

No. 4:13CV2447 CEJ

Dockets.Justia.com

Plaintiff's legal claim is relatively simple, i.e., whether he was competent to voluntarily admit himself to the St. Louis Psychiatric Rehabilitation Center. And he has shown that he is able to coherently present his claim to this Court. As a result, the motion to appoint counsel will be denied without prejudice.


In his motion for injunctive relief, plaintiff claims that he has been placed on restriction at the Rehabilitation Center in retaliation for exercising his right not to go to a Narcotics Anonymous meeting that he did not like. Plaintiff requests that this Court notify the Department of Justice so that an investigation can be conducted regarding the alleged retaliation. It is not the province of the Court to relay plaintiff's allegations to the Justice Department. Moreover, the Court has no authority to direct the Justice Department to conduct an investigation. Because the relief plaintiff seeks cannot be granted, his motion for injunctive relief will be denied.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel [ECF No. 4] is **denied** without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief [ECF No. 8] is **denied**.

Dated this 28th day of January, 2014.



CAROL E. JACKSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE