Harper v. Steele Doc. 18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

)	
)	
)	
)	
)	Case No. 4:12CV01726 SNLJ
)	
)	
)	
)	
))))))))

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before me on the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by Petitioner Richard Harper. I referred this matter to United States Magistrate Judge Nannette A. Baker, for a report and recommendation on all dispositive matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). On July 6, 2015, Judge Baker filed her recommendation that Harper's habeas petition should be dismissed.

No objections to Judge Baker's Report and Recommendation were filed. After careful consideration, I will adopt and sustain the thorough reasoning of Judge Baker and deny Harper's habeas petition for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation dated July 6, 2015.

I have also considered whether to issue a certificate of appealability. To grant a certificate of appealability, the Court must find a substantial showing of the denial of a federal constitutional right. See Tiedeman v. Benson, 122 F.3d 518, 522 (8th Cir. 1997). A substantial showing is a showing that issues are debatable among reasonable jurists, a Court could resolve the issues differently, or the issues deserve further proceedings. Cox

v. Norris, 133 F.3d 565, 569 (8th Cir. 1997) (citing Flieger v. Delo, 16 F.3d 878, 882-83 (8th Cir. 1994)). Because Harper has not made such a showing in this case, I will not issue a certificate of appealability.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Judge Baker's Report and Recommendation, #17, filed July 6, 2015 is adopted and sustained in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, #1, is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court will not issue a certificate of appealability. A separate Judgment in accordance with this Memorandum and Order is entered this same date.

Dated this 22^{nd} day of July, 2015.

STEPHEN N. LIMBAUGH, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE