

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

ANTHONY BUSSIE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 4:14-CV-65-JCH
)	
JOHN BOEHNER, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff’s motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #2]. Plaintiff, an inmate at the Philadelphia-FDC in Pennsylvania has filed at least three previous cases that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim.¹ Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), therefore, the Court may not grant the motion unless plaintiff was “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time the complaint was filed. See Martin v. Shelton, 319 F.3d 1048, 1050 (8th Cir. 2003)(imminent danger of serious physical injury must exist at the time the complaint is filed).

¹See Bussie v. Attorney General, Case No. 3:13-CV-476-WMC (W.D. Wis.); Bussie v. Federal Election Comm’n, Case No. 3:13-CV-477-WMC (W.D. Wis.); Bussie v. Federal Deposit Ins. Corp., Case No. 1:13-CV-23000-UU (S.D. Fla.); Bussie v. United States, Case No. 3:11-CV-1475-FLW (D. N.J.); Bussie v. Brauman, Case No. 3:13-CV-1055-AWT (D. Conn.).

After reviewing the complaint, the Court finds no allegations indicating that plaintiff was in imminent danger of serious physical injury when he filed his complaint.² As a result, the Court will deny the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and will dismiss this action without prejudice to refiling as a fully-paid complaint.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #2] is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED**, without prejudice, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

A separate Order of Dismissal shall accompany this Memorandum and Order.

Dated this 17th day of January, 2014.

/s/Jean C. Hamilton
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

²For relief, plaintiff seeks \$865 billion dollars. To the extent that once can be discerned, the theme of the complaint appears to be that the federal government is corrupt and defendants, who are United States congressmen and presidents, as well a federal district court, have "caused harm" to plaintiff through their jealousy, envy, anger, and hatred. Plaintiff's rambling, disjointed allegations are legally frivolous and delusional.