

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

JURMONT CLARK,	)	
	)	
Movant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 4:19CV2305 HEA
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

Movant moves for appointment of counsel. After considering the motion and the pleadings, the motion will be denied without prejudice at this time.


There is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in civil cases. *Nelson v. Redfield Lithograph Printing*, 728 F.2d 1003, 1004 (8th Cir. 1984). In determining whether to appoint counsel, the Court considers several factors, including (1) whether the movant has presented non-frivolous allegations supporting his or her prayer for relief; (2) whether the movant will substantially benefit from the appointment of counsel; (3) whether there is a need to further investigate and present the facts related to the movant's allegations; and (4) whether the factual and legal issues presented by the action are complex. *See Johnson v. Williams*, 788 F.2d 1319, 1322-23 (8th Cir. 1986); *Nelson*, 728 F.2d at 1005.

Movant has presented non-frivolous allegations in his complaint. However, he has demonstrated, at this point, that he can adequately present his claims to the Court. Additionally, neither the factual nor the legal issues in this case are complex. Thus, the Court will deny movant's motion for counsel at this time.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that movant's motion for appointment of counsel [Doc. #2] is **DENIED** without prejudice.

Dated this 8<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2019.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Henry Edward Autrey", written in a cursive style. The signature is positioned above a horizontal line.

---

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE