UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

NYCERE BEY,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	N 4 100V/1400 HEA
V.)	No. 4:12CV1460 HEA
)	
CITY OF FERGUSON POLICE)	
FORCE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the motion of Nycere Bey for leave to commence this action without prepayment of the filing fee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Upon consideration of the financial information provided with the motion, the Court finds that plaintiff is financially unable to pay any portion of the filing fee. As a result, plaintiff will be granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Additionally, the Court has reviewed the complaint and will dismiss it pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), because it is legally frivolous.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court must dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis if the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune

from such relief. An action is frivolous if it "lacks an arguable basis in either law or fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 328 (1989); Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 31 (1992). An action is malicious if it is undertaken for the purpose of harassing the named defendants and not for the purpose of vindicating a cognizable right. Spencer v. Rhodes, 656 F. Supp. 458, 461-63 (E.D.N.C. 1987), aff'd 826 F.2d 1059 (4th Cir. 1987). A complaint fails to state a claim if it does not plead "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007).

The Complaint

Plaintiff brings this action for violations of his civil and "indigenous" rights against defendant City of Ferguson Police Force. Plaintiff alleges that he is a Moor and that he is, therefore, immune from state and federal law. Plaintiff claims that "[t]he City of Ferguson failed to uphold federal UCC commercial laws." In addition, plaintiff claims that the City of Ferguson searched his vehicle without a warrant and arrested him "on commercial charges."

Discussion

Fatal to petitioner's assertion of immunity is the non-recognition of the Moorish Nation as a sovereign state by the United States. <u>See Benton-El v. Odom</u>, 2007 WL 1812615 *6 (M.D. Ga. June 19, 2007); <u>Osiris v. Brown</u>, 2004 WL 2044904

*2 (D.N.J. Aug. 24, 2005); Khattab El v. United States Justice Dept., 1988 WL 5117

*2 (E.D. Pa. Jan. 22, 1988). Petitioner cannot unilaterally bestow sovereign immunity upon himself. See United States v. Lumumba, 741 F.2d 12, 15 (2d Cir. 1984). Petitioner's purported status as a Moorish-American citizen does not enable him to violate state or federal laws without consequence. As a result, plaintiff's allegations are legally frivolous.

In addition, plaintiff's claims are legally frivolous because the City of Ferguson Police Force is not a suable entity. See Ketchum v. City of West Memphis, Ark., 974 F.2d 81, 81 (8th Cir. 1992) (departments or subdivisions of local government are not juridical entities suable as such).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #2] is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall not issue process or cause

process to issue upon the complaint, because the complaint is legally frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

A separate Order of Dismissal shall accompany this Memorandum and Order.

Dated this 27th day of August, 2012.

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE