

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

<b>LARRY RICE,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 4:13CV1171 HEA</b>
	)	
<b>INTERFOOD, INC, et al.,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>DF INGREDIENTS, INC.,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Garnishee/Respondent.</b>	)	

**OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Defendants/Counterclaimant Plaintiffs/Judgment Creditors’ Verified Motion for Entry of Default Judgment against DF Ingredients, Inc., [Doc. No. 198]. Garnishee/Respondent DF Ingredients, Inc. has not responded to the Motion.

On June 22, 2017, this Court entered Judgment in favor of defendants and against plaintiff on all counts in this matter. Defendants were awarded \$78,496.74 in attorneys’ fees.

Defendants had plaintiff’s employer DF Ingredients, Inc. served with a writ

of execution and interrogatories for a garnishment against plaintiff's wages on August 6, 2018. DF Ingredients, Inc. did not respond to the interrogatories, nor did it present any basis for this failure.

Defendants have verified for the Court that on January 19, 2020, defendants made a good faith attempt to resolve this matter. No funds have been recovered from any source to be credited towards the judgment.

Rule 69 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:

A money judgment is enforced by a writ of execution, unless the Court directs otherwise. The procedure on execution...must accord with the procedure of the state where the court is located...

R.S.Mo § 525.140 provides:

Upon the filing of the interrogatories aforesaid, the garnishee shall exhibit and file his answer thereto, on oath, within six days thereafter, if the term shall so long continue, if not, during such term, unless for good cause shown the court shall order otherwise. In default of such answer, the plaintiff may take judgment by default against him, or the court may, upon motion, compel him to answer by attachment of his body; provided, in all cases where the garnishee is a corporation and fails to answer as above provided, the court may, upon motion, compel said corporation to answer by attaching the body of the president, secretary, treasurer, auditor, paymaster or deputy paymaster of such corporation, in which case the said corporation shall be liable for all the costs accruing by reason of such attachment.

Defendants have verified that they attempted to resolve the issue with DF Ingredients prior to filing their motion on January 19, 2022 and again on February 16, 2022. DF Ingredients, Inc. has failed to comply with the Court's orders

contained in the garnishment pleadings.


Generally, a plaintiff is entitled to a judgment against the defaulting garnishee in the amount of plaintiff's non-exempt wages and property garnishee is holding or should have been withholding since the writ was executed. *Northwest Professional Condominium Ass'n v. Kayembe*, 190 S.W.3d 447 (Mo.App.E.D.2006). Defendants are entitled to judgment, however, the amount of the judgment is unascertainable because of Garnishee's failure to respond to the interrogatories.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Michael Husmann, President of DF Ingredients, Inc., shall, within 10 days from the date of this Opinion, show cause why default judgement in the amount requested by Defendants shall not be entered against DF Ingredients, Inc.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that failure to show cause will result in a default judgment in the requested amount being entered against DF Ingredients, Inc.

Dated this 20<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2022.

  
HENRY EDWARD AUTREY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE