## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

Dennis Dean Hale,	)
Plaintiff,	)
V.	)
Michael J. Astrue,	)
Defendant.	)

Case No. 10-3059-CV-SW-JTM

## **ORDER**

In a departure from the normal procedure in review of Social Security decisions in the Western District of Missouri, before filing his brief in support of his appeal, plaintiff filed his *Motion to Remand for Consideration Of Additional Medical Evidence and Incorporated Brief*, [Doc 8]. However, as set forth in the *Defendant's Response To Plaintiff's Motion To Remand For Consideration of Additional Medical Evidence And Incorporated Brief*, [Doc. 11] plaintiff's purported additional medical evidence is outside of the time period at issue in this appeal, and is from at least six months after the date of the ALJ's decision in this case which found that plaintiff was not entitled to disability benefits as of July 31, 2009. New evidence sufficient to justify a remand for additional finding must necessarily pertain to the same time period for which benefits were initially sought, rather than a later deterioration of a previously non-disabling condition after the date of the Commissioner's final decision. *Jones v. Callahan*, 122 F.3d 1148, 1154 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997); *Thomas v. Sullivan*, 928 F.2d 255, 260-61 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991); *Sullins v. Shalala*, 25 F.3d 601, 604-05 (8th Cir. 1994).

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that plaintiff's *Motion to Remand for Consideration Of Additional Medical Evidence and Incorporated Brief*, [Doc 8] is **DENIED**. It is further

**ORDERED** that *Plaintiff's Motion For Extension Of Time*, filed April 12, 2011[Doc. 9]

is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, plaintiff shall have up to and including June 3, 3011 in which to file his appeal brief.

/s/ John T. Maughmer JOHN T. MAUGHMER U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE