UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

ANTOINE LAMONT WILLIAMS,)	
)	
Movant,)	
)	
v.)	No. 4:17CV156 HEA
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Respondent,)	

OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on movant Antoine Lamont Williams's motion to vacate, set aside or correct his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The motion will be denied without prejudice.

The Court's records indicate that movant previously filed a § 2255 motion that was denied on the merits. *See Williams v. U.S.*, Case No. 4:12-cv-2210-HEA (E.D. Mo. Sept. 22, 2016). As such, the instant motion is a "second or successive motion" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244 and 2255. However, it has not been certified by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit as required by the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA").

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h):

A second or successive motion must be certified as provided in section 2244 by a panel of the appropriate court of appeals to contain--

(1) newly discovered evidence that, if proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that no reasonable factfinder would have found the movant guilty of the offense; or (2) a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously

unavailable.

The requirement that prisoners obtain authorization from the Circuit Court before filing a

second or successive petition in the District Court is jurisdictional. Burton v. Stewart, 127 S. Ct.

793, 796 (2007). "Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. The requirement that

jurisdiction be established as a threshold matter springs from the nature and limits of the judicial

power of the United States and is inflexible and without exception." Kessler v. Nat'l

Enterprises, Inc., 347 F.3d 1076, 1081 (8th Cir. 2003) (quotation marks omitted). As such, the

instant action will be dismissed without prejudice to refiling if, and when, movant obtains

permission from the Eighth Circuit to do so.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that movant's motion to vacate is DENIED, without

prejudice, because movant has not yet obtained permission from the United States Court of

Appeals for the Eighth Circuit to bring the motion in this Court. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Order

to the Federal Public Defender.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court will not issue a certificate of appealability

because movant has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a federal constitutional right.

A separate Order of Dismissal shall accompany this Memorandum and Order.

Dated this 2nd day of February, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE