

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

COURTNEY D. ANDREWS-BYRD,        )  
    )  
    )  
Plaintiff,                            )  
    )  
    )  
v.                                     )    No. 4:24-cv-01285-MTS  
    )  
    )  
NATIONAL RECORDS &                )  
ARCHIVESADMINISTRATION,            )  
    )  
    )  
Defendant.                            )

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This closed employment discrimination matter is before the Court on its own motion. Almost daily, Plaintiff has been submitting through the self-represented litigant's email address various papers and photographs that have no relevance to her case. For example, Plaintiff has submitted various pictures of trash bins, pictures of laundry, pictures of prescription drugs, high school track schedules, handwritten journal entries, and birth and marriage certificates, just to name a few. These items violate Local Rule 2.01 as they are not in the proper format. For example, they are not signed, have no case number, and do not appear to be exhibits to any pleading, motion, or memorandum. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). Additionally, these items violate Local Rule 4.04 as Plaintiff is attempting to communicate with the Court by means other than motion or memorandum. These attempted filings have wasted the Court's limited resources because the Clerk is having to review irrelevant documents and photographs in a closed case. To prevent further waste


of the Court's resources, the Court will prohibit Plaintiff from submitting any further *pro se* filings in this closed case, except for a notice of appeal.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff is **PROHIBITED** from submitting any further *pro se* filings in this closed case, except for a notice of appeal.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall return, unfiled, to Plaintiff any documents or photographs that Plaintiff has attempted to file *pro se* in this case since the entry of the Court's Order of Dismissal, except for any notice of appeal, and any future *pro se* filings Plaintiff attempts to make in this closed case, except for a notice of appeal.

Dated this 7th day of March 2025.



---

MATHEW T. SCHELP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE