
impractical.

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) But you had conversations with these people?

Page 115

A: This looks vaguely familiar, but there's been
lots of documents.

G: Okay. Do you recall, after receiving,

sometime after receiving the Complaint --

, A. This Complaint?

A, Okay

(6)

(12)

[13]

[14]

[15]

[17]

[19]

[8]

[6]

[7]

[10]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[28]

Q: Okay. Then seeing Exhibit 8, which is the response to this Complaint?

A: When would this -- this would be December 10.
And the one I'm looking at is October 11.

Q: Right.

A: Yes. Yes, it does look familiar.

Q: Okay. If you'll look at -- if you'll look at Paragraph 9 of Exhibit 7, the Complaint.

[16] A: Page 2?

Q: Page 2.

[18] A: You say 7?

Q: Of 7, yes, sir.

[20] A: Okay.

Q: These correspond back and forth, theparagraphs kind of, one responds to another.

A: All right.

Q: And it says there "SLU considers the name of
 the publication and the related caption to be valuable

#### Page 114

A: No.

[11]

[12]

[13]

(14)

(15)

[16]

[17]

[18]

(21)

[23]

[1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[6]

[6]

[7]

(13)

[14]

[15]

[10]

[17]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

- Q: Who had conversations with them?
- A: Nobody. I just know they do, I knew where these kids are, I know what they do. They don't know about this, but that's who I'm thinking about. You asked me who I'm thinking about, that's who.
  - Q: I was just asking who you're referencing.
- A: That's who I'm referencing, that's who I'm thinking about. Tom is the only one I talked to.
  These guys and these young women, they have been gone 20 years, but there is still a link between the U.
  News and them.

(Plaintiff's Exhibits 8 - 10 Marked for identification)

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) I want to hand you first what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 and ask you whether you have seen this document before.

A: The date on this again would be where?

Q: The date would be at the end, on Page 9.

A: December 10th.

Q: December 10th, 2007. It's titled "Defendant's Answer and Affirmative Defense".

A: I'm not sure I understand what "affirmative defense" means.

Q: Okay. Well --

# Page 116 intellectual property". Do you see that?

- A; Uh-huh.
- Q: Do you disagree with that statement?
- A: I guess I never considered it. It's not a matter of disagreeing.

Q: Would you agree with that statement?

A: The University News, those two words, I don't think anybody can own them, because I know of at least two papers in this State and other papers around the country, but the St. Louis U. Reference makes it a

[11] little different.

Q: Okay. And would you agree that that is valuable intellectual property of St. Louis University?

A: It depends on what the word "valuable" means in this case.

MR. GILL: Objection, it calls for a legal conclusion, "intellectual property".

A: I'm not sure I know what "intellectual property" means when you say it.

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. Would you say that that, that name, has value to St. Louis University?

A: Yes, yes.

Q: Now, with regard to Paragraph 13, if you look at that, of Exhibit 7?

	.2000		
	Page 117 A: Okay	a jakuta Tiran	Page 1.19 ©: Right.
1.1	Q: It says "the March 16th, 2007, submission was	(a)	A: No, I didn't talk to anyone about it. That's
[2]	made personally by Defendant Meyer without SLU's	[2]	true.
	knowledge or permission". Do you see that?	[4]	Q: Okay. So, then that statement, that sentence
100	A: Yes:  Q: And that's a reference to the Exhibit A that we went through, which is the incorporation?	(5) (6) (7)	in Paragraph 13 A: Of Exhibit 7? Q: of Exhibit 7, is true, the first sentence?
[8]	A: Okay.	[8]	A: "I made the submission, myself, without SLU's
[9]	Q: Okay. Now, if you'll look at Paragraph 13 of	[9]	knowledge and permission." Yes, I didn't talk to
[10]	your answer, which is Exhibit 8, that's the one that	[10]	anybody about it, that's true.
[11]	is in front of you there?	[11]	Q: So, that's true, okay.
[12]	A: Okay.	[12]	A: Yes.
[18]	Q: Okay. It says "Defendant is without	[18]	Q: Now, with regard to Paragraph 20 of the
[14]	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief	[14]	Complaint, which is Exhibit 7
[15]	as to the truth of the averment that the submission	[15]	A: 7, okay.
[16]	was made without Plaintiff's knowledge", do you see	[16]	Q: down at the bottom. And it states
[17]	that?	[17]	"Defendant Meyer has admitted that in connection with
[18]	A: Yes.	[18]	the University News there is a plan", "there is a
[19]	Q: Now, you said that you told no one, is that	[19]	plan, vaguely in the works", to start an independent,
[20]	right?	[20]	competing newspaper." Is that not true?
[21]	A: I didn't talk to anybody about this until	[21]	A: Let's see, the quote from the article says,
[22]	after it was done.	[22]	it's on the second this is on the second page near
[28]	Q: Okay. So, then how would St. Louis	[23]	the end, I think it says "there is a plan vaguely in
[24]	University have any knowledge of this before you told	[24]	the works, I'm not behind it, put I'm part of it".
(25)	anyone?	[25]	Yes, that's generally what it says.
·	Page 118		Page 120
[1]	A: When did I say this?	[1]	Q: Okay. So, that would be a true statement, is
[2]	Q: You said this in your answer to our	[2]	that right?
[8]	Complaint.	[3]	A: Generally.
(4)	A: Okay. Where does it say this again?	[4]	Q: If you look at Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.
(5)	Q: And if you look at, these paragraphs	[5]	A: This is 7 again?
[6]	coincide, so, we have Paragraph 13 of the Complaint,	[6]	Q: Yes, sir, No. 7, Exhibit 7.
[7]	which says "the submission was made by you personally	[7]	A: Okay.
[8]	without SLU's"	[8]	Q: It says "Defendant", which, that is you?
[9]	A: "Knowledge and permission".	[9]	A: Uh-huh.
[10]	Q: "knowledge and permission", okay?	[10]	Q: "Formed a Missouri nonprofit corporation
[11]	A: Okay.	[11]	using SLU's 'St, Louis University' mark". That's
[12]	Q: And then your response was "I don't know if	[12]	true, isn't it?
[13]	it was made without SLU's knowledge or permission", or	[18]	A: That's correct, as a subhead, yes.
[14]	"knowledge"?	[14]	Q: Now, if you will look at Paragraph 42 of the
[15]	A: I didn't seek permission. I didn't know it	[15]	Complaint.
[16]	was necessary.	(16)	A: Okay.
[17]	Q: What about their knowledge, you didn't tell	[17]	Q: And it states there, "without authorization
[18]	anybody?	[18]	by Plaintiff, Defendant Meyer has formed a Missouri
[19]	A: I didn't think about that.	[19]	nonprofit corporation using the name The University
[20]	Q: So, you admitted that SLU had no knowledge?	[20]	News, a student voice serving St. Louis University
[21]	A: Of what?	{21]	since 1921', for the purpose of publication of a
	Q: Of you making the submission for	[22]	weekly newspaper." Do you see that?
` լ⊭8]	incorporation under the name.	[23]	A: I do.
[24]	A: Doesn't, in Paragraph 13 of Document 7, say	[24]	Q: And that is true, is it not?
	化铁铁金属 化二磺基甲基二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	I	A. That is sight off the forms were talled about

A: That is right off the form we talked about,

[26] SO?

. Av	is Meyer and the second of the	1 4 4	6/4/2008
473007.5	Page 121	gajiyaatig	Page 123
[1]	yes.	[1]	correct?
[2]	Q: Okay. So, can you tell me why in your answer	[2]	A: By this time, yes, I would have.
[3]	you denied that?	[3]	Q: And your counsel signed this document and you
[4]	A: Because the idea was to re-publish the same	[4]	would have consulted with them in the filing of this
101	paper we're publishing tight now if the students	द्व <b>ी, (व्</b> वि	document.
[6]	needed it.	[6]	A: Lassune:
w [7]	Qie Lunderstand that	) [7]	Q; your Answer and Affirmative Defense?
[8]	A: It's not a new paper. This implies it is,	[8]	A: I assume.
[8]	but it's not.	[9]	Q: Now, if you look at Paragraph 96, also, you
[10]	Q: But the statement in 42 is true, is it not,	[10]	state on March 16th, 2007, "Defendant Meyer formed a
[11]	those words are true?	[11]	corporation, referred to as 'The University News, a
[12]	A: "For the purpose of publication". Actually,	[12]	student voice serving St. Louis University since
[13]	it would be more correct to say "of the continued	[13]	1921." That's true, correct?
[14]	publication", would be more accurate.	[14]	A: That's one of the documents we have, that's
[15]	MR. GILL: Which paragraph, 42?	[15]	true.
[16]	MR. JANOSKI: 42 of Exhibit 7.	[16]	Q: So, there was no reason to deny that in your
[17]	A: It would be correct to say "continued",	[17]	answer?
[18]	although it's not included, but it was implied, it's	[18]	A: No.
[19]	implied.	[78]	Q: Now, I want to hand you what's been marked as
[20]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) But those are true	[20]	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 and ask whether you have seen
[21]	statements. Now, if you look at Paragraph 45.	[21]	this document before.
[22]	A: Okay.	[22]	A: I'm not sure about it. Do I have a copy of
[23]	Q: That says "any publication by Defendant is	[23]	this?
[24]	without the authorization of SLU", do you see that?	[24]	Q: It was filed by your lawyers on February 1st,
[25]	A: Uh-huh.	[25]	2008.
	Page 122		Page 124
[1]	Q: And that would be true, correct?	[1]	A: I must have. I must have a copy of it
[2]	A: It was never contemplated and never done.	[2]	someplace.
[3]	Q: I under well, no well	[3]	Q: Okay. In this document, which was filed by
[4]	A: It was never contemplated and never done.	[4]	your lawyers in this case, it says, and is entitled
[5]	Q: But any publication by you would be without	[5]	"Defendants Initial Disclosures Under Rule 26 (a)(1)".
[6]	authorization of St. Louis University?	[6]	A: Uh-huh.
[7]	A; "Would be" is correct, but it says "is".	[7]	Q: It says, Paragraph A, "the following persons
[8]	"Would be" is correct, "would be without	[8]	likely to have discoverable information that Defendant
[9]	authorization".	[9]	may use to support its claims or defenses, unless
[10]	Q: Okay, "would be without authorization", okay.	[10]	solely for impeachment, include: And it says "name,
[11]	Now, if you'll look at Paragraph 86, which is on Page	[11]	Diana Benanti"?
[12]	12 of Exhibit 7.	[12]	A: Yes.

f18}

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[19]

[20]

{21}

[22]

[28]

[24]

[13] Q: There is a statement in there that "Defendant [14]is not a licensee of the marks 'St. Louis University', [15] 'The University News', or the related caption, 'A [16]

student voice serving St. Louis University since

1921." That's true, is that not? [18]

A: Okay.

A: You'll have to define "licensee of the marks".

Q: That is someone who has been authorized by [21] St. Louis University. [22]

A: No, that is a definition I wasn't authorized.

Q: I assume that when you answered this [24]Complaint, you consulted with your counsel, is that [25]

Q: Do you see that? A: Yes. What information is it that you intend to use from her to support your claim or your defense? A: She knows that the reason I filed it was to save the name for the next year's staff, because we talked about this. Q: Is that the extent of the knowledge for which you intend to use her? A: As far as I know, that would be all we talked about. I told her I had registered the name and why, and that maybe next year it would be important, depending on what the students decided to do after we

[19]

[23]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

(1)

[2]

នោ

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

(13)

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[21]

Page 125

[3]

[4]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[24]

[25]

[2]

[4]

[5]

[13]

[19]

[20]

[1] learned what they have actually done to the charter. Q: When did you -- do you recall when you talked

to Ms. Benanti?

A: After I registered it, but I don't know if it is in was the next day or the next week. Since it was spring break, it probably wouldn't have been right way.

Q: And would that have been in the offices of the University News?

A: We also had two classes that semester, so, it could have been anywhere, anytime.

Q: Did you ever meet with Ms. Benanti at a class or away from the University News offices?

A: When we were in the office on publication night, we talked then when it came up.

Q: But could you have talked to her at some other time, also?

A: Possibly, but she was going through a really rough time then, trying to get this straightened out, she had to take an extra job to make up for the lack of tuition remission that she had lost, and I didn't want to belabor it so, I probably just discussed this with her briefly in the office, I would guess. At the time it didn't seem crucial.

Q: Are there any other discussions that you had

Page 127

Q: Right, I understand that: You have that information?

A: I do, somewhere, somewhere.

Q: But you didn't give it to us as required?

domining the state of the state

ы». A: I don't remember that you asked for that

Q: Well, I can read there under the name -

jiji 🖟 🎠 A. Does it say that?

Q: -- it says "address and telephone number".

A: When I filled this out, I really, really might not have known that. It's possible. Her [10] address I really don't know, and the telephone number [11] I might not have had handy. I don't know it. It's [12] available, but I don't know it. [13]

Q: So, you understood, you took this to mean that you knew it by memory, not that you didn't have the information?

A: Do you know where it is? When I filled it [17] out, it might not have been available. I'm not sure [18] where it is right now. She calls me more than I call her, more often than I call her. [20]

Q: Now, the subject here is the filing of the [21] Articles of Incorporation dated March 16th, 2007. Do [22] you see that? [23]

A: What are we reading from?

I'm reading from Exhibit 9, under Paragraph

Page 126

with Ms. Benanti with regard to the circumstances underlying this lawsuit?

A: Not that I remember. It was just the basic conversation, "I registered the name and here is why. We'll see what they do with the charter".

Q: Now, do you have an address or telephone number for Ms. Benanti?

A: No, we talk occasionally on our cell phone, but she -- her father refused to pay SLU any more money, he's pretty upset about the way things happened. I hear this from her, not from him, I haven't talked to him. Diana insisted she finish her University education back in Illinois.

Q: Do you have her cell phone number?

Not with me.

Okay. But you have her cell phone number?

I do. We talk now and then.

Do you have her address, do you write --

I do not, no. We just talk on the phone.

Q: Do you know why you didn't give her cell phone number here in response to this, where it says "address and telephone number"?

A: I don't know the cell phone number, I don't know the cell phone number. I've got it written on a piece of paper in my office.

Page 128

A: [1]

Oh, filing the Articles of Incorporation,

okay. នោ

Under "subjects".

A: Okav.

What information does she have with regard to Q: [0] that? [7]

A: I told her I had registered the name after I [8] did it, but I don't remember how long. It had to have [9] been a week, probably, because that was done during [10] spring break and that's the information she would have. [12]

Q: Did you show her the documents?

A: I don't think I would have had them on me. I mean, I just put them in a folder in my office. I [15] don't think any of this, none of this seemed crucial [16] at the time. Didn't seem like it was important at the [17] time. [18]

Q: Would you have shown them to her at some point in time?

A: It's possible, but I don't remember that. I [21]just don't remember. None of this seemed crucial at [22] the time because we decided, if the charter was [23] acceptable, we'd give the name back. We were trying [24] to save it for the students, I was trying to save it

regions)	Page 129	80 - 100 15	Page 131
្តិ (n	for the students.		I got a letter from you, she decided that they were
[2]	Q: Now, you do you e-mail with Ms. Benanti?	[2]	going to give us a try after all.
[8]	A: No, I haven't, not for a long time.	[3]	Q: You don't recall that this was worked out
[4]	Q: When you say "a long time", what does that		sometime in the spring of 2007, do you?
y [5]	mean?	: [5] S	A. Not with me.
[6]	A: It's been a year, at least, since she left	[6]	Q: I understand not with you.
[7]	Q: And you haven't c-mailed her since she left?	(7)	A. If the kids did it I don't really know about
[8]	A: No.	[8]	。此,是有是是是不是一个。
[9]	Q: The way you talk to her is by cell phone?	[9]	Q: Okay. They wouldn't have told you?
[10]	A: Correct.	[10]	A: They if it was spring and school is out,
[11]	Q: Do you recall how often you talked to her?	[11]	probably not. When school is in session, they might
[12]	A: I called her probably the middle of the first	[12]	have.
[13]	semester to see how she was doing, she was at the	[13]	Q: Okay. And they would have told you that they
[14]	hospital with eye problems and we talked about that	[14]	had worked everything out and they were going to try
[15]	and how her new semester is going. And around	[15]	it with the University?
[16]	Christmastime we spoke about her being in town maybe	[16]	A: Well, that couldn't have happened until you
[17]	visiting other U. News kids, and I don't remember	[17]	get a new editor, and that doesn't usually happen
[18]	since then I've even spoke to her, in the last two or	[18]	until the spring semester is almost over, because it's
[19]	three or four months, because she's got an awful lot	[19]	the new editor's call, not the old editor's call.
[20]	going on in her life right now.	[20]	Q: So, when would the new editor have come on
[21]	Q: You have had no conversations with her in the	[21]	board?
[22]	last two or three months?	[22]	A: Customarily the new editor is elected about
[23]	A: She might have called and left us a message	[23]	two to three weeks in advance of the end of the
[24]	at home, but that's been awhile.	[24]	semester, because he or she does the last edition by
[25]	Q: Do you recall what the message was that was	[25]	him or herself.
	Page 130	<del>                                     </del>	Page 132
<b>(1)</b>	left?	[1]	Q: That would have been in April of 2007?
[2]	A: Give her a call.	(2)	A: Late April.
[8]	Q: Okay. Did you call her?	[3]	Q: Late April of 2007?
[4]	A: I don't remember if I did or not.	(4)	A: But by that time the decision on the charter
[5]	Q: Do you recall when it was decided that the	(5)	had not been made by the Board of Trustees, so, we
[6]	charter was acceptable?	[6]	didn't know what to do until they decided what they
[7]	MR. GILL: Objection. Acceptable by whom?	[7]	are going to do.
[8]	MR. JANOSKI: Well, acceptable by the	[8]	Q: If Ms. Benanti had told you that they wanted
[9]	students.	[9]	to go off campus with this newspaper and had moved off
[10]	MR. GILL: The students.	[10]	campus, would you have given them the name of the
[11]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) The students.	[11]	corporation?
[12]	A: It's really not me and the students. It's	[12]	A: That couldn't happen because Diana wouldn't
[18]	the students, acceptable to them.	[13]	be the editor the follow year.
[14]	Q: Okay.	[14]	Q: No, I am asking you
[15]	A: The new editor, they did a summer issue, they	[15]	- ·
[16]	planned to do a summer issue, and in fact called me	[16]	saying, it couldn't happen.
[17]	when I was in England to talk about a problem they had	[17]	Q: If an editor had told you that they wanted to
		1 400	do off compute and wanted to use that name would you

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[28]

go off campus and wanted to use that name, would you

MR. GILL: Objection, calls for facts not

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) I think that's what he's

A: That was the original intent, that was the

have given them that name?

testified to. I just want to make sure.

original intent, to save this for the students.

in evidence.

[19]

f201

[21]

[23]

run into with support from the University for the summer issue, and I won't go into that unless you want

to hear about it, and she just said -- she said then,

sure if they were going to be able to accept this or

this would have been probably July, that they weren't

not, but they wanted to talk about it when I got home.

When I got home, it was early August, I guess, I don't

remember the exact date, probably about the same time

[10]

[18]

[14]

[15]

[17]

[18]

1193

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

[1]

[2]

[4]

ស្រ

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[21]

[24]

(25)

Page 133...

[4]

[14]

[16]

[17]

[21]

[23]

[24]

[25]

[4]

[6]

[8]

(15)

[16]

[18]

[19]

[22]

Q; All right. So, tell me, why did you tell Ms. Benanti then, in March of 2007, about the registration, if there was going to be a new editor in place in April?

A. I thought it would actually make her feel 1. 407 S. 101 better. She was having a terrible semester. She not only lost -- she had quit her job going into the  $\mathcal{C}_{k} \in [[2]]$ semester because she assumed she would be getting full . . [8] tuition remission, as everybody had for three decades [9] before her, and then the first week of school, or maybe the week before it began, she found out it was [11] being cut almost in half, so, she not only had to do [12] the paper, which was a 60-hour a week job, nominally, she had to get a part-time job at some restaurant, maybe Cracker Barrel, working on weekends, which she had not planned to do, and it was killing her. [16]

> Q: And so, you were going to make her feel better because you had gone out and you had registered the name of the newspaper?

A: That's not --

Q: Is that right?

A: That's not the actual reason. It was part -it was part of the legacy that she was leaving behind. Each of the editors feels like they are part of the University News legacy, she didn't want this to die on

Page 135 n Exhibit 10 and ask you if you had seen this document before. [2]

A: And the date is at the end, as usual. [3]

Q: The date is at the end, yes, sir, March 19th, 

(6) A; It's March 14, correct.

MR. GLLE: It's - he's referencing to the file, when it was filed — or actually, I'm sorry, not

filed, when it was provided to the other --

 A: March 14th is what I'm looking at. [10]

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Right. And on the last (11) page, Page 14, I was getting to go there, is that your [12] signature above your name? [13]

It's on the last page?

The last page. (15)

> It is. A:

> > Q: Okay. That's your signature?

It is. [18]

> And you signed this on --Q:

March 14th, it says. [20]

-- March 14th, 2008, and you signed this

under the penalty of perjury, is that right? [22]

A: That's what I understand.

Q: Right. Now, if you'll look at Page 3, and these are the Defendant's Answers, this document is

Page 134

her watch, and she thought if the paper's charter was so severe that it would kill the paper, that the paper would die, and I thought it would make her feel good that at least I had tried to save the name.

Q: Right. And that you had gone out and you had registered the name?

A: Correct.

And that was to make her -- and you told her that to make her feel better?

A: I hoped it would.

Q: And you were going to make her feel better because you had stuck it to the University by going out and registering the name, correct?

MR, GILL: Objection, mischaracterizes his testimony.

A: Since it's a non-profit organization, it cost me \$25 and cost them nothing, I'm not sure "I stuck it to it" is accurate.

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) But you went out, and unbeknownst to the University, you went and registered that name, that's correct, right?

Correct, and it cost me \$25 and it cost them nothing.

Right. I want to hand you now what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit -- or Plaintiff's

Page 136

entitled "Defendant's Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories"; is that right? You'll see that [2] on Page 1. [3]

A: Uh-huh.

You have to say "yes".

A: Yes, I'm sorry. [6]

Q: Thanks. And if you look at Interrogatory [7] Answer No. 2, and it's on Page 3, it says "identify

all persons with knowledge of the nonprofit [9]

organization, including, but not limited, to those [10]

persons with knowledge of the nonprofit organization's [11]

formation", and it lists yourself and it lists Diana [12]

Benanti. [13]

A: Uh-huh.

Okay. Now, is her knowledge only that which Q: you told her?

A: I told her why I had registered it and when and what the purposes were. And she's the only one I talked to about this initially.

Okay. Have you talked to anybody about this [20]Q: since then? [21]

> Since then? A:

Yes. Since --[28]

Not back then, not back then, I didn't.

Okay. Q:

		-		75	1100	٠
400	tarin office of	arrange.	State	-Pa	ge 137	ı
			. 3 . 3	7.77	O '	

(C. [5] 60 Adv.

[10]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[19]

[6]

[7]

[8]

ទោ

[13]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[24]

- A: We kept it just between the two of us.
- Q: And when did you then tell another person [2] about this?
  - A: That would be really hard to pinpoint.
  - Q: Okay

de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya della

\$ Carry (51); (...)

[9]

[13]

[14]

f151

[16]

[18]

[19]

[21]

[22]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[12]

[13]

[15]

[22]

[23]

- MR. GILL: Objection, vague: Who are you ांत्र talking about, when or
  - MR. JANOSKI: Well, I'm trying to figure (8) out who else he told.
  - A: Well, since there is a lawsuit on line, [10] anybody can find out about it. It wouldn't be tough [11] to find out about it. [12]
    - Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Right. And all I'm asking is, to your understanding, as of March the 19th, when you signed it, so, March the 14th, 2008, who in addition to yourself and Ms. Benanti, to the extent that she has knowledge, has knowledge about the formation of the non-profit organization?
    - A: Probably, no one else would know all four of these aspects, the formation, the incorporation, the existence and the dissolution, all of that, all of that stuff.
  - Q: I understand that. But this is broken down [23] into four parts, Interrogatory No. 2, and all I'm asking about is Part A, the formation. (25)

## Page 139

- (a) A: The formation of corporate existence, they are all sort of wrapped up together is, which is how I read this, all four of these things apply. She knew about all of them.
  - Q: I understand that: Who else?
- A: «Again, the same burich, the staff knew about m this as a group, and he has the names. (Indicating)  $m \sim 2$ 
  - Q: Okay. And anyone else that would have known about this?
    - A: Not that comes to mind, no.
    - That you would have told? Q:
    - Not that comes to mind now.
    - That you would have shared with?
    - No, it was strictly a U. News thing for a long time.
- Q: Okay. But, I guess what we're talking about เาคา is as of March 14th, 2008, who would have, who would [17] you have shared that information with?

MR. GILL: Objection, asked and answered.

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) I just want to make sure [20] that he understood the question, because he's [21] obligated, which, he signed this under oath to give us [22] full and complete answers, which he hasn't done, and I [23] just want to now try to get, to the best that we can, full and complete answers.

#### Page 138

- A: The staff probably knew about it by that [1] [2] time.
  - Okay. And so, you didn't list them? Q:
  - A: Well, I assumed that all four parts are in one big answer.
  - Q: Well, I'll tell you, I'm sure that you consulted with your attorneys for that, and you were also a well-known, English Professor and I think you understand this better than you're leading on right now.
- You're welcome to your opinion. [11]
  - Who else would have been -g:
  - The staff would have known about this. A:
- Okay. Anyone else besides the staff? [14]
  - But not to the degree that she did.
- I understand that. Q:
- A: Yes. [17]
- Q: And everybody knows something to some degree. [18] Who else besides the staff?
- [10] A: Some of the names I have mentioned before for [20] (21)
  - the people that were there last year, almost exactly the same names, which I think he has a record of. (Indicating)
- Q: Okay. What about the incorporation of the [24] nonprofit organization? [25]

Page 140

- A: Diana Benanti and the staff knew about most (1) of them. She knew about all of it. [2]
- Q: Okay. Who else have you shared this type of [3] information with that would have knowledge of these [4] particular facts? łЫ
  - MR. GILL: Again, objection, asked and answered. You asked "shared", you asked "knowledge".
  - A: This is almost impossible to answer considering how long ago all this happened. It's hard to be accurate, and I'd rather be accurate.
- Q: (By Mr. Janoski) And that's why we give you [11] 30 days to answer these things. [12]
  - · A: I understand.
- Q: So that you can be accurate, which you [14] haven't been. [15]
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, three [16] minutes. [17]

MR. JANOSKI: Let's take a break.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record with Tape 3 at 5:10.

(Recess)

(Plaintiff's Exhibits 11 - 15 Marked for identification)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record

with Tape No. 4 at 5:33. Please continue.

Page 143

Page 141 Q; (Bý Mr. Janoski) Dr. Meyer, we're back on the record. You're still under oath.

A: I understand.

Q: If you'll refer back to Exhibit 10.

(19) At 10?

Q: Yes, sir. With regard to Interrogatory Nov 4 and it asks for "all persons with whom you have communicated regarding the Non-Profit Organization, including, but not limited to, those persons with whom you have communicated concerning the Non-Profit

[10] Organization's: A, formation, B, incorporation, C, [11]

existence, D. dissolution or termination". You [12]

answered, for all four of these, "Diana Benanti", but [13] I take it that, based on your testimony here, that [14]

there were other people that would be, that your [15]

answer would be the same as it was for No. 2, is that correct?

A: No. 2 says --

"With knowledge", and No. 4 says "who you have communicated with".

She's the one who knew all about this up [21] front. [22]

Q: Right.

[24] A: Yes.

[16]

[17]

[18]

119

[20]

[23]

[25]

[2]

131

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

1251

But you said that there were other people

g: Yes, sir.

[12]

[13]

[16]

[21]

[22]

[28]

[24]

ret

[11]

[12]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

A: Some of the names I mentioned were in my (2) mind, but I haven't talked to them about it, but it's [3] possible that they wrote me, I didn't initiate the conversation, but how much passed. I have no idea. They might have just said how the paper is doing; and [6] Ismight have said "we're fighting the charter", lit is [7] depends on the time, because until the charter was confirmed and the kids decided what to do about it, this was sort of held in abeyance, the name was held [10] in abeyance. [11]

Q: Well, the name was incorporated with the Secretary of State.

A: But it hadn't been used by anybody for any [14] purpose for any reason. [15]

Q: Okay.

A: And I saw it as registering a name, not [17] registering a corporation. If I was wrong, I was [18] wrong, but that's not how I saw it at all. I was just [19] registering a name. [20]

Q: Now, in No. 7, Interrogatory No. 7 --

A: This is Page 5?

Q: Yes, it's on Page 5 of Exhibit 10. Could you please explain why it was registered as "the University News, a student voice serving St. Louis

Page 142

that you have communicated with.

A: I talked to staff about this later, much later. Who they talked to, I can't imagine.

Q: And then would that be face-to-face conversations with the staff?

A: I'm sure it was.

Q: Okay. Any e-mail --

A: I doubt it.

-- correspondence?

A: I doubt it. It would have been -- on publication night, it probably came up, but this would be after spring break and much later in the semester, probably.

Q: Okay.

A: But again, I answered this because I see all four of these. This covers Diana Benanti only.

Q: Right. And see, these are a little different because we're trying to get all information, and that's why we change sometimes the words.

A: That would be the names of the staff, which I think the gentleman has. (Indicating)

Q: Okay. And then anyone else that you would have talked about that you have testified here today, like Mr. Downey, also?

A: Well, this was -- 3/14 did you say?

Page 144

University since 1921"? There is a change there?

A: There is. I could not remember exactly what [2] the subhead said, so, I just took a guess, that's why. [8]

There is no ulterior motive. I had to memorize this. I didn't have a paper in hand, and it had never been

registered before, so, I thought "is that what it [6] says?" I wasn't sure what it said. I got pretty [7]

close. I just took a guess. [8]

Q: Okay. And you'd been with the paper since the mid-1970's?

A: Correct.

Over 30 years, correct?

And that one word got away from me. [13]

> Q: And --

A: If I had had the paper in my hand, I probably would have remembered.

Q: And then in No. 9, it says "identity all facts concerning the dissolution or termination of the Non-Profit Organization on or about August 21st, 2007, including, but not limited to the reason the

[20] Non-Profit Organization was dissolved and/or [21]

terminated". Do you see that there? [22]

[23]

Q: Okay. And you say, in addition to your attorney asserting some objections, "a decision was Rage 145

in made that the non-profit was not necessary or would not be utilized for any purpose". Do you see that?

A: Yes.

(8)

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[16]

[16]

[20]

(25)

[2]

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

(91

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[19]

[91]

[22]

1251

Q: Now, I thought that the reason was it was in response to a letter that I had sent you in August

A: That arrived almost at the same time. That was a coincidence, but it happened.

'Q: Okay:

A: About the same time your letter came is about the same time the staff got together for the new year.

Q: Okay. And it seems that almost a day or two after you received my letter, that you went and dissolved the corporation?

A: Yes.

Q: Is that right?

As you requested, yes.

Okay. Do you recall who you talked to with [17] regard to this decision that it was not necessary and [18] would not be utilized for any purpose? [19]

A: Probably Katie Lewis, the new editor then.

Okav. [21]

She's not the editor now. She would have A: [22] been then. [23]

Q: Right.

A: It was her call, as it had been Diana's the

Page 147.

(a) fell, he's 83 and frail, and fractured his arm a week

before we were supposed to come back, which I think it

would have been about August 12, so, we had to pay a

penalty and get tickets to come home because we

weren't sure my brother would make it, but he did my

father healed, so, it would have been 5, 6, 7 August, n something like that, and then when we got here, we

went straight to Cape Girardeau, to check them out.

Q: Okay.

[9]

[10]

[11]

[20]

[21]

About then, early August.

So, it would have been in early August. I'm sorry to hear about your family.

A: No, it's just -- it just happens. I want to [13] add that I was not ignoring your Petition, but this [14] sort of dominated my life when I got home for several 115 days, so, by the time I got to it, I responded. [16]

Q: Uh-huh. Now, you say in No. 10, [17] Interrogatory No. 10, that Harry Levins was also your [18] supervisor at the Post-Dispatch? [19]

A: He was one of them. There were several.

Q: Okay.

A: But he was -- most of the time, he was the [22] boss, most of the time. It varies. [23]

Q: Okay. Now, I take it, with regard to [24] Interrogatory No. 11, it asks for "all facts

Page 146

year before. 111

Q: So, then you would have disclosed also to Katie Lewis the fact that you had this understanding?

A: I was going to ask her what she was going to do, without telling her what I was going to do, necessarily, because, when she decides what she's going to do, that determines what I'm going to do.

Q: I'm reading this here, and I just want to make sure it's clear, that addition was made that the Non-Profit Organization was not necessary and would not be utilized for any purpose?

A: It seems accurate.

Q: And I'm asking, was the decision between you and Katie Lewis?

A: It was my decision based on what Katie Lewis said. If she decided that the students would go ahead as a staff and try to work under the new rather strict charter, then it was no reason for me to try to save the name, which I had done, they didn't need it anymore. And about the time I got your letter, that

Q: Okay. Do you remember when it was that you got back from your trip to Europe?

A: This is probably more than you need to know. My younger brother had a heart attack and my father

Page 148

concerning any and all plans that you have, had had or

are aware of, to start or utilize an independent [2]

newspaper to be distributed to St. Louis University [3]

students, including, but not limited to, A", and you

said here, you contemplated starting a newspaper by សា

the name of The University News, student voice serving [6]

St. Louis University since 1921. The types of stories [7]

I assume, here it says "none", but if that newspaper [8]

would have gone forward, they would have been the same Ю

types of stories that it was presently printing, is [10]

that right? [11]

[15]

[16]

[18]

[19]

[23]

A: Presumably, presumably. It was up to the 1127 staff again, not up to me. It was up to the staff, [18] but none of that ever happened.

Q: I understand. The names and addresses of any employees who had worked for the independent newspaper, I take it that that probably would have been the same staff?

A: This is the same people.

Q: It would have been the same staff. The [20] geographic areas included in the circulation of the [21]independent newspaper --[22]

A: Would have all stayed the same.

Q: - would have stayed the same, and any and all steps taken to initiate such an independent

Page 151 Page 149 newspaper you had incorporated? that's pretty close. 自然 计连续 医自己性 电影 医角膜 的复数 Q: "I registered it in order to save it as a A: None of that was ever taken, none of that was [2] Non-Profit Organization". ever taken. None of it ever happened and none of it (81 A: Okay. was planned. It was all vague. [4] ing Q: Would that be right, would that be something MR' CHL: I would object to the last line is, you would have said? of questioning, with respect to facts not in evidence. i py bute n A: Yes, I probably would have said that: Q: Okaya, beli hadan and the control of the control Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. But you did [8] ទោ incorporate under that name, that newspaper name? [9] A: I registered it as a Non-Profit Organization A: I saw it as registering a name, but I guess I because that's the only way they told me over at the [10] [10] incorporated. It's not how I saw it. That's how it office I could do it, Secretary of State. [11] [11] Q: So, we've got that. So, this answer is also ended up. [12] [12] Q: Now, No. 12 says "identify all facts [13] wrong? [13] concerning any interviews you granted or participated A: Which answer is that? [14][14] in, including, but not limited to, any interview with And this is the answer to No. 12, because you [15] [15] did have an interview with KWMU where you did discuss KWMU or St. Louis Magazine, during which the [16] [16] the Non-Profit Organization and you also discussed The Non-Profit Organization or St. Louis University's [17] [17] University News? campus newspaper, The University News, was discussed [18] [18] or mentioned", and the answer here, quite remarkably A: I don't think mentioning is discussing, and [19] [19] University News is completely separate from that. It to me, is "none". Now, do you recall doing an [20] [20] just came up. Talking about The University News and interview with KWMU? (21)[21] talking about the name is almost the same thing, A: I do. I was one of four people on the air, [22] [22] because that's why the name was saved for the paper but one was me. [23] [23] Q: And do you recall discussing The University and for the students. And it was never used in any [24] [24] News? [25] way. [25] Page 150 Page 152 A: There were a couple of questions about it, Q: Well, I understand that. And this isn't [1] [1] asking whether it was used or not. yes. [2] [2] Q: Okay. A: There was never any intent to use it, but for (31 [3] A: And about the degree to which I was now an the name of the paper. [4] [4] emeritus as opposed to regular advisor, something like Q: I understand that. But it asks for all facts [5] [5] concerning any interviews that you granted or that. [0] [6] Q: Okay. And anything else that you remember participated in. [7] [7] A: It's hard to remember all those things about that --[8] 181 A: I don't remember anything coming up about accurately in detail. There is an interesting [9] [9] this quasi-phantom organization that was supposed to sentence in the middle of Page 2 of this No. 10, that [10] [10] says that "my responses are based on good faith be putting the paper out, that you referred to. [11] [11] interpretation and are subject to correction for Q: Okay. Well, it says "either the Non-Profit [12] [12] Organization or St. Louis University's campus errors or omissions, if any". [13] [18] Q: Okay. Well, we were correcting, I guess, as newspaper, The University News". [14] [14] A: But that's two different things. we go along. [15] [15] Correct. A: Okav. Q: (161 [16] Q: We're correcting almost every one here. A: Yes. [17] [17] A: I wouldn't say "every" --Q: Do you remember telling -- do you remember [18] [18]MR. GILL: Yes, I object to the discussing about, during that interview, during a [19] [19] characterization there with respect to that. portion of that interview, that you registered the [20] [20] Q: (By Mr. Janoski) With regard to the name of The University News way back in March of last **[21]** selection of name, you said that when you went over to [22] year, so that "the rumors were that they were going to re-write our charter and take our name away"? the Secretary of State's office, that you had them do [23] [23] A: I think probably said "could take our name a search, is that correct? (24)A: They did. They did an archive search for the away", but if I said that, yes, that's pretty close,

[25]

PAV.	is wieye			
[1]	name '	Page 153	i h	Page 1.55 that that would have been the Answer that we would
[2]	g:	And they didn't find anything?	[2]	have received to Interrogatory No. 8.
[8]	<b>A</b> :	They didn't find anything.	[3]	A: Okay.
[4]	{ <b>Q</b> :	Under their corporation records?	[4]	Q: Now, this one has been marked as Plaintiff's
[5]	ratione <b>A</b> ct	Yes.	A MIDIN	Deposition/Exhibit No. 12 And
[6]		Did you have anyone else do a search, or did	(6)	A; This includes several items from other
[7]	化环烷基 经收益债券的	any search, yourself?	21. [7]	#depositions
[8]		No.	[8]	9: Oh, and on the last statement, that should
[9]	g:	Okay.	[9]	have been Request for Admission No. 8, not
[10]	A:	I figured if the Secretary of State is doing	[10]	Interrogatory No. 8, just to correct the record.
[11]	the regi	stration, they ought to know.	[11]	Okay. And take your time in reviewing the document.
[12]	Q:	Okay. And did you do any domain name search?	[12]	A: This looks familiar as well.
[13]	_	No. Since they issued the registration of	[13]	Q: Okay. And Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 12 is
[14]		ne, I thought they would be the person to do the	[14]	entitled "Defendant's Response to First Set of
[15]	search.	,-	[15]	Requests for Production of Documents and Things". And
(16)	Q:	Okay. I want to hand you what's been marked	(16)	can you tell me, after this was received, what you did
[17]	as Exhi		[17]	in searching for documents requested?
[18]	A:		[18]	A: This would have been on 3/1, or something
[19]	Q:	And I want to ask you to review that and let	[19]	like that?
[20]	_	w when you're finished.	[20]	Q: Well, I think the request probably would have
[21]	A:	This looks familiar.	[21]	been about a month before this, before 3/1, so,
[22]	Q:	Have you seen this before?	[22]	probably the request would have been February
[23]	A:	I think so, yes.	[28]	sometime. February or January, because we may have,
[24]	Q:	Okay. And you probably went over it with	[24]	Brian and I may have worked out an extension.
(25)	_	torney before he filed it, is that correct?	[25]	A: And which number are you referring to here?
		Page 154	-	Page 156
		1 ngc 154	633	Or Well I'm just asking generally. You

A: Probably, yes.

Q: And then if you look at Request No. 8, and [2] it's on Page 5, and Exhibit No. 11 is Defendant's [3] Response to Plaintiff's First Request for Admissions, [4] which was filed on March the 19th, 2008. The request [5] asks "you have never provided any assurances to SLU [6] that you will not use the name 'The University News' [7] related to any independent newspaper or other [8]

publication", the response is "denied. Meyer's answer to the Complaint denies the intent to publish any [10]

independent newspaper". Now, this is the only time, I [11]

believe, that it is referred to in any of these

pleadings that you -- that you state that you will not (13) use the name "The University News". [14]

I've said that almost since Day One.

To who?

Staff knows it, I know it, Brian knows it.

Okay. Well, that's good, and I'm sure that's [18] why he put it in here, put it in the Complaint Answer. [19]

A: Never any intent.

But this is the only time that we have had [21] this.

A: I thought I had sent it to you, as I said [28] before. [24]

Q: Well, I'm sure if that, if we had something,

Q: Well, I'm just asking generally. You [1] received this document, you saw this document. What did you do in response to this document, how did you search for the documents? [4] [5]

It would depend on what was requested.

Q: Okay. But generally, generally, did you search through your files at the office.

A: I don't remember. You'd have to give me specifics and I'll try to give you an answer specifically.

Q: Okay. Well, I'm trying to be specific.

A: Okay.

[0]

[7]

[8]

(9)

[10]

[11]

[12]

[15]

[16]

[17]

118

[20]

[21]

[23]

[24]

Q: When you searched for documents, did you [13] search through your office files? [14]

A: It's probable and possible, but I don't know unless you ask me specifically what I'm looking for, because it might have been at home, it might have been at school. It might not have been at either one.

Q: So, did you search at home for any documents?

A: It's possible.

Q: It's possible. Did you search on your computer at home for documents that may be responsive to these requests?

A: It's possible, but more than likely the students would write, the kids would write me at

[1]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[20]

· 江 : [7]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

(19)

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[21]

[28]

[24]

want to make sure.

Page 157 Page 159 ιι school, not at home, more than likely. (1) Q: Okay. But the dissolution was a similar amount and you used a credit card for that? Q: Well, I'm not worried about, and I'm just not A: They required it. Jefferson City required it concerned about what correspondence you may have from when I did it, that's what they required. students. I'm talking with regard to a response for documents. What process did you go through to search (5) Okay Request for Production No. 13, we for relevant documents? asked for "all documents and communications, in including, but not limited to, letters of invitation, A: The only thing I can imagine is I have a notes, transcripts concerning any interview you folder with most of this stuff in it that I carry inside a knapsack, and that's everything about this participated in or granted for St. Louis on the air from July 1, 2005, to the present". Do you see that? lawsuit so far, but most of it is from you and Brian, [10] and there is probably some e-mails left over, mainly [11]at school, and I might have gone through them, but Q: Did you search for any documents in that [12] regard? again, this has been a while ago. [18] A: I would have had to have recorded that in Q: Are you withholding any documents that you [14] order to have it available, and I didn't do that. think are not relevant? [15] Okay. A: Can't imagine what they would be. If you [16] So, I have no access to this. tell me what you need, I'll try to find them. A: [17] MR. GILL: Did you say "not relevant" or But, did you receive an invitation to come on Q: [18] the air? "relevant"? [19] I got a call on the phone. MR. JANOSKI: That he believes are not [20] Okay. Was there any follow-up to that? relevant. [21] A: I don't know what they'd be. A: [22] In the way of an e-mail? MR. JANOSKI: I'm just trying to see if g: No. The focus was not, in fact, this lawsuit A: he's withholding any documents. [24]

at all.

[25]

Page 158

```
Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Well, I guess we're --
 [2]
     let's take, for instance, Request for Production No.
 វនា
     10 on Page 6.
 [4]
          A: Okay.
 [5]
          Q: Now, it asks for "documents sufficient to
 [6]
     identify the source of funds of the Non-Profit
 [7]
     Organization, including, but not limited to, bank
     statements, cancelled checks or credit card statements
 [9]
     listing any payment in connection with the Non-Profit
[10]
     Organization, including, but not limited to the
[11]
     Missouri Secretary of State concerning registration of
[12]
     the Non-Profit Organization". Now, you've told me
[13]
     that you paid in cash, and I can assure you that the
[14]
     Secretary of State gave you a receipt for that.
[15]
          A: I said it's possible, yes, it's possible.
[16]
              Now, did you search for that receipt?
[17]
          A: No. $25, I probably wouldn't have kept it.
[18]
     But since I don't have a documented credit card
[19]
     charge, it's logical the only way I could have paid
[20]
```

Q: And how do you know you don't have a

A: I don't know that, except usually if it's

MR. GILL: Yes, I hear you, but I just

```
Page 160
          Q: Okay.
[1]
         A: It came up in a phone call. The focus was
 [2]
     something else entirely.
 (3)
          Q: Well, I understand that, but --
[4]
         A: I got a phone call, I got an invitation, I
 [5]
     went, and that was it.
 [6]
              Okay. There was no transcript --
 [7]
 ខេរ
              To my knowledge.
              -- that you received?
 [9]
             To my knowledge.
[10]
              Okay. Did you ever, have you ever talked to
[11]
     Tim Hogan about this lawsuit?
[12]
          A: Not for a long time, I mean, like months,
[13]
     months, but I did mention his name early on. We
[14]
     did -- I called him to get some advice, as I said,
[15]
     because I know him well, he's like an old Pete
[16]
     Salsich, Jr., and they both said the same thing, "go
[17]
     on line and see who comes up", and that's what I did.
[18]
              Go on line?
[19]
          A:
              Computer.
[20]
              Okay.
          Q:
[21]
              Google, go to Google.
[22]
              And what did you Google?
[23]
             I don't remember. Just whatever. I kept
[24]
     playing around with it until I found somebody.
[25]
```

\$25, I pay for it.

for it would have been by cash.

documented credit card charge?

Av	is Meyer	44 f + 1 + <u>.</u>	- 1997 -
Legis programa	Page 161	Special Control	Page 163
(1)	Direction (1984), being the bound of the problem of the being the being the being the being the being the being		Q: This would have been April, Lthink, April,
[2]	A: Yes.	[2]	2007.
[8]	en e a Q: Cokay. La transa e en el el el el el en el el en el	[3]	A: Okay: The second of the sec
[4]	A: Yes.	[4]	Q: Did he ever talk to you about her claim
(5) e	Q: All right, How long have you known Tim	(3)	against Sty Louis University? 🞉 💯 💮 💮 💮
[6]	Hogan?	[6]	A: He did not: He said he thought it would be
17)	A: He was a student of mine a long time ago; 20	77 1974	unprofessional I said fine He brought it up
[8]	years or more, but since he's graduated, I think he	[8]	Diana brought it up, actually.
[9]	went somewhere East and we see each other now and	[6]	Q: Diana brought it up during that meeting?
[10]	then, I mean, we're acquaintances and friends, but I	[10]	A: No, no, no. Later, earlier in the day, when
[11]	don't see him very often.	[1.1]	we were getting ready to go over to the meeting, she
[12]	Q: Can you tell me why you weren't, you didn't	[12]	said she asked her lawyer to come along, I told her
[13]	engage either one of those two lawyers?	[13]	Joe probably wouldn't like that, but that's her call,
[14]	A: They said they weren't trademark specialists,	[14]	not my call.
[15]	or they work for firms who are associated with St.	[15]	Q: Did she say why she wanted her lawyer there?
[16]	Louis U., I think that was Pete's, yes, that was	[16]	A: She did not.
[17]	Pete's answer, and I think Tim'S was he didn't do	[17]	Q: Did you talk to him at all about her claim
[18]	that, that's why, and since they both made the same	[18]	against the university?
[19]	suggestions. I respect their opinions, that's what I	[19]	A: No.
[20]	did.	[20]	MR. GILL: Objection, asked and answered.
[21]	Q: Do you recall going to a meeting in the	[21]	A: No, we didn't. He said it would be
[22]	spring of 2007, with Tim Hogan?	[22]	unprofessional.
[23]	A: What kind of meeting?	[28]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. Are you aware of her
[24]	Q: A meeting in the spring of 2007, with regard	[24]	claim against the University?
[25]	to The University News.	[25]	A: Only because she mentioned it.
	Page 162	<del>                                     </del>	Page 164
[1]	A: Was it	[1]	Q: Have you had any other conversations with her
[2]	MR. GILL: Objection, foundation.	(2)	about her claim against the University?
[8]	MR. JANOSKI: I'm just asking him if he	[3]	A: No.

	rage 102						
[1]	A: Was it						
[2]	MR. GILL: Objection, foundation.						
[8]	MR. JANOSKI: I'm just asking him if he						
[4]	recalls.						
[5]	A: What kind of meeting? I'm not sure						
[6]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) It was a meeting about The						
[7]	University News.						
[8]	A: He was there, he was, he was there.						
[9]	Q: He was there and you were there, correct?						
[10]	A: Yes.						
(11)	Q: Did you guys go there together?						
[12]	A: No.						
[13]	Q: No?						
[14]	A: I didn't know he was coming. I think he came						
[15]	because he was representing Diana Benanti at the time,						
[16]	which I didn't know until that moment, but I think						
[17]	that's what he was doing then.						
[18]	Q: All right.						
[19]	A: But we were there for two different reasons.						
[20]	Q: What reason was he there for?						
[21]	A: To represent her, I assume. I was						
[22]	there as the advisor. It was a meeting about the						
[28]	charter, that's what it was about.						

Q: Okay, all right.

A: This would have been April?

		Page 104				
[1]	Q:	Have you had any other conversations with her				
(2)	about h	er claim against the University?				
[3]	A:	No.				
[4]	G:	And have you talked to her at all about this,				
[5]	about this lawsuit?					
[6]	A:	No, I don't even know if it's settled or not.				
[7]	I have no idea what the status is.					
[8]	Q:	I have now jumped on you. Have you talked to				
[9]	her at all about this particular lawsuit?					
[10]	A:	Oh, you mean this one?				
[11]	Q:	This one.				
[12]	A:	Oh, this one, yes. I mean, I've told you				
[13]	this, I tl	nink. I thought you meant her lawsuit.				
(14)	G:	And you haven't talked to her in the last two				
[15]	months	?				
[16]	A:	I would guess, yes, I would guess it's been				
[17]	that lon	g.				
[18]	G:	Okay.				
[19]	A:	It's been awhile.				
[20]	G:	Have you talked to her attorney about this				
[21]	lawsuit	?				
[22]	A:	No, Tim and I haven't spoken in a long time.				
[23]	g:	Are you aware that her deposition is going to				
[24]	be take	n next week				

A: No, I didn't know that --

[25]

[24]

[25]

_	72000	1	Da = 167
gygi sagi 138) Sagi	Page 165		Page 167
124 a [1]	9: In this lawsuif?		been deleted?
[2]	A: till now.	[2]	A: Yes, it's hard to tell.
(	Q: Okay. Let's go to the documents that you	[8]	G: And then is that your signature at the bottom
[4]	attached to this Response for Production of Documents.	[4]	of the page of Exhibit 12?
6 (7)	A: Okay: The same document? Q: Yes, this same document, Exhibit No. 12: A: This is number = No. 12, correct.	(6) (6) (7)	A: It is at is at is.  Q: And the title there is "Scoretary"?  At Truce
[8]	Q: Correct, this is one that at the end of No.	[8]	9: Which is different than
[9]	12.	[9]	A: "Organizing Agent".
(10)	A: These documents have made their appearance	[10]	Q: "Organizing Agent". Can you tell me why
[11]	before, I think.	[11]	we have two different documents?
[12]	Q: Well, yes, sort of. Now, if you'll look at	[12]	A: It is perfectly plausible that I called the
[13]	the first document, and there seems to be six pages	[13]	Secretary of State's office in Jeff City and this is
[14]	attached to these Responses to Requests for	[14]	what they told me, as opposed to what the people told
(15)	Production.	[15]	me when I did the office down here at the Old Post
	A: Uh-huh	[16]	Office. Whatever they suggested, I just filed. It
[16] [17]	Q: Okay. And the first one is the Articles of	[17]	didn't seem like that big a crucial deal for a small
	Termination of Non-Profit Organization.	[18]	Non-Profit Organization that barely existed, for the
[18]	A: I see it.	[19]	registration of a name, and it was over and done.
[19]		[20]	Q: Okay. But I thought you told me that, with
[20]	G: Okay. And this one has, in the upper right hand corner, a filing date of August 23rd, 2007, do	[21]	regard to the Articles of Termination, you didn't go
[21]	you see that?	[22]	down to the Secretary of State's office.
[22]	A: Yes, I do.	[28]	A: I didn't remember doing this. I thought I
[23]	1 1 1 11 111	[24]	did this out of mail by Jefferson City after I talked
[24]	·	1	to them.
[25]	is on this document?	[25]	to treat.
( .	Page 166	<del> </del>	Page 168
*.	_		
μ	A: It looks like mine.	[1]	G: Okay. So, which one was done when?  A: The registration was done downtown, I'm
[2]	Q: Okay. And I want you to go to, and I think	[2]	certain. I'm not sure about this one.
[8]	you have Exhibit 7, which is the Complaint?	[8]	G: I'm talking about the document which is
[4]	A: Okay.	[4]	
[5]	Q: Okay. And Exhibit 7, if you will go to the	[5]	attached to Exhibit 7, and the similar document
[6]	back there, the documents, and if you'll the	[6]	entitled Articles of Termination for Non-Profit
[7]	exhibits, and if you'll go to Exhibit C, and the	[7]	Corporation.
[8]	second page of that.	[8]	A: No. 7 was done downtown.
[9]	A: Okay. This 60-A form.	[9]	Q: That's the Articles of Termination?
[10]	Q: It says that is entitled "Articles of	[10]	A: For Non-Profit no, I'm sorry.
[11]	Termination for Non-Profit Corporation", okay?	[11]	MR. GILL: Not "Termination".
[12]	A: Okay.	[12]	A: Not "Termination", not "Termination".
[13]	Q: And this document here, which is attached to	[18]	"Registration".
[14]	Exhibit 12	[14]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. Well, I'm talking
[15]	A: Very similar.	[15]	about the "termination" one.
[16]	Q: is very similar, and but they are	[16]	A: I had assumed that these would have been done
[17]	different.	[17]	by mail out of Jefferson City. I thought you were
[17]	A: There is two differences that I see.	[18]	talking about registration. Registration was done
(18)	_	[19]	downtown. I don't remember doing termination
	Q: There is at least two differences. First of		downtown. It seems like we did this by mail.
[18]	Q: There is at least two differences. First of all, the one with Exhibit 12 in the upper right hand	[20]	downtown it booms me we are the by man
(18) (19)	-	[20] [21]	Q: Okay. But we have two different sets of
(18) (19) (20)	all, the one with Exhibit 12 in the upper right hand	1	
[18] [19] [20] [21]	all, the one with Exhibit 12 in the upper right hand corner has a file number	[21]	Q: Okay. But we have two different sets of
(18) (19) (20)	all, the one with Exhibit 12 in the upper right hand corner has a file number A: Uh-huh.	[21] [22]	Q: Okay. But we have two different sets of documents, isn't that right?

Page 169.

2. O W. A. M. same title and the same signature. Perhaps they put it, the file number on it in Jeff City when it got there, I have no idea. Maybe that's when they got it, and the "Secretary" and the "Organizing Agent", I can't tell you why that is different, but it just is. (5) (5) [6] It didn't seem important.

Q: Well; I can tell you that these have been produced, both these sets have been produced to us from your lawyers.

A: Okay.

10 4 10

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[18]

[22]

(23)

[24]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[18]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

(20)

[21]

[22]

[28]

1251

Q: Okay. So, I'm just trying to figure out why these were done in this way. Well --

MR. GILL: I believe you got the letter, the first --

Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Yes, you're right. The one [15] that is attached to Exhibit 7 is the set of documents [16] that you mailed to me. [17]

Okav.

Q: And then the one that is with regard to [19] Exhibit 12 are the ones that were produced from your [20] lawyers. [21]

A: Okay.

Now, but let's go two more pages. Q:

Okay.

Okay. And then we've got here "Articles of

Page 171. [1] conversation. Who would, this long ago? But there is no intention to mislead, no intention to do anything wrong. I just wanted to get this thing terminated.

Q: Well, is it possible that the second person [4] on this when you were filling this out was Diana Benanti?

A: It's possible

[7]

[15]

[18]

[22]

[23]

[2]

[8]

(11)

[15]

[20]

[21]

Q: "It's possible". It's probable, isn't it?

A: I don't know if I'd want to get her involved [9] to that degree or not.

Q: Well, I understand you don't want to get her [11] involved. [12]

A: No, at that point, I said I don't think I did [13] want to, past perfect. [14]

Q: Okay. So, is that why you sent me then a document that wasn't filled out --

A: Never any intention to mislead, never any [17] intention to mislead. It didn't seem that crucial. [18] It just seemed like a form I had to fill out and get [19] done and it get it over with, because we had done nothing with the name, never intended to. [21]

Q: I understand that, I understand that's your testimony.

A: It's not only testimony, it's the truth, Frank. [25]

Page 170

Dissolution by Voluntary Action of a Non-Profit

Corporation", and now, with regard to Exhibit 12, it [2]

is filled out similarly to the one that you sent to 131

me, that's attached to Exhibit 7, except that in

Paragraph 4, Line 4, we now have it filled out that [5]

says "the number of memberships outstanding, two", and [6]

it says below that, "number entitled to vote, two, [7]

number voting, two, number voting against, zero". [8]

Now, is that your handwriting? **f91** 

A: It is.

Q: And so, who's the second person, Diana Benanti?

A: I'm thinking of myself as Organizing Agent and Secretary, both.

Q: You counted yourself twice?

A: That's what they said I could do when I talked to them. I'm Secretary, I'm also Organizing Agent, or Organization Agent, I guess it is.

Q: So, you're telling me that the Secretary of State told you to do that?

A: I think that's what is in my mind then, just get it done.

Q: But are you telling me that the Secretary of State told you to count yourself twice?

A: No, she said -- I don't remember this

Page 172

Q: I'm trying to figure out who all was [1] involved, who all I can get facts from and what

exactly the facts are, because I've got a set of [3]

documents that you sent me in August of 2007, that is [4]

filled out one way, and now I've got a set of ថ្ង

documents that are filled out and now filed with the

Secretary of State that are filled out another way. [7]

A: Slight differences.

Q: Correct. But it says that there are two 191 [10] memberships?

A: And I might have been thinking about Diana,

and I might have been thinking about myself as (12)Secretary and Organizing Agent. It was done quickly, [13]

as you can see from the scribble, just to get it done. [14]

Never any intention to mislead.

Q: And then you signed it, on the back page of [16] this particular document, "Articles of Dissolution by [17] Voluntary Action of Non-Profit Corporation", that's [18] your signature, correct? [19]

A: This is on Document No. 12?

This is on Document No. 12, yes, sir.

Form 45, because there are two different [22] forms, Form 60 and Form 45. [23]

Q: I'm talking about Form 45. I believe the [24] first page of Form 45 is covered by this bar code from

Page 173 Page 175 iii two-page documents. (ii) the State of Missourt. A: Okay. They are different layouts, correct? A: The Form 60 is not. Q: No, they are the same layouts. Q: Form 60 is not. But I'm talking about the A: Then I don't have the correct two I'm looking second page of Form 45. [4] A: Two forms are not filled out precisely the Some Committee of the C Q: They are the same documents. One was [e] same, and I probably did it. I had to have done it. groduced to me by your in Exhibit 7 and the second one but they seemed like minor differences. was produced by your counsel in response to the Q: But what I want to make sure is, on Exhibit document production. 12 ---[9] A: Okay. A: Okay. [10] [10] Q: Just so the record is clear. Q: Okay, do you see them? (11) [11] A: I do see them now. A: Form 60. [12] [12]With regard to articles, the document is a Q: All right. Now, can you tell me why you [13] [13] filled these documents out twice? two-page document entitled "Articles of Dissolution by [14][14] A: I have no idea. I have no answer for that. Voluntary Action of Non-Profit Corporation", okay? 1161 [15] It seems odd. It doesn't seem like a major concern, A: Uh-huh. [16] [16] but it seems odd. It's possible that someone in Q: And the second page, there is a signature, [17] [17] Jefferson City suggested it. I have no idea. It's and then the printed name is "Avis Meyer", the title [18] [18] is "Secretary", and it has a date of August --[19] been so long ago. It doesn't seem crucial, but it (19]seems odd. A: 21, it looks like. [20] [20] Q: I'll tell you that sometimes facts like these -- 20-something, '07". And is that your [21] [21] signature? [22] are important. [22] A: Okay. [23] A: It is. [28] They are important. Okay. That was your signature. Now, can you [24] [24] tell me why you filled it out twice, if you mailed --It didn't seem crucial then. [25] [25] Page 176 Page 174 A: There is two forms, 60-A and 45-A are two Q: I'm going to hand you what's been marked as [1] [1] Plaintiff's Deposition Exhibit 13 and ask you whether different forms. [2] [2] you recognize the article that is in the middle of the Q: No, just so we're talking the same [3] [3] page there. [4] language --[4] A: This is 6 -- I don't -- it looks familiar. I [6] A: Okay. [5] don't remember the dates. -- if you go to Exhibit 7. [6] [6] Q: Yes. This is -- this is a University News [7] A: Okay. [7] publication in November of 2007. We don't have a date Okay. And you go back one, two, three, four, [8] [8] on it, but I will represent that to you. five, six pages. [9] [9] MR. GILL: Let the -- yes, let the record A: Okay. [10] [10] Q: There will be a document that is entitled reflect that that is your representation. [11] [11] Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Yes, exactly. We can "Articles of Dissolution by Voluntary Action of a [12] [12]Non-Profit Corporation". Keep going back. Go back provide the full document if we need to. Have you **[13]** [13] six or seven pages. That's Page 1. seen this article before? [14] [14] A: Yes. I had very little to do with it because A: Okav. [15] it would have been unprofessional to edit it or do the Q: Okay, all right. We have that document. [16] [16] headline or anything else. I just was interviewed by Now, you go to Exhibit 12. [17] [17] a student who didn't know me, intentionally, it's what [18] A: Okay. [18] the editor decided to do. Q: And you go to -- you go back one, two, three [19] [19] Q: Okay. So, you had no prior contact with pages, there is another document that is entitled [20] [20] Stephanie Sidak? exactly the same. (21) [21] A: She's a new student, she transferred in from A: Okay. [22] some other University. I didn't know her, she didn't "Articles of Dissolution by Voluntary Action [23] 123] for Non-Profit Corporation". Those are the two know me. We never had class together, I had nothing [24][24]

to do with the editing the story, I never read it

documents I've been talking about. They are both

Avi	s Meyer	1 3	
[1]	until it was printed.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Page 179 be using the lawsuit as a way to revoke Meyer's
[2]	Q: But you have read it?	[2]	tenure", do you see that?
[9]	A: Now. 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	[8]	grafia At a Yes. The specific and property of the stage o
[4] */- (5] }	Q: And she interviewed you for it, right?	[4]	G: Now, can you tell me what other conversation you.
[6]	Q: All right. So, now, let's go to, let's go to	[6]	and your lawyer discussed?
	the second page of this document, the middle column on	[7]	
	that page.	[8]	Attorney-Client Privilege, instruct the witness not to
	A: Okay.	[9]	answer.
[9] (10 <b>)</b>	Q: One, two, three, four, five, sixth line down.	[10]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) And are you going to follow
[11]	A: Six lines down.	[11]	that instruction?
[12]	Q: I mean sixth paragraph down, I'm sorry.	[12]	A: I believe I will.
	A: Okay.	[18]	Q: And you're not going to answer that question?
[13]	Q: And in there she reports that "Meyer said	[14]	A: I believe that's right.
[14]	that his attorney thinks the fact that he is a tenured	(15)	Q: Okay. Now, there is also, if you will look
[15]	Professor may have played a part in this lawsuit".	[16]	at the next column, near the top, it says "upon
[16]	No. 1, what else did you tell her about that?	[17]	relinquishing the name on August 21, Meyer submitted a
[17]	A: Whatever she asked. I tried to answer her	[18]	statement to the lawyer representing the University,
[18]	questions.	[19]	which said that he had never used the name for
[19]	Q: Okay.	[20]	personal reasons during the time it was registered
[20]	A: She asked me. I didn't tell her anything she	[21]	under his name". Do you see that?
[21]	didn't ask.	[22]	A: Yes.
[22]	Q: Now, can you tell me what else your attorney	(23)	Q: Now, that's not true, is it?
[23]	thought about with regard to this lawsuit?	[24]	A: That's the letter I thought I had sent, and
[24]	MR. GILL: Objection, Attorney-Client	(25)	it's exactly what I'm referring to.
[25]	MR. GILL. Objection, recorney change	(20)	The comments of the comments o
	Page 178		Page 180
[1]	Privilege. I instruct you not to answer, the witness	[1]	Q: Okay.
[2]	not to answer.	(2)	A: Obviously, I thought I had sent it or I
[8]	MR. JANOSKI: Well, I would say, and we	[3]	wouldn't have said so.
[4]	can go through this, and I think that there is three	[4]	Q: But that letter was never sent?
[5]	or four instances here in this article alone, where I	[5]	A: Apparently, you never got it.
[6]	believe that your client has waived the	(6)	Q: I received the packet.
[7]	Attorney-Client Privilege with regard to certain	[7]	A: I understand.
[8]	communications. He apparently disclosed	[8]	Q: I never received that letter.
(9)	communications between the two of you to a news	[9]	A: I thought I had sent it, I said so.
[10]	reporter who then reported it in this.	[10]	Q: Okay. And then if you had composed it, it
[11]	MR. GILL: Now, again, I'll object and	[11]	would be on your computer, is that right?
[12]	instruct him not to answer.	[12]	A: Likely.
[13]	MR. JANOSKI: Okay.	[13]	Q: Okay.
[14]	MR. GILL: If you want to motion it up,	[14]	A: I do own an IBM.
[15]	that's	[15]	Q: And then the paragraph underneath that says
[16]	Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Okay. Now, I have to ask	[16]	"Meyer said that Gill, who did not return the repeated
[17]	you now, Dr. Meyer, are you going to follow the	[17]	calls from The University News, told Meyer that he
[18]	direction of your attorney?	[18]	believed the lawsuit appeared to be a personal
[19]	A: I believe so.	[19]	vendetta against Meyer by University President
[20]	Q: Okay. And you're not going to answer the	[20]	Lawrence Biondi, SJ", do you see that?
[21]	question?	[21]	A: Yes.
[22]	A: I believe so.	[22]	Q: Can you tell me what other, what other issues
[23]	Q: Okay. I'm going to ask you to go down two	[23]	were discussed between you and Mr. Gill during that
		1 1041	convergation that you all had?

[25]

conversation that you all had?

MR. GILL: Again, object on

[24]

more paragraphs, and it says "Meyer said that his

lawyer, Brian Gill, speculated that the University may

Page 181

THE STATE OF THE S Attorney-Client Privilege, instruct the witness not to answer.

> Q: (By Mr. Janoski) Are you going to follow that objection?

A: I believe I will

Q: That instruction - rather, I'm sorry, and you're not going to answer the question, and you're not going to answer the question?

A: Correct.

。 第一章

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

(18)

[18]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

[1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

 $\Omega 71$ 

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[24]

(8)

Q: Now, let me just make sure, a copy of the letter that you would have drafted, that you thought that you sent to me -

A: That I thought I sent.

Q: -- would still be on your computer, or has it been deleted?

A: After three months, it would have been deleted.

Okay. Now, in the last paragraph you state, and it's a quote that she has here, "I think they should be ashamed of themselves for allowing this. These students' lives have been burdened, the paper has been threatened, the University's reputation has been tarnished because one guy doesn't like me", he says. Are you referring to Father Biondi?

A: I'm referring to administration, that's why

Page 183

Page 184

A transfer to the state of the second section and Q: Let me ask a question. Are you familiar with Diana Benanti's blog site?

A: No.

[4]

[17]

[18]

[23]

[25]

[1]

[2]

(7)

[8]

[9]

[10]

[12]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[28]

Q: You have not been to it?

ы A. . I don't do blogs. I don't know how to get on a second a second a second a second a second a second a se [6] to them. I do e-mall on my own, and they lose me with who blogs and -- what is it called? UPTube and that stuff. I just don't do that stuff.

Q: Do you remember talking to Maggie Crane about [9] this, about this lawsuit? [10]

A: I don't know if she called or if she wrote, [11]

but she did when she found out about it. [12]

Q: Okay. And do you remember corresponding with [13]her about this lawsuit? [14]

We talked on the phone, or else we wrote an [15] e-mail, one or the other. [16]

Okay. And would you have a copy of that Q: e-mail?

A: If it was an e-mail, I might, but if it's [19] three months old, I might not. [20]

Q: Okay. It probably corresponded with her back [21]in November of 2007. [22]

A: Then it would be gone then.

Okay. You would have deleted it, correct?

A: Yes.

Page 182

"they", the administrators, generally.

Q: But it says here "one guy doesn't like me"?

A: Yes. That means Biondi, but he's only part of the administration. He's not the administration. It's a "they", it's not one person.

Q: I understand that, but in this quote that she has here, it says "one guy doesn't like me" and you attribute all these things to Father Biondi, is that right?

A: No, I attribute it to "they", the subject, "they should be ashamed of themselves".

Q: Okay.

A: The reason is Biondi, but he's not -- he's not the "they".

Q: Are you familiar with an individual by the name of Maggie Crane?

A: I am.

And can you tell me who Maggie Crane is? And that's C-R-A-N-E.

A: She's a former student that had a few classes with me. She never worked on the school paper and the last I heard from her, and it's been awhile, she's an anchor on some network TV news show, I think in Florida, but I'm not sure. It's been a while since I've heard from her.

Q: Do you remember having a conversation or correspondence with Lisa Watson?

A: She's a former student who is in Northwestern [8] in Chicago, outside of Evanston, yes, and again, that might be e-mail, but, no, it might be a phone call as [5] well. She's also a former student.

Okay. And do you remember talking to her about --

A: I do.

-- or corresponding with her?

We corresponded. [11]

About this lawsuit?

A: It was not about the lawsuit, per se. The [18] conversation was about a problem at their Journalism [14] Graduate School at Northwestern, but it bled over into [15] this lawsuit.

Q: And so, then you talked to her about the lawsuit?

Either on e-mail or phone, one way or the A: other.

Q: So, you did have a conversation with her about the lawsuit?

A: We did communicate.

Q: We can't talk over each other. I want to [24] hand you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Deposition