

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

WILLIE E. BOYD,	)	
	)	
Movant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 4:16-CV-1878 ERW
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Respondent,	)	

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on movant’s motion for clarification. Movant says he does not understand why this case is closed and a new § 2255 case was opened after he received permission from the Court of Appeals to file a successive motion.

This case was properly closed for lack of jurisdiction because movant filed it before he received permission to file a successive motion. Because he now has permission, the proper procedure was to open a new case. Movant is not prejudiced by the procedure. He should not file any more documents in this case. Rather, he should file any future documents in *Boyd v. United States*, No. 4:16-CV-2148 ERW, which the Court is currently reviewing.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that movant’s motion for clarification is **GRANTED**.

[Doc. No. 9]

So Ordered this 18th day of January, 2017.



---

E. RICHARD WEBBER  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE