Hunter v. Carson et al Doc. 3

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

ALBERT COREY HUNTER,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
v.	)	No. 4:16-CV-1300-RLW
JEFFERY CARSON, et al.,	)	
Respondents.	)	
	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the petition of Albert Corey Hunter for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1]. In addition, petitioner has filed a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. 2], which will be granted. For the following reasons, the Court will instruct petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to exhaust available state remedies.

Petitioner, a pre-trial detainee at the St. Louis City Justice Center, seeks a writ of habeas corpus. Liberally construing the petition, petitioner is challenging the merits of his ongoing state criminal proceeding, and he asks the Court to release him from his illegal incarceration.

In the absence of exceptional circumstances, a state prisoner must exhaust currently available and adequate state remedies before invoking federal habeas corpus jurisdiction. *Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky*, 410 U.S. 484 (1973). State remedies are ordinarily not considered exhausted if an individual may effectively

Present his claim to the state courts by any currently available and adequate procedure. Not only will petitioner have the opportunity to raise constitutional challenges in the context of his state criminal proceedings, and if necessary, subsequent appeal, but the State of Missouri provides habeas corpus relief for prisoners in its custody. As such, petitioner has available state procedures that he must exhaust before invoking federal habeas jurisdiction.

Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no order to show cause shall issue at this time as to respondents, because it appears that petitioner did not exhaust available state remedies before invoking federal habeas corpus jurisdiction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner shall show cause within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order as to why the Court should not dismiss the instant habeas corpus application for failure to exhaust available state remedies. Petitioner's failure to file a show cause response may result in the denial of the instant petition and the dismissal of this action, without prejudice.

Dated this day of

f Chillips , 20

RONNIE L. WHITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE