Gieselman v. Jackson et al Doc. 83

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

GARY GIESELMAN,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) Case No. 4:10CV1619 RWS
VALERIA WILSON JACKSON, et al.,)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion to compel responses to plaintiff's second set of requests for production of documents. Defendant Washington County responds that it has now complied with the discovery requests. Defense counsel for Vernon Wilson admits that Wilson has failed to comply with discovery requests but claims that Wilson has refused to communicate with counsel. Wilson requests an extension of time to respond to discovery, which plaintiff opposes.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to compel [#76] is granted as to defendant Vernon Wilson only, and Vernon Wilson shall respond fully and without objection to plaintiff's Second Request for Production of

Documents within ten (10) days from the date of this Order, and the motion to compel is denied as moot as to defendant Washington County.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion for extension of time to complete discovery [#80] is denied.

Failure to comply with this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions, including but not limited to, an entry of default against defendant Vernon Wilson for failure to participate in discovery and comply with the Orders of this Court.

RODNEY W. SIPPEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 25th day of April, 2011.