UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

ALPHONSO DIAZ,)	
Movant,)	
v.)	No. 4:16CV1742 JAR
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Respondent,)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Before the Court is movant Alphonso Diaz's motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence, brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Based on the reasoning set forth below, the Court will interpret movant's motion as one for a reduction in sentence and transfer his motion to his criminal case for full briefing.

Background

On July 31, 2015, movant pled guilty to the offense of conspiracy to distribute hydrocodone. *See United States v. Diaz*, No. 4:15CR00009 JAR (E.D.Mo. 2015). On November 5, 2015, this Court sentenced movant to the Bureau of Prisons for a term of imprisonment of 24 months and two years' supervised release. *Id.* Movant did not appeal his conviction and sentence.

Discussion

Movant filed the instant motion to vacate, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on October 26, 2016, by placing it in the prison mailing system. In his motion, movant requests that the Court apply a new amendment to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, referred to as the

"Mitigating Role Amendment," or Amendment 794, which amended the commentary to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2.¹

In essence, movant is seeking a sentence reduction through a clarifying amendment to the sentencing guidelines. The only existing authority for the Court to modify movant's sentence comes from Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or 18 U.S.C. § 3582, which is more properly sought through a motion for reduction in sentence in movant's criminal case. Therefore, the Court will administratively terminate the instant action and it will not be counted as a motion to vacate under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Movant's motion will be interpreted as a motion for reduction in sentence, pursuant to Rule 35 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure and transferred to his criminal action.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is administratively terminated and will not be considered a motion to vacate brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of movant's motion shall be transferred to his criminal case, *United States v. Diaz*, No. 4:15CR00009 JAR, and filed as a motion for reduction in sentence, brought pursuant to Rule 35 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure and/or a § 3582 motion. The government shall respond to movant's motion in a reasonable time, as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no certificate of appealability shall issue.

Dated this 9th day of November, 2016.

JOHN A. ROSS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹The commentary to Sentencing Guidelines was amended on November 1, 2015.