

prejudice to the non-moving party, or futility of the amendment." **Id.** (citing Bell v. Allstate Life Ins. Co., 160 F.3d 452, 454 (8th Cir. 1998)). Plaintiff has moved, before a responsive pleading has been filed, to amend his complaint to identify officers formerly referred to as "Doe" or "Unknown Officers to Be Named." There is no prejudice and appears to be no futility.

For good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for leave to file amended complaint is **GRANTED**. [Doc. 22]

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall issue process or cause process to issue upon the amended complaint as to defendants Sargent Pelton, Deputy Jackson, Detective Leon Burton, Officer Williford, and Officer Lovern.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(g)(2), defendants Sargent Pelton, Deputy Jackson, Detective Leon Burton, Officer Williford, and Officer Lovern shall reply to Plaintiff's claims within the time provided by the applicable provisions of Rule 12(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

/s/ Thomas C. Mummert, III
THOMAS C. MUMMERT, III
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated this 23rd day of June, 2010.