

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

KENNETH O'BRYANT,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) Case No. 4:16-cv-00836-NCC
)
WORLD MANAGEMENT INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for the Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 11). Plaintiff asserts that (1) he believes he is entitled to redress; (2) he is unable to pay a reasonable attorney fee; and (3) he has made diligent efforts to obtain legal counsel (*Id.*). For the following reasons, Plaintiff's motion will be denied without prejudice.

The appointment of counsel for an indigent *pro se* plaintiff lies within the discretion of the Court, since there is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in civil cases. *Phillips v. Jasper County Jail*, 437 F.3d 791, 794 (8th Cir. 2006) (citation omitted); *see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1)* (“The court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.”). Once plaintiff alleges a *prima facie* claim, the Court must determine plaintiff's need for counsel to litigate his claim effectively. *In re Lane*, 801 F.2d 1040, 1043 (8th Cir. 1986). The standard for appointment of counsel in a civil case is whether both plaintiff and the Court would benefit from the assistance of counsel. *Edwards v. Dwyer*, 2008 WL 222511 at *1 (E.D. Mo. Jan. 25, 2008) (citations omitted). This determination involves the consideration of several relevant criteria which include “the factual complexity of the issues, the ability of the indigent person to investigate the facts, the existence of conflicting testimony, the ability of the indigent

person to present the claims, and the complexity of the legal arguments.” *Id.* See also *Rayes v. Johnson*, 969 F.2d 700, 703 (8th Cir. 1992); *Johnson v. Williams*, 788 F.2d 1319 (8th Cir. 1986).

After reviewing Plaintiff’s Complaint (Doc. 1), the Court does not believe that either the factual or legal issues are complex. Furthermore, it appears to the Court that Plaintiff is clearly capable of articulating and presenting his claims. Although Plaintiff’s Complaint consists of little more than a fully completed Employment Discrimination Complaint indicating that he “was treated like dirt[],” Plaintiff attaches to his Complaint his Missouri Commission on Human Rights Charge of Discrimination in which he has clearly stated his allegations. For these reasons, the Court finds that appointment of counsel is not mandated at this time, and Plaintiff’s motion should be denied without prejudice.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff’s Motion for the Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 11) is **DENIED** without prejudice.

Dated this 8th day of December, 2016.

/s/ Noelle C. Collins
NOELLE C. COLLINS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE