

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

IRON WORKERS ST. LOUIS DISTRICT)	
COUNCIL ANNUITY TRUST, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 4:14-CV-1298 JAR
)	
MILLER BUILDING GROUP, LLC, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs’ Amended Motion to Compel Discovery. (Doc. No. 31) Plaintiffs seek to compel a representative of Defendant Miller Building Group, LLC to appear for a post-judgment deposition and produce the records requested in Plaintiffs’ notice of deposition. No response to the motion has been filed. For the following reasons, the amended motion will be granted.

Background

Plaintiffs filed this action on July 23, 2014 to recover from Miller Building Group, LLC delinquent fringe benefit contributions pursuant to Section 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (“ERISA”). On August 14, 2014, the parties stipulated to the entry of consent judgment in the amount of \$5,612.97. (Doc. No. 4)

Motion to Compel

Plaintiffs' motion to compel and the affidavit of attorney Michael A. Evans assert that on October 13, 2015, Plaintiffs sent a notice of post-judgment deposition and request for production of documents to a representative of Miller Building Group, LLC. The notice required the

attendance of such representative at the offices of Plaintiffs' counsel on November 16, 2015 at 10:00 a.m., and the simultaneous production of requested documents relevant to Plaintiffs' efforts to collect the judgment in this case. Defendant did not appear at the deposition or otherwise contact Plaintiffs' counsel.

Rule 69(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that the “process to enforce a judgment for the payment of money shall be a writ of execution, unless the court directs otherwise.” Rule 69(a), Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 69 further provides that the procedure on execution, and in proceedings in aid of execution, “shall be in accordance with the practice and procedure of the state in which the district court is held ... except that any statute of the United States governs to the extent that it is applicable.” *Id.* Finally, Rule 69 provides that in aid of a judgment or execution, a judgment creditor “may obtain discovery from any person, including the judgment debtor, in the manner provided in [the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure] or in the manner provided by the practice of the state in which the district court is held.” *Id.* In the absence of a controlling federal statute, a district court “has the same authority to aid judgment creditors in supplementary proceedings as that which is provided to state courts under local law.” Carpenters' District Council of Greater St. Louis and Vicinity v. Vehlewald Const. Co., 2008 WL 544977, at * 1-2 (E.D. Mo. Feb. 25, 2008) (quoting H.H. Robertson Co. v. V.S. DiCarlo Gen. Contractors, Inc., 994 F.2d 476, 477 (8th Cir.1993)).

Plaintiffs seek to take a post-judgment deposition in aid of execution of their judgment. This procedure is appropriate pursuant to Rules 69(a) and 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. It appears to the Court from the affidavit of Plaintiffs' counsel that Defendant was properly noticed for deposition but failed to appear. Plaintiffs' motion to compel should therefore be granted, and a representative of Miller Building Group, LLC will be ordered to appear for

deposition at the offices of Plaintiffs' counsel, and produce the requested documents at the same time.

Accordingly,


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Compel Discovery [31] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a representative of Defendant Miller Building Group, LLC shall appear for a post-judgment deposition and produce the records requested in the notice of deposition dated October 13, 2015, at the offices of Plaintiffs' counsel on **January 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery [30] is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall mail a copy of this order to Defendant Miller Building Group, LLC at 2233 Olive Street, St. Louis, MO 63103.

Dated this 17th day of December, 2015.



JOHN A. ROSS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE