



The Court finds nothing in the record, however, to cause it to reconsider its previous order denying Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. Again, this excessive force and unlawful arrest case is neither factually nor legally complex. Moreover, Plaintiff has clearly demonstrated that he is able to articulate and present his claims.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's "Motion to Compelling Address" [15] is **DENIED** as moot.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's request for discovery from the Bridgeton Police Department is **DENIED** without prejudice.

**IT IS FINALLY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's order denying appointment of counsel is **DENIED**.

Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2014.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN A. ROSS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE